



COMMUNITIES COMMITTEE MEETING

AGENDA

17 MAY 2022

Your attendance is required at a Communities Committee meeting of Council to be held in the Council Chambers, 232 Bolsover Street, Rockhampton on 17 May 2022 commencing at 9:00am for transaction of the enclosed business.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "C. P.", written in a cursive style.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
12 May 2022

Next Meeting Date: 21.06.22

Please note:

In accordance with the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, please be advised that all discussion held during the meeting is recorded for the purpose of verifying the minutes. This will include any discussion involving a Councillor, staff member or a member of the public.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
1	OPENING.....	2
2	PRESENT	2
3	APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	2
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	2
5	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS ON THE AGENDA	2
6	BUSINESS OUTSTANDING	2
	NIL	2
7	PUBLIC FORUMS/DEPUTATIONS	2
	NIL	2
8	OFFICERS' REPORTS	3
8.1	COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - MAJOR APPLICATION	3
8.2	ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE	5
8.3	PARKS SERVICE STANDARD REVIEW	15
9	NOTICES OF MOTION	19
	NIL	19
10	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	19
	NIL	19
11	URGENT BUSINESS/QUESTIONS	19
12	CLOSED SESSION	20
13.1	COUNCIL UPDATE - CURRENT COMMUNITY NEGOTIATIONS	
13.2	RECTANGLE FIELD CONTINGENCY PLANNING AND UPDATE	
13	CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS.....	21
13.1	COUNCIL UPDATE - CURRENT COMMUNITY NEGOTIATIONS	21
13.2	RECTANGLE FIELD CONTINGENCY PLANNING AND UPDATE	22
14	CLOSURE OF MEETING.....	23

1 OPENING

1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

2 PRESENT

Members Present:

The Mayor, Councillor A P Williams (Chairperson)
Deputy Mayor, Councillor N K Fisher
Councillor S Latcham
Councillor G D Mathers
Councillor C E Smith
Councillor C R Rutherford
Councillor M D Wickerson
Councillor D Kirkland

In Attendance:

Mr E Pardon – Chief Executive Officer
Ms A Cutler – General Manager Community Services (Executive Officer)

3 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Minutes of the Communities Committee held 19 April 2022

5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS ON THE AGENDA

6 BUSINESS OUTSTANDING

Nil

7 PUBLIC FORUMS/DEPUTATIONS

Nil

8 OFFICERS' REPORTS

8.1 COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - MAJOR APPLICATION

File No:	12535
Attachments:	Nil
Authorising Officer:	Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services
Author:	Kerri Dorman - Administration Supervisor

SUMMARY

An application from the Women That Fish Barra Classic Inc for Major Sponsorship Assistance towards their 2022 Humminbird Women That Fish Barra Classic event is presented for Council consideration

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council considers the Major Sponsorship application from Women That Fish Barra Classic Inc for funding to assist with the staging of the 2022 Humminbird Women That Fish Barra Classic to be held on 2 – 3 September 2022 and approves a sponsorship amount of \$10,000.00 towards the event.

COMMENTARY

This event has been successively run over the past 11 years on the Fitzroy River, by 2 dedicated ladies who each have assisted with the growth and promotion of this unique competition. Women That Fish Barra Classic Inc promote the event as Queensland's most prestigious women's Barramundi tournament.

The event is a fun-filled competition with like-minded ladies all competing to catch the infamous Central Queensland Barramundi. Competitors are 18 years and over, and consist of only female competitors with male skippers eligible as an option.

Since the net free zones have been introduced the opportunity for competitors to catch a Barramundi over a metre in length is in 100% reach for any level angler. The application states that all Barramundi caught in the competition are photographed, measured and released for the next lucky angler to experience.

The applicant states that in 2019 there was a substantial increase in nominations from local, interstate and established entities around Australia. Due to Covid-19 there was a slight decrease in nominations for the 2021 event, however 2022 appears to already be attracting the likes of interstate competitors again.

An estimate of 160 competitors with an approximate 100-120 family members and friends are expect to attend the event with some staying for four days in the region.

Women That Fish Barra Classic will advertise Rockhampton Regional Council's sponsorship on all marketing material, media releases, on radio, banners, apparel and brag mats. Women That Fish Barra Classic event shirts are seen all over Australia.

Assessment

In accordance with the adopted Policy and Procedure, applications received through the Major Sponsorship Scheme will be assessed by Council against the following criteria:

- Applicant's capacity to undertake the event including any experience with similar events, relevant approvals and permissions required
- Community need or desire for the event and how this was determined
- Economic and community outcomes anticipated from the event
- Number of participants, including out of area visitors

- Value for money, including realistic budget with projected cost recovery

The applicant has had 11 years' experience with previous and has satisfactorily completed required acquittal reports for previous events/projects.

PREVIOUS DECISIONS

No previous decisions for this non-Council event.

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

Independent assessment by a panel of 4 have indicated an average sponsorship amount for each of the projects/events, which is within Council's Community Assistance Program Operational Budget, as well as taking into consideration the community value of events and projects.

LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

Administered under the Major Sponsorship Policy and Procedure.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Council administers the Community Assistance Program under a standard funding agreement and all funds are provided on a 'grants-basis'. Applicants are responsible for all aspects of event delivery.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

No staffing implications for this non-Council event.

RISK ASSESSMENT

Applicants are fully responsible for event delivery and must provide a final acquittal report outlining any receipts for expenditure, photographs, print media coverage, publications or other forms of documentation.

CORPORATE/OPERATIONAL PLAN

1.4.1 – Streamline Council's funding for community not for profit organisations to ensure fairness and equity.

CONCLUSION

Upon assessment of the information provided in the application against the rating tool and the community value of the event it is recommended Council approve the Assessment Panel's recommended funding allocation of \$10,000.

8.2 ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

File No: 13405

Attachments: 1. [RMOA Naming Rights Policy](#)↓
2. [RMOA Naming Rights Procedure](#)↓

Authorising Officer: Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services

Author: John Webb - Manager Communities and Culture

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present to Council for consideration and adoption the Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Policy and Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Procedure.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council adopt the Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Policy and Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Procedure as attached to this report with a review date of the Policy and Procedure of May 2024.

COMMENTARY

The Rockhampton Museum of Art opened on Saturday 25 February 2022.

In keeping with typical practice for public cultural institutions, naming rights agreements may be considered for rooms and public spaces to support both capital and operational activities of the Rockhampton Museum of Art.

The proposed naming rights policy and procedure seek to obtain sponsorship agreements for a defined, non-perpetual period excluding the Rockhampton Museum of Art as a whole.

The attached policy and procedure establish the framework of assessing, entering into and managing any such agreements in a consistent and transparent manner.

PREVIOUS DECISIONS

There have been no previous decisions regarding a naming rights policy and procedure related to sponsorship agreements of this nature.

The Naming of Parks, Reserves and Sport Facilities Policy and Naming of Infrastructure Assets Policy do not address sponsorship or fundraising in relation to naming and are targeted towards in-perpetuity naming practice.

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

There are no budget implications of this policy and procedure. Successful application of this policy and procedure seek to improve revenue generation opportunities. There is an assumed philanthropy contributions towards the Capital project of RMOA and this policy will assist to meet these targets.

LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

Nil

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no legal implication relevant to this matter.

STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

There will be no implication to Council permanent staffing levels if Council adopts the proposed recommendation

RISK ASSESSMENT

There are no notable risks identified.

CORPORATE/OPERATIONAL PLAN

This policy and procedure support 21/22 Operational Plan Community 1.2.3 –

Commence operations of the Rockhampton Museum of Art including the activation of the gallery space and surrounds

CONCLUSION

The policy and procedure presented for consideration and recommended for Council adoption.

ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

RMoA Naming Rights Policy

Meeting Date: 17 May 2022

Attachment No: 1

ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS POLICY

COMMUNITY POLICY



1 Scope

This policy applies to all rooms and public spaces not subject to commercial or funding restrictions at the Rockhampton Museum of Art.

2 Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to ensure a consistent and transparent framework for the provision of naming rights connected to rooms and public spaces at the Rockhampton Museum of Art and other benefits associated with the naming rights.

3 Related Documents

3.1 Primary

Nil

3.2 Secondary

Arts and Cultural Policy

Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Procedure

4 Definitions

To assist in interpretation, the following definitions apply:

Council	Rockhampton Regional Council
Naming Rights	A transaction and form of sponsorship whereby an individual, corporation or other entity provides a contribution of money or other type of support for the right to name a room or public space for a defined period of time and other associated benefits, such as membership and additional recognition and acknowledgement.
Region	Rockhampton Regional Area defined by the Local Government Areas of Queensland.
RMOA	Rockhampton Museum of Art

5 Policy Statement

Council funds the core capital requirements and operational activities of the RMOA, however additional sources of funding may be sought or offered from time to time to further achieve and enhance Council's cultural vision for the Region.

The provision of naming rights opportunities attached to rooms and public spaces at the RMOA, allows Council the opportunity to raise additional funds towards capital or operational expenditure for the RMOA.

Council recognises that the provision of naming rights connected to rooms and public spaces at the RMOA may be beneficial in some cases and not in others.

LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:	New	Page No:	Page 1 of 2

All proposed naming rights arrangements are subject to assessment, approval and acknowledgement requirements, specific to the type of support and appropriate for the level of funding received.

The general principles and processes for accepting and managing these naming rights arrangements and other benefits are detailed in the RMOA Naming Rights Procedure.

6 Review Timelines

This policy is reviewed when any of the following occur:

- (a) The related information is amended or replaced; or
- (b) Other circumstances as determined from time to time by the Council.

7 Document Management

Sponsor	Chief Executive Officer
Business Owner	General Manager Community Services
Policy Owner	Manager Communities and Culture
Policy Quality Control	Legal and Governance



LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:		Page No:	Page 2 of 2

ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

RMoA Naming Rights Procedure

Meeting Date: 17 May 2022

Attachment No: 2

ROCKHAMPTON MUSEUM OF ART NAMING RIGHTS PROCEDURE



1 Scope

This procedure applies to all rooms and public spaces not subject to commercial or funding restrictions at the Rockhampton Museum of Art.

2 Purpose

The purpose of this procedure is to ensure a consistent and transparent framework for the provision of naming rights and other associated benefits connected to rooms and public spaces at the Rockhampton Museum of Art, including termination of naming rights arrangements.

3 Related Documents

3.1 Primary

Rockhampton Museum of Art Naming Rights Policy

3.2 Secondary

Crime and Corruption Act 2001

Local Government Act 2009

Public Sector Ethics Act 1994

Code of Conduct

Delegation and Authorisation Policy

Delegations Corporate Register

4 Definitions

To assist in interpretation, the following definitions apply:

Approved Positions	Delegated officer with a corporate band 1, 2 or 3.
CEO	Chief Executive Officer A person who holds an appointment under section 194 of the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> . This includes a person acting in this position.
Conflict of Interest	A conflict of interest involves a conflict between your official duties and responsibilities in serving the public interest and your personal interests. A conflict of interest can arise from gaining personal advantage or avoiding personal losses. This includes advantages to relatives and friends.
Council	Rockhampton Regional Council
Councillor/s	The Mayor and Councillors of Council, within the meaning of the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> .

LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:		Page No:	Page 1 of 4

Delegated Officer	An employee appointed to a position with a relevant corporate band delegated in accordance with the Delegation and Authorisation Policy and detailed in the Delegations Corporate Register.
Rockhampton Museum of Art Philanthropy Board	A board constituted by a Terms of Reference adopted by Council.
RMOA	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Naming Rights	A transaction and form of sponsorship whereby an individual, corporation or other entity provides a contribution of money or other type of support for the right to name a room or public space for a defined period of time and other associated benefits, such as membership and additional recognition and acknowledgement.
Sponsor	The individual, corporation or other entity entering into the naming rights arrangement with Council.

5 Procedure

5.1 General Principles

In making a decision either to approve naming rights arrangements or not, the best interests of the public, public accountability, public perceptions and the potential risks against any potential contribution and benefit is assessed and considered.

All naming rights arrangements must be transparent, comply with Council's Code of Conduct, other policies and legislation, and do not limit Council's ability to carry out its functions fully or impartially.

Naming of a room or public space at the RMOA may arise either:

- (a) At the instigation of Council;
- (b) On receipt of a recommendation by the RMOA Philanthropy Board; or
- (c) At the direct instigation of a sponsor.

5.2 Available Rooms and Public Spaces

Naming rights arrangements apply solely to individually designated rooms and public spaces at the RMOA. The entire building (ie the RMOA) will not be subject to naming rights arrangements.

5.3 Naming Rights and Benefits

5.3.1 Naming Rights

5.3.1.1 Duration

Naming rights arrangements are for a defined period of time (not in perpetuity) commensurate with the amount of financial payment or other support.

5.3.1.2 Recognition

As part of the naming rights agreement, executed between Council and the sponsor, the sponsor's nominated name, as reviewed and approved by Council, will be appropriately positioned at the relevant room or public space in a manner that provides recognition for the sponsor, without detracting from the aesthetics of the RMOA.

5.3.2 Benefits

The sponsor will receive corporate membership at RMOA for the duration of the naming rights arrangement.

LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:		Page No:	Page 2 of 4

5.3.3 Additional Recognition and Acknowledgment

The sponsor's nominated name/logo may be used at Council's expense through other channels such as website, donor boards, RMOA social media, collateral, ticketing and promotional materials as deemed appropriate to fulfil the agreement and the objectives of Council.

5.4 Assessment and Approvals**5.4.1 Assessment**

Proposals for naming rights arrangements, including recommendations received from the RMOA Philanthropy Board must be assessed in accordance with the general principles and provisions of this procedure. In addition, the sponsor:

- (a) Must be assessed to have the capacity to fulfil its obligations;
- (b) Must agree to the benefits attaching to the naming rights arrangement.

For transparency purposes, assessment of naming rights proposals are undertaken by at least two approved positions.

5.4.2 Approvals

The CEO, Deputy Chief Executive Officer or General Manager of Community Services may approve naming rights arrangements subject to the approving officer not being a part of the initial assessment process.

5.5 Naming Rights Agreements

All naming rights arrangements must be formalised in a written agreement.

5.6 Sponsors Not Eligible

Approval of naming rights arrangements shall not be given to sponsors that:

- (a) Are involved in any current planning, regulatory or legal matter involving Council, or if it is reasonably known that such matters are likely to arise in the foreseeable future;
- (b) Impose or imply conditions that would limit, or appear to limit, Council's ability to carry out its functions legally, fully and impartially;
- (c) May be seen or perceived to compromise Council's ability to exercise its regulatory and planning functions.
- (d) Diminish, or may be perceived to diminish, public confidence in Council;
- (e) Have the potential to reflect negatively on Council;
- (f) Discriminate on the basis of race, sex, age, disability or religion;
- (g) May be perceived to have unethical or unprofessional business practices, or who produce or offer goods or services that may be harmful to users or of inferior quality;
- (h) May limit Council's ability to carry out its functions fully and impartially or may be perceived to do so; or
- (i) Are, or may be perceived to be, of a nature that is inconsistent with Council's values, strategic objectives or policies, may adversely affect Council's public image or reputation, or otherwise present a conflict of interest.

LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:		Page No:	Page 3 of 4

5.7 Termination of Naming Rights

Council reserves the right to terminate the naming rights and other benefits at any time if for any reason it considers the association with the name or sponsor to be damaging to its reputation or if the sponsor is in breach of the naming rights agreement.

Provided that Council has in good faith fulfilled its original commitment to the sponsor, Council will be in no way obligated to return any portion of the financial contribution made by the sponsor as at the date of termination.

5.8 Right of First Negotiation

If the sponsor is not in breach of the terms of the sponsorship agreement and subject to the provisions of this procedure, prior to the conclusion of the term of the agreement Council will provide written notice to the sponsor to extend the naming rights agreement. The sponsor must provide to Council written notification of their intention to negotiate with Council to sponsor the same room or public space.

6 Review Timelines

This procedure is reviewed when any of the following occur:

- (a) The related information is amended or replaced; or
- (b) Other circumstances as determined from time to time by the General Manager Community Services.

7 Document Management

Sponsor	Chief Executive Officer
Business Owner	General Manager Community Services
Policy Owner	Manager Communities and Culture
Policy Quality Control	Legal and Governance



LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE USE ONLY			
Adopted/Approved:	Draft	Department:	Community Services
Version:	1	Section:	Rockhampton Museum of Art
Reviewed Date:		Page No:	Page 4 of 4

8.3 PARKS SERVICE STANDARD REVIEW

File No: 8044
Attachments: Nil
Authorising Officer: Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services
Author: Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services

SUMMARY

The intent of this report is to update Council on the Parks Service Standard Review Operational Plan item that was nominated for review during 21/22 Financial year.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

THAT the report be 'received' and that Council are provided:

1. A plan of response for the next wet season;
2. Updates on the improvements against the finalised Parks Improvement Plan.

BACKGROUND

Generally, each summer Council receives complaints around the standard and frequency of mowing. In addition, Councillors have provided commentary that they are disappointed with the standard. This led onto an action being included into Councils Operational plan during 22/23 which asked for a review of Parks Service Standards.

In the first half of 2021, there was a project outlined (Parks GROW project) which was essentially a parks improvement project. Additional resources were added for 3 months, but then ceased. After this, momentum was lost largely due to the reactive nature of work within Parks.

In August 2021, at the Parks workshop, Parks Service Standards were discussed in an attempt to extract what Council was looking for in its operational plan action that states: *"Adopt Service Levels that includes defined Service levels of Parks", however nothing was agreed to.*

In the second half of 2021, there has been a restructure which had the intent of additional management and oversight of works programs as well as additional resources in the area of Sports & Administration. Both these positions were only filled at the start of 2022.

There was also serious safety incident in 2020, which had a number of recommendations for improvement, some of which are still being worked through.

There has been a change in safety standards for work on main roads (and roadside generally) which requires a permit to be approved before any work on medians can be undertaken. These permits add additional requirements for traffic control around roads and do not have a fast turnaround. This places a significant burden on programming and delivering work effectively.

Service Standards

The following is a description of how open spaces are presently maintained:

Botanics and Kershaw Gardens have their own site based crews and resources and sit under the direction of the Curator to allocate.

Other Parks Maintenance teams is split into 2 main operational areas:

1. Arboriculture & Streetscapes
 - a. Consisting of 2 teams for Arboriculture as well as a technical officer (8 FTE's)
 - b. 3 teams for streetscapes (15 FTE's) – these teams look after the high profile areas of the city such as median islands, riverbank, CBD areas.
2. Parks Operations
 - a. Sports and Irrigation – 9 FTEs
 - b. 3 teams for allocated to Gracemere/Mount Morgan and other western areas (15 FTE's) – Including some roadside mowing.
 - c. 1 team – 8 FTE's that does Southside Parks
 - d. 4 teams for Northside (18 FTE's)

With the exception of the Arboriculture teams, each other team has their own geographical area of responsibility and essentially runs through a cycle of maintenance visiting all designated areas. Customer requests are analysed for priority and either delayed (as maintenance is imminent anyway) or then responded to which puts those remaining parks on a delayed cycle. Arboriculture teams largely respond to maintenance requests that are prioritised by the supervisor.

On any given day, the teams have challenges of:

- Sickness & Vacant Positions – which has been substantial due to COVID as well as the problems in recruiting. Across the parks section at the time of writing we carry 8 vacant positions. During 2021, we lost an additional 70 days of work from these teams for COVID.
- Responding to ad-hoc requests such as events, customer requests,
- Machinery availability (mowers get a different priority over garbage trucks for example) and there are similar staff shortages in the workshop.
- Variations in weather such as heat and rain causing high growth, difficult working conditions, inaccessibility to some work sites.

Historically there was some work done that prioritised and scheduled parks based upon a hierarchy. A matrix was in place that outlined what sites were serviced on what days which largely remains in place for high profile crews that typically cycle on a 2 week basis. Outside of this however, the matrix system loses relevance due to larger areas and more variables. So the Matrix work done previously has fed the break-up of resources today however they are not followed rigidly. For example, Cedric Archer Park is visited on a daily basis as part of their routine. The Riverbank has its own dedicated team and so forth.

There are still parks that are obviously classed “A” and “B” parks however the data integrity for frequency is not reliable. Further information will be presented to the meeting over which parks have a higher standard than others.

At present, the mowing done is recorded on a paper run sheet known as a ‘KPI’. Their objective is to visit an area and leave the area maintained based upon a standard. The Coordinators and supervisors have been doing work to ensure that this standard of finish is agreed. The data on the paper sheets is not presently input or analysed.

Parks are not resourced (nor should they be) to accommodate the high growth season. Nor can we say with any confidence the level that we are in fact is resourced for. It is clear however from Councillor sentiment, that we are not meeting expectations.

Information that we do not have

Due to factors including the manual recording of maintenance, and lack of governance and reporting, we lack sufficient accurate maintenance data to guide decision making:

- Measure the performance of the mowing teams as to whether there is one area that is under-resourced.
- Analyse the customer requests for backlogs and workload (there is only 1 request type)
- Forecast schedules of the teams to assist in the response to customers with confidence.
- Objectively judge if we are meeting customer expectations.

With the R1 project (which was originally flagged as part of the Parks Grow project), it is intended to load maintenance schedules into the system and then monitor the performance against these schedules. This is a substantial change in the way supervisors are working and needs resourcing and training. A long term objective is a reliable maintenance schedule with mapping published on the RRC website to clearly show the community live accurate information on what has been recently serviced and what is scheduled.

Furthermore there are often anecdotal comparisons made between current and historic performance. There have been many changes over time, both to the area that is mowed and requirements to how a workforce is managed. A recent example is the changes to the traffic requirements which add substantial overhead to the Parks operations.

Industrial Environment & Culture

The Parks Unit has suffered by a continual change in management which has meant a number of changes that have not always been seen through to their completion. Council has given the commitment to its day-labour workforce, which means that staff overtime options must be exhausted prior to calling upon contractors. This on its own limits Council's responsiveness. Under the Industrial Relations act, any significant changes to work must be consulted upon, prior to proceeding.

Overtime hours worked during April amounted to 333 hours. In January, the overtime hours were 512 hours. Even when overtime is exhausted contractors are difficult to procure as they are also responding to the seasonal spikes.

There is significant pressure in meeting our Certified Agreement requirements and commitments to a day labor workforce while simultaneously demonstrating a sense of urgency to council and the community. In seeking to meet expectations and increase through contractor engagement, issues with staff and unions are heightened. In April 2022 there was a worker rally at the Parks administration office, protesting the usage of contractors. This has led to staff feeling unsafe in the workplace as well as straining employee-management relationships and impacting team culture.

The background provided provides some reason to the difficulty of nominating service standards and listing the standard at each park.

How to proceed

The following should be discussed and debated at the meeting:

We have 3 goals:

- Safety is first priority
- That we maintain open spaces with sufficient regularity to allow the intended use of the area.
- That our premium spaces are maintained at a level to showcase our city/towns.

Council should consider and acknowledge that:

1. There is no silver bullet to fixing the problem. There is improvement required in systems, culture, equipment & external influences.
2. The workforce will only have limited capacity to respond in high humidity growing seasons – periods of rain require approximately a 4 week period
3. Due to the risk of projectiles, a lower standard of presentation may need to be accepted.
4. Council does not control the medians on Main Roads but can advocate for improvement.
5. In many of our decision on resources safety must be first with the remaining being a balance of beauty versus the ongoing ability to maintain.
6. The manner in which we respond to Pathway requests potentially puts other schedules behind.

The role of Council – Operational versus Strategic

With the background provided, Council can adopt that a list of parks be maintained at a certain level. However, without first collecting our existing levels and having good information, this is risky.

Council could also discuss the level of service that is desirable and which parks should be at this level and set a task for Management to report on the gaps in this service to achieve this level. We cannot objectively do this, without system improvements and improved information.

Council needs to more clearly define the objective to allow officers to work within a scope towards an outcome.

Council can seek benchmarking information around the level of resources that RRC has compared to others. Due to the variation of work amongst Councils this is usually difficult.

Debate should be had to whether this is Council's highest priority. Looking across Council, this area may not be our highest risk area and we are working within budgetary constraints. It is for this reason that this area has not had significant improvement previously.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that Council receive the report and acknowledge the difficulty with nominating service standards at this time.

That quarterly updates be provided to Communities on the progress towards the development and resourcing and ultimate progress of an Improvement Plan.

9 NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Nil

11 URGENT BUSINESS/QUESTIONS

Urgent Business is a provision in the Agenda for members to raise questions or matters of a genuinely urgent or emergent nature, that are not a change to Council Policy and can not be delayed until the next scheduled Council or Committee Meeting.

12 CLOSED SESSION

In accordance with the provisions of section 254J(3) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, a local government may resolve to close a meeting to the public to discuss confidential items, such that its Councillors or members consider it necessary to close the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the meeting be closed to the public to discuss the following items, which are considered confidential in accordance with section 254J(3) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, for the reasons indicated.

13.1 Council Update - Current Community Negotiations

In accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* it is considered necessary to close the meeting to discuss negotiations relating to a commercial matter involving the local government for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government.

13.2 Rectangle Field Contingency Planning and Update

In accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* it is considered necessary to close the meeting to discuss negotiations relating to a commercial matter involving the local government for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government.

13 CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS

13.1 COUNCIL UPDATE - CURRENT COMMUNITY NEGOTIATIONS

File No: 1464

Attachments: Nil

Authorising Officer: Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services

Author: Kerri Dorman - Administration Supervisor

In accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* it is considered necessary to close the meeting to discuss negotiations relating to a commercial matter involving the local government for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government.

SUMMARY

Alicia Cutler, General Manager Community Services will provide a verbal update to Council on current discussions/negotiations involving Community Groups/Businesses.

13.2 RECTANGLE FIELD CONTINGENCY PLANNING AND UPDATE**File No:** 11432**Attachments:** 1. Rugby League Facilities Strategy**Authorising Officer:** Alicia Cutler - General Manager Community Services**Author:** Justin Bulwinkel - Supervisor - Sports and Administration

In accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* it is considered necessary to close the meeting to discuss negotiations relating to a commercial matter involving the local government for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government.

SUMMARY

This report provides notice of a proposal by Rockhampton Rugby League (RRL), CQ Capras and Secondary Schools Rugby League in partnership with QRL for infrastructure and facilities improvements to help overcome Rockhampton's anticipated facility shortages during the construction phase of Browne Park and Victoria Park stadium developments (2022-2025).

14 CLOSURE OF MEETING