| POINT No. | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | COLD FEED SYSTEM |
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SWALE A TYPICAL SECTION

## ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

 APPROVED PLANSThese plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with Development Permit No.: D/83-2019 Dated: 9 April 2020

| SWALE CAPACITY TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORMWATER } \\ & \text { CATCHMENT } \\ & \text { I.D. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AREA } \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | CATCHMENT SLOPE (\%) | $\mathrm{Q}_{100} 20$ STORM PEAK DISCHARGE $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | SWALE MANNINGS (N) |  |
| A | 0.853 | 5.4\% | 0.61 | 0.03 | 0.50 |
| в | 0.515 | 5.4\% | 0.38 | 0.033 | 0.28 |


| Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m) Vertical Geometry Grade e (\%Vertical Grade Length $(\mathrm{m})$ Vertical Grade Length (m) |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Horiz Curve Data
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## 3 Executive Summary

COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd intends to establish an asphalt manufacturing plant at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee 4702. The activity is described as an environmentally relevant activity (ERA) under the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 (EP Act), and requires an environmental authority (EA) from the Department of Environment and Science (DES) to operate. The proposed activity is assessable development under the provisions of the Planning Act 2016 and requires a development approval (DA) from local government (Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC)) and the State (Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (DSDMIP)) to operate. Both the DA and the EA will require that the activity is operated in such a manner so that stormwater that falls on disturbed areas of the asphalt manufacturing activity is appropriately managed to prevent impact on land and waters in the receiving environment. Appropriate engineered stormwater management controls must be in place for activities that require approval for assessable development.

STEER Environmental Consulting (STEER EC) has been commissioned by COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd to prepare a "Stormwater Management Plan" (SWMP) for the proposed asphalt manufacturing activity. The SWMP discusses the proposed site for the asphalt manufacturing activity, the surrounding area, and the receiving environment in terms of potential impact from the activity. The subject land is also the licensed location for an extractive and screening ERA. STEER EC has worked cooperatively with Knobel Engineers (Knobel) to develop this SWMP for the asphalt manufacturing activity. The SWMP details the engineered design of the operational area, and the stormwater management infrastructure that will be implemented to mitigate potential impact on the receiving environment from stormwater that has been in contact with the footprint of the site. Other mitigation measures include diverting stormwater around the footprint of the asphalt manufacturing plant.

## 4 Background

### 4.1 Proponent

COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd is part of the COLAS Group, a leader in the construction and maintenance of transport infrastructure across Australia. The COLAS Group is involved in every aspect of construction and maintenance for roads and other types of transport infrastructure including air, rail and marine transport, as well as projects involving urban development and recreational facilities. The main operational branch of the COLAS Group is focused on the core business of constructing and maintaining roads, but also undertakes specialised activities in related sectors including railways, sales of refined products, road safety and signalling, and pipelines. COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd is based at Dundowran near Hervey Bay, and is part of the decentralised network of local companies under the COLAS Group. COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd is focused purely on road construction and maintenance, and already operates bitumen spray crews around central Queensland from its base in Gracemere. COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd has identified an opportunity to manufacture asphalt to supply the local market in central Queensland.

### 4.2 Site Location and Surrounding Area

The subject land is located at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee 4702 in the RRC local government area (Figure 1). The real property description for the subject land is Lot 2 on Plan RP888747. The site is located on the western side of the Bruce Highway approximately 14 km south of Rockhampton. There is presently no asphalt manufacturing plant on site, however the intention is the utilise one of the cleared areas on the subject land to construct an asphalt manufacturing plant. The presence of the hard rock quarry on the subject land has been a major factor in the decision to establish the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant on site. Material won from the existing hard rock quarry will be crushed on site under an existing environmental authority (EA) and used in the asphalt manufacturing plant as feedstock for manufacturing asphalt. The land parcel is zoned as rural in the Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015 (RRPS), however Hopeman Pty Ltd operates the hard rock quarry under a development approval (DA) administered by Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC) and an EA administered by the Department of Environment and Science (DES). The land parcel covers a surface area of approximately 85 ha, and large areas of the surface of the subject land have been developed for the purpose of conducting the existing extraction and screening activities (Figure 2).

The surrounding area is rural, and is zoned as such in the RRPS. Between the subject land and the Bruce Highway is nestled an 8 ha parcel of land on which the Kangaroo Country Caravan Park is situated. The caravan park occupies the southern portion of the lot. Both land parcels to the north and south are undeveloped, and owned by the Hopkins family, who also own and operate the extractive and screening environmentally relevant activities (ERAs) on the subject land. The land parcel to the west is freehold, undeveloped and used for grazing cattle.


Figure 1. Location of the subject land at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee in relation to Rockhampton and surrounding population centres.


Figure 2. Lot 2 on Plan RP888747 at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee showing the proposed location for the asphalt manufacturing plant to the east of the main area of extraction licensed under the Hopeman Pty Ltd environmental authority.
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Figure 3. Subject land shown in darker yellow/green, surrounded entirely by rural zone land (lighter yellow/green). Source: RRPS mapping.

### 4.3 Site History

The subject land is the location of a large hard rock quarry owned and operated by Hopeman Pty Ltd. The quarry has been operated continually for many decades at the location, and the footprint of that activity occupies a significant area of the subject land. Hopeman Pty Ltd operates the extractive and screening ERA under the provisions of an EA issued by DES. Quarrying involves making hard rock accessible by blasting as necessary, before won material is processed into a range of products including road base, aggregates, crusher dust, filter rock and railway ballast. This is achieved through the use of crushing and screening plant permanently positioned on the subject land (Figure 4). Hopeman Pty Ltd are also involved in civil construction projects and have used cleared areas on the subject land for storage of various materials such as used railway sleepers, and redundant or decommissioned plant. The proposed location for the asphalt manufacturing plant was cleared many years ago and has been used as a laydown area for storing material from a range of projects.


Figure 4. Processing plant in use at the extractive and screening ERA on the subject land.

### 4.4 Regional Context

The subject land is located in the Fitzroy River Catchment, which makes up part of the Brigalow Belt Bioregion of central Queensland. The land is separated from the Fitzroy River floodplain by the Bruce Highway and northern rail line. Vegetation in the surrounding area consists predominantly of open woodland to the north, west and south which is mapped primarily as non-remnant vegetation.

### 4.5 Climate

The climate for the region is classified as warm and temperate. The average rainfall is 773 mm with the majority falling between October and March (Figure 5). Average temperature ranges from $26.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January to $15.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in July (Figure 5).


Figure 5. Mean monthly rainfall and maximum temperature for Rockhampton Aero, located at the Bureau of Meteorology at the Rockhhampton Airport.

## 5 Stormwater Management Plan

### 5.1 Stormwater Management Plan Context

In the absence of appropriate built controls, stormwater that falls or flows onto the footprint of the asphalt manufacturing plant facility during rainfall events is likely to liberate sediment, which is a prescribed water contaminant in the Environmental Protection Act 1994. Sediment-laden stormwater released from the asphalt manufacturing plant has the potential to impact on waters in the receiving environment, potentially resulting in environmental harm. This Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) describes the management approach and mitigation measures to manage and capture surface stormwater generated on the site during rainfall events. The document describes mitigation measures that will be employed during both the construction and operational phases of the activity. The SWMP has been designed to minimise sediment mobilisation and erosion on the site, and any potential release of sediment from the site.

The SWMP has been developed in consultation with Knobel Engineers (Knobel), Registered Professional Engineers of Queensland (RPEQ). Knobel has undertaken the necessary calculations in accordance with DES guidelines, which will see stormwater runoff for all rainfall events up to and including a 1 in 5 year 24-hour duration rainfall event captured and retained onsite. The detailed calculations based on site hydrology and hydraulics can be viewed in the Knobel Engineers Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan (KECSWMP) which contributes to this SWMP as Appendix A.

### 5.2 Receiving Environment

A constructed dam is located downstream of the surface area of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant footprint. This is a legacy farm dam used to water stock for many years prior to the operation of the existing quarry on the subject land. Stormwater that falls on the catchment of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant and on the small catchment above the proposed site footprint reports to this dam. The dam then overtops and discharges through a culvert beneath the main access road which runs east-west through site and to the existing hard rock quarry, to the unmapped drainage line on the subject land (Figure 6).


Figure 6. Location of unmapped watercourse (grey line approximately marking location) that stormwater from the dam reports to. Source: Queensland Globe.

### 5.3 General SMWP Design Principles

The SWMP has been designed predominantly as a 'catchment perimeter control' plan. The proposed site for the asphalt manufacturing plant is located on a sloping section of land, positioned to one side of a slight ridge on an elevated portion of Lot 2 Plan RP888747. The surface contours shown in the KECSWMP demonstrate that the site area commences approximately 10 m upgradient of, and south of the main access road for the existing extractive and screening ERA on the subject land at approximately 43 m AHD. The site slopes north toward the main access road, and the proposed footprint for the asphalt manufacturing plant extends to immediately upgradient of this road, terminating at an elevation of approximately 34 m . The site therefore has an approximate fall of 9 m from the southern upslope boundary to the northern boundary adjacent to the main access road. The natural topography of the site generally directs stormwater from the southernmost portion, down the natural slope toward the northeast. The surface area of the site proposed for the asphalt manufacturing plant is 1.559 ha (Refer to Knobel Stormwater Catchment Plan, Ref K4717/P001/A contained within Appendix A). The proposed location for the asphalt manufacturing plant only has a small catchment of 0.285 ha, located directly upgradient to the south (Plan Ref K4717/P001/A contained within Appendix A).
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The SWMP has been designed to work in unison with the natural topography and hydraulic characteristics of stormwater flows across the proposed site for the asphalt manufacturing plant. The site was carefully selected with the intention of ensuring that stormwater management strategies can be engineer-designed to use the natural slope of the site to the system's advantage, allowing for implementation of minimal standard stormwater management controls to guide stormwater flows from the single small catchment area into the proposed retention basin (Refer to Knobel Stormwater Management Plan, Ref K4717/P002/B contained within Appendix A). Stormwater will be captured and allowed to settle within the retention basin, thus retaining sediment liberated in stormwater flows on the licensed place. Only during extreme rainfall events will stormwater be discharged from the retention basin into the receiving environment.

The SWMP is comprised of the following stormwater management infrastructure which will be discussed in detail in sections below:

- Sediment fencing
- Earthen perimeter bund
- Diversion drains
- Vegetated swales
- Rock-lined swales
- Stabilised entry/exit point
- Retention basin with rock-lined overflow weir


### 5.3.1 Construction phase

Stormwater management for the proposed asphalt manufacturing activity will be separated into two distinct phases as follows:

1. The construction phase, and
2. The operational phase.

This section describes how stormwater will be managed through the construction phase.

The construction of the asphalt manufacturing plant will require sufficient time to build necessary infrastructure such as office buildings, ablution blocks, material stockpiling areas, and siting the asphalt manufacturing plant where it will be eventually operated. This phase will also involve preparing the hardstand area, which will involve laying down asphalt or bitumen in some trafficable areas.

Additionally, the construction phase will involve building the stormwater management infrastructure in accordance with the RPEQ-designed plans in the KECSWMP. Once the site is set out, a sediment fence will be installed along the western, northern and eastern boundaries of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant area in accordance with the Knobel Sediment and Erosion Control Plan and Details, Ref: K4717/P003/B in Appendix A.

Once the asphalt manufacturing plant and the permanent stormwater management infrastructure has been constructed, the sediment fence will be permanently removed for the operational phase of the activity.

### 5.3.2 Exclusion of external stormwater flows

Overland flow of stormwater from upgradient of the proposed development site can impact unnecessarily on the internal stormwater management system which is only engineered to manage water that falls directly on the disturbed area of the activity. Wherever possible, stormwater flowing from catchment located upgradient of the proposed development site should be diverted around the site. Equally as important as implementing appropriate internal stormwater and sediment and erosion control measures is establishing effective ways of preventing clean stormwater from entering the footprint of the proposed site. Stormwater that falls upgradient of the proposed development site is considered uncontaminated water, however once it enters the site and comes in contact with disturbed areas it must be managed as potentially contaminated stormwater. Therefore, ingress of stormwater that originates upgradient of the site can significantly increase the volume of water that must be managed through stormwater management and holding infrastructure. The upgradient catchment is poorly grassed, and has large areas of naturally bare ground which invariably results in the liberation of sediment from this area during significant rain events. However, since this is the natural undisturbed ground surface, this water is considered uncontaminated stormwater for the purpose of this SWMP.

The upgradient overland flow can only originate from within the small catchment above the proposed site for the asphalt manufacturing plant. The site for the asphalt manufacturing plant was specifically chosen because of its location having only minimal catchment above the proposed area for the plant. The external catchment of 0.285 ha located upgradient of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant area is labelled 'EXT A' in the Knobel Stormwater Catchment Plan, Ref: K4717/P001/A contained within Appendix A. Rain falling on areas to the west and east of the proposed area for the asphalt manufacturing plant will flow away from the site due to the natural gradient of the land.

A diversion drain and vegetated swale will be constructed at the interface between the upgradient external catchment (EXT A) to prevent, or at least minimise to the greatest extend possible, stormwater from entering the site of the asphalt manufacturing plant.

The small catchment size upgradient of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant, and the control measures in place to divert water away from the disturbed area of the plant will ensure that the internal stormwater management system will not be challenged with additional potentially contaminated stormwater.

Additional built infrastructure to ensure potentially contaminated stormwater generated from rainfall directly onto the distrubed area of the asphalt manufacturing plant site will be retained on this area by installing a 200 mm high earthen perimeter bund (Knobel Stormwater Management Plan, Ref:K4717/P002/B ontained within Appendix A). This bund will prevent sheet flow over the disturbed area from escaping site, and instead will ensure this water reports directly to the rock-lined swales located along the northern and eastern boundaries of the asphalt manufacturing plant area.

### 5.3.3 Control of surface water flows within the footprint of the activity

Stormwater flows generated from rain falling directly on the disturbed area of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant must be managed in a manner that minimises the potential for erosion and sediment liberation within the site. This will be achieved by installing rock-lined swales that will act to significantly slow the velocity of flowing stormwater on site. These structures function as a primary treatment system by removing gross pollutants such as plastic and other rubbish that may be accidentally liberated in stormwater during significant rain events. The rock-lined swales will reduce the likelihood of stormwater scouring the ground on the disturbed area of the proposed activity, liberating sediment in the process and prematurely filling the retention basin. There will be two rocklined swales constructed within the footprint of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant area. One will be located close to the northern boundary of the site, running parallel with the main access road and will direct water in an easterly direction to the retention basin in the northeast corner. The second rock-lined swale will be constructed along the eastern boundary of the site, and will channel water northward, also into the retention basin. The location of the proposed rock-lined swales can be seen in the Knobel Stormwater Management Plan, Ref: K4717/P002/B and the Knobel Sediment and Erosion Control Plan and Details, Ref: K4717/P003/B, both presented in Appendix A.

### 5.3.4 Retention basin design capacity

The footprint of the asphalt manufacturing plant has only a single catchment (Catchment A in Knobel Stormwater Catchment Plan, Ref: K4717/P001/A in Appendix A). Initially, rainwater will travel as sheet flow across the surface of the asphalt manufacturing plant, before concentrating in the two rock-lined swales along the northern and eastern boundaries of the site and flowing into the single retention basin. The intention of the engineer-designed retention basin will be to capture and hold stormwater runoff from all rainfall events up to and including a 1 in 5 year 24 -hour duration rainfall event. The retention basin will be constructed in the northeast corner of the disturbed area of the asphalt manufacturing plant, which is downgradient of both the northern and eastern rock-lined swales, which will channel stormwater that falls on site into the basin. The retention basin will be constructed with a 1 in 2 batter around its perimeter, and each stormwater delivery point where stormwater will enter the basin at the termination of the two rock-lined swales will have rock armouring to minimise the risk of erosion. Design of the retention basin will provide a sediment settling zone, which will allow a minimum settling volume of $2210 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

The retention basin will have a reinforced spillway built into the eastern end, which will allow settled stormwater to discharge once the basin is at capacity. The spillway will be 0.5 m lower than the top of the bank, and will be 5 m wide once constructed (Knobel Permanent Sediment and Erosion Control Details, Ref: K4717/P004/B). Immediately downgradient of the spillway will be rock armouring to ensure discharges from the retention basin do not result in erosion of the grass-lined swale between the retention basin and the dam to the east.

### 5.3.5 Discharge of stormwater

Captured and settled stormwater will be discharged into the receiving environment via the constructed weir at the eastern end of the retention basin. Settled stormwater will discharge across the reinforced spillway, and flow over the rock armouring before entering the existing dam to the east
(Figure 6). After filling the dam, water will discharge in a northerly direction, under the road through a culvert and enter the existing unmapped watercourse that flows west to east on the subject land. The unmapped watercourse ultimately discharges toward Serpentine Creek, which is situated approximately mid-estuary on the southern bank of the Fitzroy River.

### 5.4 SWMP Maintenance

Routine maintenance of the key infrastructure of the stormwater management system is vital to the ongoing effectiveness of the SWMP. Routine maintenance will entail the following:

- Regular inspections of the integrity of the sediment fence during the construction phase.
- Regular inspections of permanent stormwater management infrastructure once constructed to ensure continued integrity of the following:
- perimeter bund,
- grassed swales/drains outside of the footprint of the plant,
- rock-lined swales within the footprint of the plant,
- water storage infrastructure (retention basins).
- Regular maintenance/servicing of rock-lined and grassed swales, the retention basin and the reinforced spillway to remove any accumulated debris. Unattended accumulation of debris may significantly compromise the effectiveness of stormwater management system infrastructure.
- Regular inspection and servicing of swales, retention basins and weirs to remove any accumulated sediment/silt. This material should be removed and stockpiled for future rehabilitation works or other alternate purposes.
- Inspection of stormwater management system infrastructure following significant rainfall events to confirm the integrity of all infrastructure and identify any areas where repairs may be necessary.
- Repair of any damage to stormwater management infrastructure.
- Continual on-site use of water captured within the retention basin to maintain maximum freeboard and potential capacity of infrastructure. Removing water from the retention basin will also simplify the process of intermittently removing accumulated sediment from the basin.


### 5.5 Use of Captured Water

To maintain the maximum available freeboard, and therefore maintain the maximum potential holding capacity of the stormwater management infrastructure, water captured within retention basin will be removed regularly. While water should be removed regularly to maximise freeboard at all times, special attention should be made to maximising freeboard immediately prior to the recognised wetter months of the year, being October to March (Figure 5). Water removed from the retention basin will be used on-site to control dust potentially generated at the asphalt manufacturing plant or on the main access road shared by the asphalt plant and the existing hard rock quarry. Water from the retention basin will not be used in a manner that is likely to result in this water escaping to the receiving environment.

## 6 Implementation of SWMP

The SWMP is being implemented into a site that has previously been cleared and used as a laydown area, however has not been developed for any particular use. Therefore, there is no existing stormwater management infrastructure at the proposed location for the asphalt manufacturing plant.

The SWMP will be implemented from scratch, beginning with establishment of the construction phase infrastructure and the western diversion drain/grassed swale that will separate the small external catchment (EXT A) from the footprint of the asphalt manufacturing plant. The difference between this and a greenfield site is that the site proposed for the asphalt manufacturing plant has already been cleared and compacted over time from use as a laydown area by the land owners. Therefore, no extensive clearing of vegetation is necessary. Commencement of the minor reshaping that will be necessary to install the infrastructure described in the SWMP will occur during the drier months of the year so as to minimise the potential for significant rain events to liberate sediment during the construction phase. The retention basin will be constructed first to ensure that in the event of significant rain, sediment liberated in stormwater that traverses the disturbed area of the site will be captured. Construction of all stormwater management infrastructure will be completed prior to the establishment of the asphalt manufacturing plant infrastructure.
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## 7 Appendices

Appendix A - Knobel Engineers - Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Knobel Engineers has been commissioned by Colas Queensland Pty Ltd to prepare a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan (CSWMP) for the Proposed Asphalt Manufacturing Plant at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee.

The subject site will make up a portion of the subject lot which is described in Table 1 and has a total area of 85.15 ha. The subject site has a total area of 1.559 ha.

Table 1:
Site Description

| Developer | Lot and Property Description | Street Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colas Queensland Pty Ltd | Lot 2 RP888747 | 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee |

In preparing the CSWMP Knobel Engineers has considered the applicable requirements for the management of the stormwater quantity and quality measures appropriate for the subject site and proposed development.

### 1.2 Scope

This CSWMP details the conceptual planning, layout and design of the stormwater management infrastructure for both the construction and operational phases of this development.

This CSWMP aims to:

- Establish the required performance criteria for the proposed stormwater quality management systems;
- Provide a conceptual design of stormwater infrastructure including stormwater quality management controls where required;
- Provide guidelines for stormwater quality during construction and operational phase;
- Ensure stormwater runoff is conveyed through the site to a lawful point of discharge in accordance with Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (QUDM) and Capricorn Municipal Development Guidelines (CMDG); and
- Provide reporting and monitoring mechanisms whereby the performance of this system can be measured enabling identification of corrective actions/alterations required to ensure the above mentioned objectives are maintained.

This CSWMP has been prepared in accordance with the IEAust, (National Committee on Water Engineering), Australian Runoff Quality (Draft), CMDG and QUDM.

### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT SITE

### 2.1 Location

The subject site is located on 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee indicated in Figure 1.


Figure 1: $\quad$ Subject Site - Locality Plan (modified from maps.google.com.au)

### 2.1.1 Site Topography

The site grades west with the highest point located on the south western boundary of the site at 44.5 m AHD and the lowest point located in the north eastern corner at 33m AHD.

### 2.1.2 Vegetation and Land Use

The subject site currently consists of a cleared section of land to the east of an existing licensed hard rock quarry. The subject site is proposed to be used for the manufacturing of asphalt and will utilise material won from the quarry for use as feedstock for manufacturing asphalt. The subject site is completely independent of the quarrying activity. An aerial photograph is illustrated in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Aerial Photograph (modified from google maps.google.com.au)

### 2.1.3 Proposed Development

The site is to be utilised as a Proposed Asphalt Manufacturing Plant.

### 3.0 SITE HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

### 3.1 Background

In order to estimate the contributing peak discharge for a range of ARI events the Rational Method has been applied to define flow rates at and through the subject site. In accordance with Department of Environment and Science (DES) Guidelines, stormwater runoff for all rainfall events up to a 1 in 5 year 24 hour duration rainfall event should be captured and held onsite. The following sections define the parameters of the sites hydraulics and provide sizing volumes for the proposed retention basin. The Rational Method (Section 4.03 of the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual - QUDM 2008) is flexible in its data requirements and is able to produce satisfactory estimates of peak site discharges based on the following data input:

- specific intensity frequency duration (IFD) data;
- length/type of flowpath;
- contributing catchment areas; and
- coefficient of discharge.


### 3.2 Development Flows

### 3.2.1 Catchment Definition and Existing Point of Discharge

The subject site will contain a single catchment, Catchment A. Catchment A discharges stormwater to the north and north eastern boundary of the site; this will represent the Lawful Point of Discharge (LPOD) for Catchment A.

The Catchment is shown on Knobel Engineers, Stormwater Catchment Plan (Ref: K4717/P001/A) included as Appendix A.

### 3.2.2 Coefficient of Runoff

The coefficient of runoff ( $C$ year) was determined based on fraction impervious ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) method as specified in QUDM.

The site is assumed to be made up of $50 \%$ impervious area with the remainder gravel/roadbase. For this reason Catchment A will be $75 \%$ impervious, this equates to a fraction impervious of 0.75 . Using a one hour, ten year rainfall intensity $\left(\left.{ }^{1}\right|_{10}\right)$ of $64 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}$, a $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ value of 0.85 has been adopted for the Catchment A .

With reference to QUDM Table 4.5.2, applying the frequency factors for the standard storms of 2, 5, 10 and 100 years results in the following pre development coefficients of runoff as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Development Coefficient of Runoff

| Catchment ID | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catchment A | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 1.00 |

### 3.2.3 Time of Concentration

The time of concentration has been calculated in accordance with QUDM section 4.6.6 - Overland Flow. Friend's Equation ( $t=\left(107 n L^{0.333}\right) / S^{0.2}$ ) has been used to calculate the initial travel time as sheet flow with the remaining distance calculated as concentrated flow. From review of the site, Catchment A stormwater will sheet flow across the site before concentrating in constructed swales on the north and east boundaries. Swales will direct flows towards the north eastern boundary. Refer to Table 3 for the calculated time of concentration.

Table 3: $\quad$ Calculated Time of Concentration

| Catchment | Catchment <br> Area (ha) | Catchment <br> Properties | Overland flow <br> Friend's Equation | Concentrated flow <br> QUDM Figure 4.09 | Total $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.559 | Bare soil <br> surface | Horton's $(\mathrm{n})=0275$ <br> $\mathrm{~L}=91 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Slope $=5.40 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{t}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$ | Length of flow $=135 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Fall of channel $=7$ <br> $\Delta=2$ <br> $\mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{~min}$ | 12 min |

### 3.2.4 Design Flow Rates

Design storm flow rates have been calculated for standard storms with an ARI of $2,5,10$ and 100 years using design rainfall intensities from The Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Rainfall and Runoff 2016. The Rational Method ( $\mathrm{Q}=2.78 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{CIA}$ ) has been used to calculate the required design flow rates for the subject site.

The calculated peak flows for this subject site are presented in Table 4.
Table 4: Development Catchment Flow Rates

| Annual Exceedance Probability | AEP | $39 \%(1$ in 2 <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $18 \%(1$ in 5 <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $10 \%(1$ in 10 <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $1 \%(1 \mathrm{in} 100$ <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficient of Runoff | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 1.00 |
| Area of Catchment (ha) | A | 1.559 | 1.559 | 1.559 | 1.559 |
| Average Rainfall Intensity (mm/h) | $\mathbf{I}$ | 110 | 134 | 153 | 231 |
| Peak Flow Rate (m3/s) | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 9}$ |

### 3.3 Downstream Flow Characteristics

An investigation of the downstream flow characteristics was undertaken to determine if stormwater flows from the site resulting from storm events in excess of the 1 in 5 yr 24 hour ARI ( $18 \%$ AEP) do not interact with the water quality test points for the existing extraction and screening ERA on the site.

The outcome of this investigation determined that flows from the site will not enter this area and instead follow an existing flow path directing flows east towards an existing dam. See Knobel Engineers, Stormwater Management Plan (Ref: K4717/P002/B) included as Appendix B for further details.

### 3.4 External Catchments

### 3.4.1 Catchment Definition and Existing Point of Discharge

The site has a single external catchment (EXT A) which discharges flows across the southern boundary of the site and moves in a north west direction. EXT A has an area of approximately $2,851 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The external catchment consists of poor grassed areas and select trees. The Catchment is shown on Knobel Engineers, Stormwater Catchment Plan (Ref: K4717/P001/A).

### 3.4.2 Coefficient of Runoff

The coefficient of runoff ( $C$ year) was determined based on fraction impervious ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) method as specified in QUDM. A fraction impervious of 0.00 has been adopted for the external catchment. Using a one hour, ten year rainfall intensity $\left({ }^{1}{ }_{10}\right)$ of $64 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ a $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ value of 0.70 has been adopted for the external catchment.

With reference to QUDM Table 4.5.2, applying the frequency factors for the standard storms of 2, 5, 10 and 100 years results in the following pre development coefficients of runoff as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Development Coefficient of Runoff

| Catchment ID | $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{10}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{100}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| External <br> Catchment A | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.84 |

### 3.4.3 Time of Concentration

The time of concentration has been calculated in accordance with QUDM section 4.6.6 - Overland Flow. Friend's Equation ( $t=\left(107 n L^{0.333}\right) / S^{0.2}$ ) has been used to calculate the initial travel time as sheet flow with the remaining distance calculated as concentrated flow. From review of the site, EXT A stormwater will sheet flow before concentrating in natural channels and will flow across the southern boundary of the site. It is proposed to redirect flows to the east around the subject site through the use of a diversion drain and a bund along the southern boundary. Refer to Table 6 for the calculated time of concentration.

Table 6: Calculated Time of Concentration

| Catchment | Catchment <br> Area (ha) | Catchment Properties | Time of concentration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Overland flow <br> Friend's Equation | Concentrated flow QUDM Figure 4.09 | Total $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| A | 2.851 | Poorly Grassed Surface | $\begin{gathered} \text { Horton's }(n)=035 \\ L=71 \mathrm{~m} \\ \text { Slope }=6.8 \% \\ t=10.6 \mathrm{~min} \end{gathered}$ | ```Length of flow =46m Fall of channel = 3 \Delta = 3 t=3 min``` | 14 min |

### 3.4.4 Design Flow Rates

Design storm flow rates have been calculated for standard storms with an ARI of 2, 5, 10 and 100 years using design rainfall intensities from The Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Rainfall and Runoff 2016. The Rational Method ( $\mathrm{Q}=2.78 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{CIA}$ ) has been used to calculate the required design flow rates for the subject site.

The calculated peak flows for this subject site are presented in Table 7.

Table 7: External Catchment Flow Rates

| Annual Exceedance Probability | AEP | $39 \%(1$ in 2 <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $18 \%(1$ in 5 <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $10 \%(1 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{10}$ <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ | $1 \%(1 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{100}$ <br> $\mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficient of Runoff | $\mathbf{C}$ | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.84 |
| Area of Catchment (ha) | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.285 |
| Average Rainfall Intensity (mm/h) | $\mathbf{I}$ | 103 | 126 | 144 | 217 |
| Peak Flow Rate (m3/s) | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 4}$ |

### 4.0 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

### 4.1 Background

Disturbance to the existing ground has the potential to significantly increase sediment loads entering downstream drainage systems and watercourses. The following section outlines proposed sediment and erosion controls to be implemented during the construction and operational phase of the development.

### 4.2 Key Pollutants

During the construction phase a number of key pollutants have been identified for this development.
Table 8 illustrates the key pollutants that have been identified.
Table 8: Key Pollutants, Construction Phase

| Pollutant | Sources |
| :--- | :--- |
| Litter | Paper, construction packaging, food packaging, cement bags, material off cuts. |
| Sediment | Exposed soils and stockpiles during earthworks and building works. |
| Hydrocarbons | Fuel and oil spills, leaks from construction equipment and temporary car park areas. |
| Toxic Materials | Cement slurry, asphalt primer, solvents, cleaning agents, and wash waters |
| Acids or Alkaline <br> substances | Acid sulphate soil, cement slurry and wash waters. |

### 4.3 Sediment and Erosion Controls

### 4.3.1 Context

Stormwater that falls or flows onto the proposed plant area during rainfall events is likely to release sediment in disturbed areas. Sediment laden stormwater that is released from the site has the potential to cause unreasonable harm to waterways. This section outlines methods to manage and capture surface stormwater generated on the site during rainfall events and is designed to minimise sediment erosion mobilisation and erosion on the site, and any potential release of sediment from the site.

### 4.3.2 General Design Principles

Sediment and Erosion Controls have been designed predominantly as a 'catchment perimeter control' plan. The proposed plant area is structured around the existing areas. The topography of the site has been designed to direct stormwater through a retention basin before discharging stormwater via an overflow weir to the overland flow path to the east of the site. Rock swales have been proposed around the perimeter of the operational area and will capture runoff, remove sediment and discharge flows to the retention basin. See Knobel Engineers, Stormwater Management Plan (Ref: K4717/P002/B) for further details.

### 4.3.3 Control of Surface Water Flows

Stormwater flows on the site must be managed in a manner that minimises potential erosion within the site and to minimise potential release of any contaminated surface flows from the site through the use of rock swales and a retention basin.

### 4.3.4 Retention Basin

The retention basin will be designed to completely retain a $Q_{5} 24$ hour rainfall event. This will ensure any contaminants from the site can be removed prior to leaving the site. The basin will utilise a single overflow spillway, with the invert of the weir at the $Q_{5}$ water level of RL 33.0 m , this will allow rainfall events above the 5 year ARI to be discharged from the site and maintain the existing flow regime that was evident in the pre development scenario. For further details see Knobel Engineers, Permanent Sediment Basin Plan and Details (Ref: K4717/P004/B) included as Appendix D. Stormwater from the basin will be utilised onsite for dust suppression and other activities during the asphalt manufacturing process.

5 Year 24hr Rainfall Intensity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6.95 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h} \\
& =0.00695 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

24h Rainfall Depth

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.00695 \times 24 \\
& =0.1668 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Catchment Areas

1.559ha

24hr Rainfall Volume
C5 $=0.81$

$$
\text { a) } \quad=0.81 \times 15586 \times 0.1668
$$

### 4.3.5 Swales

The rock swales will serve two functions, firstly will look to convey runoff from portions of the operational area to the retention basin and secondly to treat stormwater runoff by removing gross pollutants from runoff. To ensure stormwater from site is utilizing the existing point of discharge for all storm events up to and including the $1 \%$ AEP ( 100 yr ARI), sizing for swales is provided below for the $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ event.

## Peak Flow rate for Swale Calculations

Assume TC $=20 \mathrm{~min} \quad{ }^{20}{ }_{5}=106 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(0.81 \times 106 \times 1.559) / 360 \\
& =0.372 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume flow is split between inflow locations with $70 \%$ of flow directed to the northern swale and the remainder is collected by the eastern swale.

North Swale:
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q}_{100} & =0.372 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \times 0.70 \\
& =0.260 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.4 Operational Phase Maintenance Requirements

The proposed stormwater management devices will require maintenance and monitoring to ensure that they function as designed. The following section provides an outline of the necessary maintenance tasks for the proposed devices.

### 4.4.1 Retention Basin Maintenance

The retention basin will need to be monitored to ensure the basin is working as intended. Sediments accumulated at the inlets to the basin need to be monitored. Should excessive sediment build-up it will impact flow path and may result in flows not reaching the basin. The proposed Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices (SQIDs) will require regular maintenance and monitoring to ensure that they function as designed.

## Maintenance is primarily concerned with:

- Maintenance of flow to and through the retention basin;
- Maintaining structure and lining of retention basin;
- Preventing undesired overgrowth vegetation from taking over the retention basin;
- Removal of accumulated sediments;
- Litter and debris removal; and
- Ensure weir functionality is maintained through removal of debris and sediment deposits.


### 4.4.2 Rock Swale Maintenance

The rock swales will need to be monitored ensure build-up of sediments does not occur.

## Maintenance is primarily concerned with:

- Maintenance of flow through the swale;
- Preventing undesired overgrowth vegetation from taking over the swale;
- Removal of accumulated sediments; and
- Litter and debris removal.


### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Knobel Engineers has been commissioned by Colas Queensland Pty Ltd to prepare a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan (CSWMP) for a proposed Asphalt Manufacturing Plant at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee.

This CSWMP details the conceptual planning, layout and design of the stormwater management infrastructure for both the construction and operational phases of this development.

A sediment and erosion control plan is provided for the construction and operational phase of the development and shall be implemented by the contractor and developer. A $1590 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ retention basin with a storage volume of $2210 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ storage is proposed for the operational phase of the development to treat stormwater runoff up to and including the 24 hour 5\% AEP (1 in 5 yr ) storm event. Rock swales have been provided to collect flows from site and direct to the retention basin and ensure flows up to and including the $1 \%$ AEP ( 100 yr ARI) storm event are discharge through the LPOD for the site.
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### 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Background

COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd (COLAS) propose to establish an asphalt manufacturing plant within the existing quarry site located at 59793 Bruce Highway or Lot 2 RP888747 in Midgee, approximately 9.5 km south of Rockhampton. The proposed operation of the plant is understood to be regarded as High Impact Industry as defined by the Rockhampton Regional Council Planning Scheme.

### 1.2 Scope and Study Area

Access Traffic Consulting (ATC) have been commissioned by COLAS to undertake a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant (the Project) located south of Rockhampton on 59793 Bruce Highway.
This Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) was carried out to determine the level of potential impacts of both the construction and operational phases of the Project on the operation of the surrounding road network. The TIA will form part of the development application to Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC) and the expected referral agency of Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (DSDMIP).

### 1.2.1 Study Area

As identified above, the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant is proposed to be located at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee, and is formally described as Lot 2 RP888747 as shown in Figure 1 below.


Figure 1 Study Area - 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee Qld
[Source: Qld Globe]

### 1.3 Pre-Lodgement Meeting Minutes

As part of the initial development application a pre-lodgement meeting was held with representatives of RRC on 24 October 2018, with minutes of this meeting have been included for reference as Appendix A. During this meeting the proposal was noted that a Material Change of Use application, triggering impact assessment is required, and that due to the proximity of the site to the state controlled road network (Bruce Highway) that referral to the DSDMIP will also be required.
This TIA has therefore been prepared to provide the necessary information to support the development application for the Project, in particular the potential impacts to the adjacent state controlled road network.

### 2.0 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Land Use, Zoning and Approvals

Currently the subject site is being utilised for a quarry operation (Hopkins Brothers Quarry), with the land parcel forming the development (Lot 2 RP88874) currently identified as a rural land zoning under Rockhampton Regional Council's Planning Scheme (2015), as shown in Figure 2 below. An expanded zoning map over the study area is provided for reference in Appendix B.


```
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```
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Low density residentia
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- Rural residential
- Rural residential
Special purpose
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```

Figure 2 Land Use Zoning-59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee Qld
Based on information provided, an approval was recently obtained to increase the operations of the Hopkins Brothers Quarry up to $1,000,000$ tonnes per year, noting the supporting TIA for the approval and the subsequent approval conditions reference a requirement for the upgrade of the left turn treatment at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection to provide a AUL(s) treatment once the site output exceeded 700,000 tonnes per year. A copy of the previous TIA supporting the expansion of the quarry is provided in Appendix $C$, while the relevant approval conditions are included for reference in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

### 2.2 Adjacent Land Use / Approvals

As shown in Figure 2 above, all adjacent land parcels are also currently zoned as "rural" under GRC's Planning Scheme (2015). The subject site is bounded by rural properties to the north, west and south, with sections of its eastern boundary fronting the Bruce Highway. The remaining sections of the sites eastern boundary adjoins Lot 1 RP811877, which is zoned as rural under Council's Planning Scheme and currently contains a caravan park in its southern section.

No active or planned development approvals which could influence this TIA are understood to be currently held over the adjacent properties.

### 2.3 Surrounding Road Network Details

### 2.3.1 Project Transport Routes

This section describes the road transport networks expected to be utilised by the Project and is based on information regarding the operation of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant provided by the applicant (COLAS).

- Staff are expected to commute to/from Rockhampton to the site daily
- For the incoming material deliveries to the plant, $40 \%$ are anticipated to travel from Brisbane, while the remaining 60\% are expected to travel from Rockhampton.
- The initial delivery locations for the asphalt material from site are expected to be the major road projects in the area, namely the Rockhampton Northern Access Upgrade project on the Bruce Highway on the northern side of Rockhampton, and the Capricorn Highway Duplication project on the Capricorn Highway just west of Rockhampton.

Based on this information, the Project is anticipated to utilise the following road sections in the transport of materials to site and the delivery of the resultant asphalt product from the plant, as shown diagrammatically in Figure 3:

- Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton) (10E) - Ch. 45.420 km to 107.400 km .
- Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton) (10E) - Ch. 107.400 km to 121.051 km.
- Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence) (10F) - Ch. 0.000 km to 13.180 km
- Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton to Duaringa) (16A) - Ch. 0.000 km to 5.960 km.


Figure 3 Expected Project Transport Routes
[Source: Google Earth]
Based on the information provided above, the following elements of the road network were identified as relevant to the Traffic Impact Assessment for the Project.

### 2.3.2 Road Links

### 2.3.2.1 Bruce Highway (10E)

The Bruce Highway is part of the National Highway Network and joins Brisbane in the south to Cairns in the north. Travelling approximately $1,700 \mathrm{~km}$ this road is the primary road transport route for both passenger and road freight vehicles along the east coast of Queensland. Based on the expected traffic volumes from the Project the sections of the Bruce Highway (10E) relevant to the Project are the road segment directly to the south of the site (Ch. 45.420 km to 107.400 km ) and the segments from the access to the end of 10 E in Rockhampton (Ch. 107.400 km to 121.051 km ).

In general, the Highway is a two-way, two lane road approved for B-Double use (refer Appendix E), with a posted speed limit of $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the rural areas, with the sections on the approach to and within Rockhampton incorporating four lane sections and reduced posted speed limits of $60-8060 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Furthermore, the daily traffic volumes (2018) in the rural sections of 10E range between 5,700-9,200 vpd $(18-27 \% \mathrm{HVs})$ increasing up to $21,000 \mathrm{vpd}(13-18 \% \mathrm{HV})$ in the urban sections.

### 2.3.2.2 Bruce Highway (10F)

The section of the Bruce Highway (10F) relevant to the Project is the length between the intersection with the end of 10E at the George Street / Fitzroy Street intersection (Ch. 0.000 km ) to the northern extent of the Rockhampton Northern Access Upgrade (RNAU) project, approximately located at the intersection with Terra Nova Drive to the north of Rockhampton (Ch. 13.180 km ).

Through Rockhampton the Highway is generally a two-way, four lane median divided road approved for BDouble use (refer Appendix E), with a posted speed limits varying between $60-70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, with traffic volumes between 14,500-33,000 vpd ( $10-17 \% \mathrm{HVs}$ ). The section of the Highway north of Yeppoon Road is currently a two lane carriageway, but is currently being upgraded to four lanes as part of the RNAU project. Posted speeds in this section currently range from $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the southern section, up to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at Terranova Drive with daily traffic volumes in the order of $13,000 \mathrm{vpd}(11.5 \% \mathrm{HVs})$.

### 2.3.2.3 Capricorn Highway (16A)

The Capricorn Highway links the city of Rockhampton with western Queensland, including the Central Highlands. Travelling approximately 575 km , this link is the primary east-west road transport route for both passenger and road freight vehicles within Central Queensland.
The section of the Capricorn Highway relevant to the Project is the length between its intersection with the Bruce Highway and its intersection with McLaughlin Street at Gracemere, which is currently in the process of being upgraded to a four lane carriageway as part of the Capricorn Highway Duplication project. This section of the link is typically a two-way, two lane road with a posted speed limit of $100-110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, except on the approach to Gracemere and the Bruce Highway were speeds are reduced to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This section of the Capricorn Highway is also a designated B-Double route (refer Appendix E) and caters for daily traffic volumes in the order of $15,800(18 \% \mathrm{HVs})$.

### 2.3.3 Intersections

### 2.3.3.1 Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access (TMR Ch. 107.400 km )

The intersection of Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access is located at TMR chainage 107.400 km (10E) and currently operates a three-way priority controlled (Give Way) intersection, with priority given to the Bruce Highway approaches. One lane in each direction of travel is provided on each of the approaches to the intersection, with a Basic Left (BAL) treatment and Channelised Right Turn (CHR) treatment provided for turning movements into the access from the southern and northern Bruce Highway approaches respectively, as shown in Figure 4 below. Further to this, the geometry of the intersection is currently sized to safely accommodate the required transport vehicles entering and exiting the site, understood to consist of truck and dog trailer combinations, semi-trailers and B-Doubles.


Figure 4 Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersection - Existing Configuration

### 2.4 Traffic Volumes

### 2.4.1 Road Link Volumes

The background traffic volumes for the road sections relevant to the Project were typically established using the available 2018 AADT segment traffic count data provided by TMR, which is included for reference in Appendix F. A summary of the forecast background traffic volumes for each of the relevant road segments at the adopted Project design horizons is provided in Table 1.
Table 1 Forecast Future Background Road Link (AADT) Traffic Volumes

| Site ID | AADT Segment |  | Base <br> Data <br> Year | Base Year (2018) AADT |  |  |  | 10 Yr . <br> GR \% | Background AADT (2019) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start <br> (km) | End (km) |  | Gaz | \% HV | A-Gaz | \% HV |  | Gaz <br> (Total) | Gaz <br> (HV) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-Gaz } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | A-Gaz <br> (HV) |
| Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton) - 10E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60023 | 45.420 | 85.308 | 2018 | 2,841 | 21.68\% | 2,842 | 23.82\% | 0.16\% | 2,846 | 617 | 2,847 | 678 |
| 61551 | 85.308 | 107.400* | 2018 | 3,478 | 28.32\% | 3,524 | 26.14\% | 2.33\% | 3,559 | 1,008 | 3,606 | 943 |
|  | 107.400* | 108.938 | 2018 | 3,478 | 28.32\% | 3,524 | 26.14\% | 2.33\% | 3,559 | 1,008 | 3,606 | 943 |
| 60130 | 108.938 | 114.388 | 2018 | 3,062 | 24.95\% | 3,067 | 27.06\% | 1.67\% | 3,113 | 777 | 3,118 | 844 |
| 60024 | 114.388 | 116.961 | 2018 | 4,798 | 15.46\% | 4,412 | 21.01\% | 0.94\% | 4,843 | 749 | 4,453 | 936 |
| 60868 | 116.961 | 119.737 | 2018 | 10,103 | 20.54\% | 10,110 | 16.89\% | 0.00\% ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 10,103 | 2,075 | 10,110 | 1,708 |
| 61086 | 119.737 | 121.051 | 2018 | 10,566 | 11.62\% | 10,346 | 14.46\% | 0.00\% ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 10,566 | 1,228 | 10,346 | 1,496 |
| Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence)-10F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60027 | 0.000 | 1.409 | 2018 | 7,468 | 17.02\% | 6,966 | 18.40\% | 0.00\% ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 7,468 | 1,271 | 6,966 | 1,282 |
| 60017 | 1.409 | 4.340 | 2018 | 17,400 | 11.02\% | 15,358 | 8.71\% | 0.00\% ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 17,400 | 1,917 | 15,358 | 1,338 |
| 61005 | 4.340 | 5.517 | 2018 | 13,633 | 9.34\% | 11,593 | 12.17\% | 0.75\% | 13,735 | 1,283 | 11,680 | 1,421 |
| 60822 | 5.517 | 8.550 | 2018 | 9,092 | 11.67\% | 8,512 | 13.83\% | 1.63\% | 9,240 | 1,078 | 8,651 | 1,196 |
| 60926 | 8.550 | 13.180 | 2018 | 6,366 | 13.70\% | 6,384 | 9.21\% | 2.32\% | 6,514 | 892 | 6,532 | 602 |
| Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton to Duaringa) - 16A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60039 | 0.000 | 5.960 | 2018 | 8,289 | 10.76\% | 7,503 | 25.98\% | 0.00\%\# | 8,289 | 892 | 7,503 | 1,949 |

\# Negative Historical Growth Rate has been revised to $0.0 \%$ for purpose of analysis
*TMR Chainage for Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection.

[^5]
### 2.4.2 Intersection Volumes

The peak hour traffic volumes at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection are based on the 12 -hour counts ( 6 am to 6 pm ) undertaken by DTMR on Wednesday 24 January 2015, with a copy of the raw data for these counts is provided for reference in Appendix G.
The 2015 volumes were then amended to estimate the current (2019) traffic conditions at the intersection using the following assumptions:

- The relevant road segment growth rate (approx. 2.33\% p.a.) was applied to the recorded (2015) through movements in both directions on the Bruce Highway to establish 2019 volumes.
- The turning volumes into and out of the Midgee Quarry Access were adjusted to account for the heavy vehicle volumes required to transport the recently approved $1,000,000$ tonnes per year output from the quarry site. Based on an assumed daily tonnage requirement of 3,472 tonnes and an average payload of the truck and dog combination of 32 tonnes (from the previous TIA from the site) this would equate to approximately 9 trucks per hour. This volume was then conservatively assumed to be travelling both inbound and outbound from the site in both directions of travel.
Further details of the calculations undertaken to estimate the current 2019 peak hour traffic volumes at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection are included for reference in Appendix H, with the resultant 2019 AM and PM peak forecasts shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 respectively.


Figure 52019 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access


Figure 62019 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access

### 2.5 Existing Site Access

As previously identified the existing access to the Project site (Lot 2 RP88874) currently acts as the main access to the Hopkins Brothers Quarry (otherwise known as Midgee Quarry) operations on site, with the access road forming the minor road leg of the priority controlled Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection as previously detailed in Section 2.3.3.1 above.

As previously identified, the access intersection currently provides CHR / BAL turn treatments. It is noted however that as part of the approval conditions for the operation of the existing quarry up to $1,000,000$ tonnes per year, upgrade works are required to provide an AUL(s) left turn treatment on the southern approach to the intersection once the site output from the quarry exceeds 700,000 tonnes per year.

### 2.6 Intersection and Network Performance

### 2.6.1 Road Links

Based on the daily traffic volumes identified for each section of the road network relevant to the project (refer Table 2), it is anticipated that all sections can be considered to be operating satisfactorily and within capacity, with all mid-block traffic volumes identified considered within the capacity of the relevant twolane rural highway or multi-lane urban sections of the road network through Rockhampton.

### 2.6.2 Intersections

The background traffic volumes at the relevant site access intersection with the Bruce Highway identified in Figure 5 and Figure 6 above were utilised to undertake preliminary intersection analysis (using SIDRA software) to establish the operational performance of the access under the estimated current (2019) traffic conditions. A summary of the results is provided in Table 2 below, with further detailed results included for reference as Appendix I.

Table 2 Existing Conditions SIDRA Results - Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access (Existing Configuration)

| Analysis Scenario | Intersection Degree <br> of Saturation | Level of <br> Service** | Intersection Average <br> Delay (sec) | Maximum 95\% Back of <br> Queue Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 AM Peak | 0.212 | LOS B | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| 2019 PM Peak | 0.178 | LOS B | 0.7 | 2.6 |

** LOS value identified is for worst movement at the intersection, not the overall intersection.
The results above indicate that the existing configuration of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection is expected to operate satisfactorily under current (2019) traffic conditions. This is indicated by all values for DOS, LOS, average delay and vehicle queueing being well within acceptable limits of operation for priority-controlled intersection.

### 2.7 Road Safety Issues

2.7.1 Existing Site Conditions

A site inspection of the existing traffic conditions at the proposed site access location and the adjacent road network was undertaken, by Andrew Barrie (RPEQ / TMR Senior Road Safety Auditor). As part of this inspection the following road safety considerations were identified, including:

## 1) Existing Left Turn Treatment at Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersection

The current configuration of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection provides the minimum basic left (BAL) turn treatment for movements into the site from the southern Bruce Highway approach. Based on the relatively high opposing northbound through movement volumes within the traffic lane, and the increase in left turn movements at the intersection as part of the approved expansion of the current operations on site and the proposed development there is potential for a minor increase in accidents/vehicles conflicts for vehicles undertaking a left turn into the site.
Whilst the potential for such accidents is considered relatively unlikely, further consideration is recommended to determine the appropriate turn treatment at the intersection to safely accommodate the current and future left turn entry movements into the site.

[^6]Further assessment of these identified road safety items, including the completion of a road safety assessment of the existing and "in construction" traffic volumes, can be seen within Section 5.6 of this report.

### 2.7.2 Road Crash History Review

A review of the road crash history in the vicinity of the relevant Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection was undertaken for the length spanning 500 m either side of the access location. This review was completed using the road crash data available from the Queensland Globe database, with the period assessed between 2001-2018. The results of this assessment identified 5 crashes within the nominated extents as shown in Figure 7, with a summary of the road crash data provided in Table 3.


Figure 7 Road Crash Locations - Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersection
Table 3 Summary of Road Crash History - Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersection (2001-2018)

| Crash <br> Reference <br> Number | Crash <br> Year | Crash Severity | Crash Type | DCA Description | Crash Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access (Approx. TMR Chainage 106.900km to 107.900km) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18037 | 2001 | Property damage | Single Vehicle | Off Path - Straight: <br> Out of Control On C'way | Out of control on straight |
| 74286 | 2004 | Property damage | Multi-Vehicle | Veh's Overtaking: <br> Overtake-Right Turn | Overtaking same direction |
| 146781 | 2007 | Property damage | Other | Pass \& Misc: Hit Animal | Hit animal |
| 175841 | 2008 | Property damage | Single Vehicle | Off Path - Straight: <br> Right Off C'way Hit Obj | Off carriageway on straight <br> hit object |
| 269221 | 2014 | Medical <br> treatment | Multi-Vehicle | Veh's Same Direction: <br> Rear End | Rear-end |

As shown above, while there were 5 crashes recorded in the vicinity of the intersection overall, only 1 has been recorded in the last 5 years. Further to this, it is noted that only 2 could be directly attributed to the operation of the access intersection, with the other three recorded accidents single vehicle crashes.

As such it can be determined that there is no specific element of the current road environment at the access intersection that can be deemed a contributing factor to road crashes or the decrease in overall safety of the road network.

[^7]
### 2.8 Pavement Loadings

In addition to the current traffic volumes, estimates were generated for the forecast background pavement loadings for each of the identified road segments. Traffic loads on the pavement are defined in terms of ESA for granular pavements and Standard Axle Repetitions (SAR) for other pavement types. For this assessment, it was assumed that all relevant road segments will be granular pavements. The ESA for the background traffic heavy vehicle component was calculated based on the provided heavy vehicle percentages for the relevant road sections. The following assumptions were applied to this calculation.

- The existing percentage of heavy vehicles will be maintained for future years.
- Equivalent Standard Axles per Heavy Vehicle (ESAs/HV) were adopted as follows (based on advice previously received from TMR):
- $\quad 2.9 \mathrm{ESAs} / \mathrm{HV}$ for the Bruce Highway.
- $\quad 3.2 \mathrm{ESAS} / \mathrm{HV}$ for all other roads (i.e. Capricorn Highway).

A summary of the forecast background ESAs for each of the relevant road segments at the adopted design horizons is provided in Table 4 below.
Table 4 Forecast Future Background Pavement Loadings (ESAs)

| SegmentID | AADT Segment |  | Base <br> Data <br> Year | Base Year HV \% \& Volume |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { Yr } \\ & \text { GR } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $2019 \text { HV }$ <br> Volumes |  | ESAS/ | No. <br> Days | Background ESAs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start <br> (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { (km) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |  |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |
| Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton) - 10E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60023 | 45.420 | 85.308 | 2018 | 21.68\% | 23.82\% | 0.16 | 617 | 678 | 2.9 | 365 | 653,004 | 717,713 |
|  |  |  |  | 616 | 677 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61551 | 85.308 | 107.400* | 2018 | 28.32\% | 26.14\% | 2.33 | 1,008 | 943 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,066,883 | 997,781 |
|  |  |  |  | 985 | 921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 107.400* | 108.938 | 2018 | 28.32\% | 26.14\% | 2.33 | 1,008 | 943 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,066,883 | 997,781 |
|  |  |  |  | 985 | 921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60130 | 108.938 | 114.388 | 2018 | 24.95\% | 27.06\% | 1.67 | 777 | 844 | 2.9 | 365 | 822,166 | 893,152 |
|  |  |  |  | 764 | 830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60024 | 114.388 | 116.961 | 2018 | 15.46\% | 21.01\% | 0.94 | 749 | 936 | 2.9 | 365 | 792,545 | 990,412 |
|  |  |  |  | 742 | 927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60868 | 116.961 | 119.737 | 2018 | 20.54\% | 16.89\% | 0.00\# | 2,075 | 1,708 | 2.9 | 365 | 2,196,553 | 1,807,472 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,075 | 1,708 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61086 | 119.737 | 121.051 | 2018 | 11.62\% | 14.46\% | 0.00\# | 1,228 | 1,496 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,299,594 | 1,583,549 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,228 | 1,496 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence) - 10F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60027 | 0.000 | 1.409 | 2018 | 17.02\% | 18.40\% | 0.00\# | 1,271 | 1,282 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,345,410 | 1,356,726 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,271 | 1,282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60017 | 1.409 | 4.340 | 2018 | 11.02\% | 8.71\% | 0.00\# | 1,917 | 1,338 | 2.9 | 365 | 2,029,653 | 1,415,936 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,917 | 1,338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61005 | 4.340 | 5.517 | 2018 | 9.34\% | 12.17\% | 0.75 | 1,283 | 1,421 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,357,920 | 1,504,604 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,273 | 1,411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Segment } \\ \text { DD } \end{array}\right\|$ | AADT Segment |  | Base <br> Data <br> Year | Base Year HV \% \& Volume |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { Yr } \\ & \text { GR } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $2019 \text { HV }$ <br> Volumes |  | ESAs / | No. <br> Days | Background ESAs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start <br> (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { (km) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |  |  | Gaz | A-Gaz |
| 60822 | 5.517 | 8.550 | 2018 | 11.67\% | 13.83\% | 1.63 | 1,078 | 1,196 | 2.9 | 365 | 1,141,414 | 1,266,387 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,061 | 1,177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60926 | 8.550 | 13.180 | 2018 | 13.70\% | 9.21\% | 2.32 | 892 | 602 | 2.9 | 365 | 944,580 | 636,801 |
|  |  |  |  | 872 | 588 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton to Duaringa) - 16A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60039 | 0.000 | 5.960 | 2018 | 10.76\% | 25.98\% | 0.00\# | 892 | 1,949 | 3.2 | 365 | 1,041,735 | 2,276,758 |
|  |  |  |  | 892 | 1,949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# Negative Historical Growth Rate has been revised to $0.0 \%$ for purpose of analysis
*TMR Chainage (10E) for Bruce Highway / Midgee intersection.

### 3.0 Proposed Development Details

### 3.1 Development Site Plan

Figure 8 below indicates the proposed location of the asphalt manufacturing plant within the site and its interaction with the adjacent site elements for the existing quarry operations, including the current extraction and laydown areas.


Figure 8 Proposed Plan of Development - COLAS Midgee Asphalt Manufacturing Plant
A shown above, the asphalt plant is proposed to be located adjacent to the quarry material extraction area, which is logical based on the intended use of the on-site gravel/aggregate materials in the asphalt manufactured. Further to this, the indicative site layout provided also identifies the intended use of the existing internal access road and access intersection on the Bruce Highway as part of the operation of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant.

## $3.2 \quad$ Operational Details

Based on information provided by COLAS it is understood that the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant is anticipated to commence late 2019 (pending approvals). The proposed hours of operation are expected to be 12 hours per day ( 6 am to 6 pm ), 7 day per week.
Further to this, it is anticipated that the plant will operate for 48 weeks per year, equating to approximately 336 days of operation per year.

### 3.3 Proposed Access and Parking

### 3.3.1 Site Access

As identified above, it is proposed that the existing Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection be utilised as the sole access point to the proposed Midgee asphalt manufacturing plant located at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee. .

Further assessment regarding the required configuration of this access intersection is provided in Section 5.2 below, however notwithstanding this, based on the current provision of adequate sight distances in both directions to/from the existing access point, the proposed use of the access location for the proposed asphalt plant is considered acceptable.

### 3.3.2 Internal Site Facilities

Further to this, it is noted that the indicative plan of development provided to date does not specifically detail the configuration of the proposed internal access or parking facility arrangements as part of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant.

Notwithstanding this, it is expected that the design of internal access roads and parking facilities will be carried out in accordance with the requirements of all relevant standards, guidelines and policies. It is also noted that due to the abundance of suitable vacant land on site and the identified separation from the proposed asphalt plant to the main access intersection (with Bruce Highway) it is not anticipated the operation of the facility will lead to any overspill of vehicles or parking onto the surrounding road network.

### 4.0 Development Traffic

Based on discussions with the applicant (COLAS) it was determined that the peak period of traffic generation for the Project site would be during the operational phase of the asphalt plant, which would include traffic movements associated with staff travel, material transport to site and asphalt product transport movements from the plant.

Further details of the expected generation and distribution of traffic during the peak operational phase of the Project are provided below.

### 4.1 Operational Phase

COLAS provided the following information regarding the operational phase of the proposed Midgee asphalt manufacturing plant:

- It is expected that site operations will commence in 2019 (pending approvals).
- Plant workforce is expected to comprise of approximately 3 personnel.
- Staff are expected to commute to/from Rockhampton to the site daily, with vehicle movements expected to be limited inbound movements in the AM and outbound movements in the PM.
- Staff are expected to commute using private vehicles, and it has conservatively been assumed that each staff member will travel separately, i.e. 3 inbound movements in AM and 3 outbound movements in PM.
- The plant is expected to source the required gravel/aggregate material internally from the existing quarry operations on site.
- Incoming material deliveries to the site will be limited to a maximum of 10 vehicles per day, with an average of 6 HVs per day, which include a $50 \% / 50 \%$ mix of semi-trailer and B-Double vehicle configurations.
- For the incoming material deliveries to the plant, $40 \%$ are anticipated to travel from Brisbane, while the remaining $60 \%$ are expected to travel from Rockhampton.
- Outgoing material from the plant is expected to be limited to a maximum of 30 semi-trailers per day.
- The overall annual tonnage for asphalt transport from the site is to be limited to a maximum of 100,000 tonnes per year.
- Based on an assumed semi-trailer payload of 26.5 tonnes, this equates to approximately 3,774 trucks peryear.
- The initial delivery locations for the asphalt material from site are expected to be the major road projects in the area, namely the Rockhampton Northern Access Upgrade project on the Bruce Highway on the northern side of Rockhampton, and the Capricorn Highway Duplication project on the Capricorn Highway just west of Rockhampton.


### 4.1.1 Summary of Development Traffic Movements

From the anticipated vehicle numbers for each of the main vehicle movement generating activities identified above, the maximum number of daily traffic movements or trips on each of the relevant road links associated with the operation of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant was estimated to be approximately:

- 3 light vehicle and 36 heavy vehicle round trips per day on the Bruce Highway north of the site.
- 4 heavy vehicle round trips on the Bruce Highway south of the site.
- 30 heavy vehicle round trips per day on the Capricorn Highway.

A summary of the maximum daily and peak hour traffic movements on the relevant road sections expected to be generated by the proposed operation of the asphalt manufacturing plant is provided in Table 5.

Table 5 Development Traffic Volume Summary

| Traffic Movements | Maximum Project Volumes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Inbound |  |  |  |
| Material delivery vehicles from Brisbane | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Material delivery vehicles from Rockhampton | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Staff (light vehicles) from Rockhampton | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Asphalt product delivery vehicles from Bruce Hwy North | $30^{\#}$ | 3 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 3 \# |
| Asphalt product delivery vehicles from Cap Hwy West | $30^{\#}$ | 3\# | 3\# |
| Outbound |  |  |  |
| Material delivery vehicles to Brisbane | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Material delivery vehicles to Rockhampton | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Staff (light vehicles) to Rockhampton | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Asphalt product delivery vehicles to Bruce Hwy North | 30* | 3\# | 3 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |
| Asphalt product delivery vehicles to Cap Hwy West | $3{ }^{\text {\# }}$ | 3\# | 3\# |

\# Maximum asphalt product delivery movements to/from each delivery location are based on $100 \%$ of daily plant output and values above are not expected to occur concurrently.

### 4.2 Development Traffic Volumes on the Network

Based on the proposed traffic generation and distribution for the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant identified above, the expected development traffic volumes on the road network were established. In addition to the development volumes on the road links, the anticipated Project traffic volumes at the key Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection during the AM and PM peak periods were noted to be of particular relevance to the assessment. A summary of the expected maximum peak hour forecast development traffic volumes at the main access intersection are shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10 below.


Figure 9 AM Peak Development Traffic Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access


Figure 10 PM Peak Development Traffic Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access

### 5.0 Impact Assessment and Mitigation

Based on the information provided above, it was determined that the critical elements of the surrounding road network in terms of the potential impact of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant were the identified road links forming the proposed transport routes for the site and the key Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection. Further assessment of the impact of the development on these elements is provided in the following sections.

### 5.1 With and Without Traffic Development Traffic Volumes

### 5.1.1 Road Link Volumes

A summary of the assessment of the percentage increase in daily traffic volumes on the road network as a result of the operational phase of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant is shown in Table 6. It is noted that this assessment has conservatively been undertaken assuming the maximum development traffic volumes and under 2019 traffic conditions, the period in which the identified development traffic volumes are anticipated to have the greatest impact on the adjacent road network.

Table 6 Daily Traffic Volume Comparison

| Site ID | AADT Segment |  | Background AADT (2019) |  | Maximum Daily Development Traffic Volumes |  | \% Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start (km) | End (km) | Gaz | A-Gaz | Gaz | A-Gaz | Gaz | A-Gaz |
| Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton)- 10E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60023 | 45.420 | 85.308 | 2,846 | 2,847 | 4 | 4 | 0.14\% | 0.14\% |
| 61551 | 85.308 | 107.400* | 3,559 | 3,606 | 4 | 4 | 0.11\% | 0.11\% |
|  | 107.400* | 108.938 | 3,559 | 3,606 | 39 | 39 | 1.10\% | 1.08\% |
| 60130 | 108.938 | 114.388 | 3,113 | 3,118 | 39 | 39 | 1.25\% | 1.25\% |
| 60024 | 114.388 | 116.961 | 4,843 | 4,453 | 39 | 39 | 0.81\% | 0.88\% |
| 60868 | 116.961 | 119.737 | 10,103 | 10,110 | 39 | 39 | 0.39\% | 0.39\% |
| 61086 | 119.737 | 121.051 | 10,566 | 10,346 | 39 | 39 | 0.37\% | 0.38\% |
| Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence) - 10F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60027 | 0.000 | 1.409 | 7,468 | 6,966 | 39 | 39 | 0.52\% | 0.56\% |
| 60017 | 1.409 | 4.340 | 17,400 | 15,358 | 39 | 39 | 0.22\% | 0.25\% |
| 61005 | 4.340 | 5.517 | 13,735 | 11,680 | 30 | 30 | 0.22\% | 0.26\% |
| 60822 | 5.517 | 8.550 | 9,240 | 8,651 | 30 | 30 | 0.32\% | 0.35\% |
| 60926 | 8.550 | 13.180 | 6,514 | 6,532 | 30 | 30 | 0.46\% | 0.46\% |
| Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton to Duaringa) - 16A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60039 | 0.000 | 5.960 | 8,289 | 7,503 | 30 | 30 | 0.36\% | 0.40\% |

*TMR Chainage for Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection.
As can be seen by the results in Table 6, the addition of the expected traffic volumes from the operation of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant is shown to have a minimal impact on the identified sections of the state-controlled road network, with the increase in traffic volumes shown to be considerably less than $5 \%$. As such, it is anticipated that there will be adequate "capacity" in the statecontrolled road network to cater for the additional trips generated by the proposed development.

[^9]
### 5.1.2 Intersection Volumes

Forecast "pre development" traffic volumes at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection were established for the identified 10 year design horizon (2029) for the Project (based on the expected commencement of site operations in 2019) by applying the previously identified growth rates for the adjacent section of the Bruce Highway to the 2019 through movement volumes on each Bruce Highway approach established in Section 2.4.2 above.
The corresponding "post development" traffic volumes were then established by adding the peak hour development traffic volumes identified in Figure 11 and Figure 12 to the calculated 2029 pre development volumes. Further details on how these volumes were established is provided for reference in Appendix J, with a summary of the forecast 2029 post development traffic volumes at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection shown in Figure 13 to Figure 14.


Figure 112029 AM Peak "Pre Development" Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access


Figure 122029 PM Peak "Pre Development" Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access


Figure 132029 AM Peak "Post Development" Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access


Figure 142029 PM Peak "Post Development" Volumes Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access

### 5.2 Access and Frontage Impact Assessment and Mitigation

A turn warrants assessment was undertaken for the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry intersection based on the forecast 2029 post development traffic volumes identified in Figure 13 and Figure 14 above. The assessment was completed using Figure 2.26a of Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6: Intersections, Interchanges and Crossings, which depicts the turn warrants graph for design speeds greater than $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The resultant graph from the assessment is provided in Figure 15 below, while further details of the turn warrants assessment calculations are provided for reference in Appendix K.


Figure 15 Turn Warrants Graph (>100km/hr) - Post Development Traffic Volume Scenario (2029)

The results of the turn warrants assessment indicate that the recommended turn treatments at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection for the post development traffic volume scenario of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant were CHR and AUL(s) treatments.

As previously identified, the existing configuration of this intersection currently provides a CHR type turn treatment for right turn movements and a BAL type treatment for left turning vehicles. As such to mitigate the impacts to the intersection as a result of the proposed asphalt plant, it is recommended that upgrade works be undertaken on the southern Bruce Highway approach to provide an AUL(s) left turn treatment at the intersection in accordance with Figure 8.3 of Austroads' Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections (2017), as indicatively shown in Figure 16 below.

```
Figure 8.3: Rural AUL(S) treatment with a short left-turn lane
```



```
Notes:
- \# For setting out details of the left-turn geometry, use vehicle turning path templates and/or Table 8.2.
- Approaches to left-turn slip lanes can create hazardous situations between cyclists and leff-tuming motor vehicles. Treatments to reduce the number of potential conficts at leff-turn slipp lanes are given in AGRD Part 4 (Austroads 2017).
- The dimensions of the treatment are defined as follows. Values of \(D\) and \(T\) are provided in Table 8.2 .
\(W=\) Norminal through lane width ( \(m\) ) (including widening for curves). For a new intersection on an existing road, the with is to be in accordance with the current link strategy.
\(W_{T}=\) Nominal with of the tum lane ( \(m\) ), including widening for curves based on the design turning vehicle \(=3.0 \mathrm{~m}\) minimum.
\(T=\) Physical taper length ( m ) given by Equation 5 being: \(T=\frac{0.33 W_{T}}{3.6}\)
\(V=\) Design speed of major road approach ( \(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}\) ).
Source: Department of Main Roads (2006) \({ }^{37}\).
```

Figure 16 Figure 8.3 Austroads GTRD Part 4A - Rural AUL(s) Treatment

### 5.3 Intersection Delay Impact Assessment and Mitigation

Preliminary SIDRA analysis was also undertaken to establish the operational performance of the proposed upgraded configuration of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection during the AM and PM peak periods for both the pre and post development traffic conditions. This assessment was done for the identified 10-year design horizon (2019) for the Project, with a summary of the results of this analysis provided in Table 7, with detailed SIDRA output summaries included for reference in Appendix L.

Table 7 SIDRA Results - Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersections (Proposed Configuration)

| Analysis Scenario | Intersection <br> Degree of <br> Saturation | Level of <br> Service** | Intersection <br> Average Delay <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Maximum 95\% <br> Back of Queue <br> Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| 2029 AM Peak Pre Development | 0.256 | D | 0.9 | 5.0 |
| 2029 PM Peak Pre Development | 0.220 | C | 0.9 | 4.3 |
| 2029 AM Peak Post Development | 0.256 | D | 1.3 | 7.6 |
| 2029 PM Peak Post Development | 0.220 | C | 1.3 | 6.4 |

** LOS value identified is for worst movement at the intersection, not the overall intersection.

The results above indicate that the proposed upgraded configuration of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection (incorporating CHR / AUL(s) treatments) is expected to operate satisfactorily during all identified development scenarios. This is indicated by all values for DOS, LOS, average delay and vehicle queue length being well within acceptable limits of operation for a priority-controlled intersection.

As such the impact of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant on the operation of the adjacent Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection can be considered minor, with only negligible increases in average delay ( 0.4 secs ) at the intersection calculated. Therefore the proposed upgraded configuration of the intersection can be considered appropriate to cater for the additional traffic volumes generated by the Project.

### 5.4 Road Link Capacity Assessment and Mitigation

As previously note, the proposed facility is only anticipated to generate a relatively small number of daily traffic movements on the relevant sections of the surrounding road network, with a maximum of:

- 3 light vehicle and 36 heavy vehicle ( 39 combined) round trips per day on the Bruce Highway north of the site.
- 4 heavy vehicle round trips on the Bruce Highway south of the site.
- 30 heavy vehicle round trips per day on the Capricorn Highway.

Based on these minor increases in traffic volumes it can be seen that the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant is anticipated to have minimal impact on the operation of the adjacent road links, with adequate capacity expected to be available to cater for the additional traffic volumes generated by the plant.

### 5.5 Pavement Impact Assessment and Mitigation

The assessment of potential pavement impacts of the Project involved a comparison of the pavement loading ESAs associated with the background traffic volumes on the identified road links to the ESAs estimated to be generated by the heavy vehicles associated with the operation of the proposed asphalt manufacturing plant.

As stated previously, transportation of materials to the site are anticipated to be carried out by a combination of semi-trailer and B-Double vehicle configurations, while the delivery of the asphalt product is proposed to be transported using semi-trailers. The expected transport routes for the material delivery movements to site and the outgoing asphalt product movements associated with the operation of the plant have been previously defined in Section 2.3.1 above.

Table 8 below identifies the assumed heavy vehicle classes expected to be utilised as part of transport operations for the Project, with the average loaded and unloaded ESAs/HV values for each configuration also provided as specified in the pavement data provided by TMR and established in the first principles calculations included for reference as Appendix M.

Table 8 Assumed Vehicle Class and ESA/HV Values

| Vehicle Class | Vehicle Configuration | Loaded ESAs/HV | Unloaded ESAs/HV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 Axle Semi | B-Double |  | 5.54 |
|  | 6.91 | 1.68 |  |

A summary of the comparison of the background and development pavement loadings is provided in Table 9 below, with further details of the high level calculations undertaken included in Appendix N . It is noted that for the purpose of this assessment it has been assumed that for the material delivery movements to
site all vehicles will arrive to the Project site fully loaded and then travel back on the same route unloaded, while the outgoing asphalt product delivery vehicles are assumed to depart the site fully loaded and return to the plant site unloaded via the same transport route.

Table 9 Pavement Loading Comparison

| Segment ID | AADT Segment |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Background ESA } \\ & \text { (2019) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Project-Generated ESA |  | \% Increase (2019 Volumes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start <br> (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { (km) } \end{aligned}$ | Gaz | A-Gaz | Gaz | A-Gaz | Gaz | A-Gaz |
| Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton) - 10E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60023 | 45.420 | 85.308 | 653,004 | 717,713 | 4,528 | 419 | 0.69\% | 0.06\% |
| 61551 | 85.308 | 107.400* | 1,066,883 | 997,781 | 4,528 | 419 | 0.42\% | 0.04\% |
|  | 107.400* | 108.938 | 1,066,883 | 997,781 | 19,235 | 8,717 | 1.80\% | 0.87\% |
| 60130 | 108.938 | 114.388 | 822,166 | 893,152 | 19,235 | 8,717 | 2.34\% | 0.98\% |
| 60024 | 114.388 | 116.961 | 792,545 | 990,412 | 19,235 | 8,717 | 2.43\% | 0.88\% |
| 60868 | 116.961 | 119.737 | 2,196,553 | 1,807,472 | 9,932 | 7,754 | 0.45\% | 0.43\% |
| 61086 | 119.737 | 121.051 | 1,299,594 | 1,583,549 | 9,932 | 7,754 | 0.76\% | 0.49\% |
| Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence) - 10F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60027 | 0.000 | 1.409 | 1,345,410 | 1,356,726 | 9,932 | 7,754 | 0.74\% | 0.57\% |
| 60017 | 1.409 | 4.340 | 2,029,653 | 1,415,936 | 9,932 | 7,754 | 0.49\% | 0.55\% |
| 61005 | 4.340 | 5.517 | 1,357,920 | 1,504,604 | 9,303 | 962 | 0.69\% | 0.06\% |
| 60822 | 5.517 | 8.550 | 1,141,414 | 1,266,387 | 9,303 | 962 | 0.82\% | 0.08\% |
| 60926 | 8.550 | 13.180 | 944,580 | 636,801 | 9,303 | 962 | 0.98\% | 0.15\% |
| Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton to Duaringa) - 16A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60039 | 0.000 | 5.960 | 1,041,735 | 2,276,758 | 9,303 | 962 | 0.89\% | 0.04\% |

The results in the tables above indicate that the additional heavy vehicle movements associated with the proposed operation of the COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant are expected to lead to negligible increases in pavement loadings on all identified sections of the Bruce Highway (10E), Bruce Highway (10F) and the Capricorn Highway (16A). This is highlighted by all calculated values of pavement loading increase being well below the recommended $5 \%$ impact trigger. As such no mitigation measures or contributions are deemed anticipated to be required as part of the operation of the proposed asphalt plant.

### 5.6 Safety Impact Assessment and Mitigation

Based on the road environments ( $<8,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) of the relevant sections of the surrounding road network, it was determined that the completion of a lower order road safety assessment would be sufficient to establish the existing and post development road safety risks relevant to the proposed Midgee asphalt manufacturing plant development.

To establish the level of risk regarding the road safety considerations identified in Section 2.7.1 above, a safety risk score matrix as shown in Figure 17 was utilised, with the results of the road safety risk assessment summarised in Table 10.

[^10]| Figure 9.3.2(a) - Safety risk score matrix |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Potential consequence |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Property only (1) | Minor injury <br> (2) | Medical treatment (3) | Hospitalisation <br> (4) | Fatality (5) |
|  | Almost certain (5) | M | M | H | H | H |
|  | Likely (4) | M | M | M | H | H |
|  | Moderate (3) | L | M | M | M | H |
|  | Unlikely (2) | L | L | M | M | M |
|  | Rare (1) | L | L | L | M | M |
| L: Low risk <br> M: Medium risk <br> H: High risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 17 Adopted Risk Score Matrix
[Source: TMR GTIA]
Table 10 Road Safety Assessment - COLAS Asphalt Manufacturing Plant

|  | Existing / PreDevelopment |  |  | Post Development |  |  |  | In Construction \& Mitigation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Item |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{8} \\ & \frac{v}{n} \\ & \underline{z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{8} \\ & \frac{8}{\overline{0}} \\ & \frac{\square}{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \frac{9}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{8} \\ & \underline{y} \\ & \underline{3} \end{aligned}$ | Mitigation Measure |  |  |  |
| Current provision of BAL turn treatment on southern Bruce Highway approach has potential to lead to increase in accidents / vehicle conflicts between northbound through movements and left turning vehicles. | 2 | 3-4 | M | 3 | 3-4 | M | Upgrade of proposed site access intersection to include an AUL(s) turn treatment (based on turn warrants assessment undertaken) is expected to mitigate potential minimal increase in crash likelihood as a result of minor increase in left turning entry movements into the site during the operation of the proposed asphalt plant. | 1 | 3-4 | M |

### 6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures Proposed

### 6.1.1 Traffic Impacts

The critical elements of the surrounding road network in terms of the potential impact of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant were identified to be the road links forming the proposed transport routes for the site and the key Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection.

Based on the assessment undertaken it was identified that the proposed facility is only anticipated to generate a relatively small number of daily traffic movements on the relevant sections of the surrounding road network, with a maximum of 39 vehicle round trips (including 36 heavy vehicle) per day on the Bruce Highway north of the site, 4 heavy vehicle round trips on the Bruce Highway south of the plant and up to 30 heavy vehicle round trips per day on the Capricorn Highway. Based on these minor increases in traffic volumes it was determined that the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant was anticipated to have minimal impact on the operation of the adjacent road links, with adequate capacity available to cater for the additional traffic volumes generated by the plant.

A turn warrants assessment was also undertaken for the forecast post development traffic volumes at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry intersection, the results of which indicated that the recommended turn treatments at intersection were CHR and AUL(s) treatments. As such based on the current intersection configuration (CHR / BAL), it is recommended that upgrade works are provided on the southern Bruce Highway approach to the intersection to provide an AUL(s) left turn treatment in accordance with Figure 8.3 of Austroads' Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalised and Signalised Intersections (2017).
Detailed intersection analysis was also completed to establish the operational performance of the proposed upgraded configuration of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection during the AM and PM peak periods for both the pre and post development traffic conditions. The results of this analysis indicated that the proposed upgraded configuration (incorporating CHR / AUL(s) treatments) was expected to operate satisfactorily for all identified post development scenarios. As such the proposed upgraded configuration of the intersection can be considered appropriate to cater for the additional traffic volumes generated by the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant and the impact of the proposed facility on the operation of the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access intersection can be considered minor.

### 6.1.2 Pavement Impacts

In addition to the traffic assessments completed, a preliminary desktop pavement impact assessment of the relevant road network was also undertaken for the proposed operation of the COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant.
This assessment identified that the additional heavy vehicle movements associated with operation of the plant are expected to lead to negligible increases in pavement loadings on all identified sections of the Bruce Highway (10E), Bruce Highway (10F) and the Capricorn Highway (16A). This is highlighted by all calculated values of pavement loading increase being well below the recommended $5 \%$ impact trigger. As such no pavement mitigation measures or contributions are deemed anticipated to be required as part of the operation of the proposed asphalt plant.

### 6.1.3 Recommendations

In light of the information provided above, it is noted that conditional to the provision of the identified upgrade works ( AULs type turn treatment) at the Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access, the traffic and pavement impacts of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant can be considered minor and appropriately mitigated. As such, it is recommended that the Project is suitable to be approved from a traffic engineering viewpoint.

### 6.2 Certification Statement and Authorisation

A copy of the RPEQ certification and authorisation statement covering this assessment of the proposed COLAS asphalt manufacturing plant at 59793 Bruce Highway (Lot 2 RP88874) is included for reference as Appendix 0 .

## Appendix A- RRCPre-lodgement Meeting Minutes

| PRELODGEMENT MEETING <br> MINUTES OF MEETING |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MEETING DETAILS |  |
| Date of Meeting: Wednesday $24^{\text {th }}$ October - 2:00pm, Reception Meeting Room, Walter Reid |  |
| Council Attendees: | Applicant Attendees: |
| - Thomas Gardiner - Senior Planning Officer, Development Assessment <br> - Jonathon Trevett-Lyall - Planning Officer <br> - Stacey Joyner - Supervisor, Environmental Health <br> - Les Payne - Environmental Health Officer <br> - Rod Lindsay - Infrastructure Planning Engineer <br> - Rick Palmer - Senior Executive Industry Engagement <br> - Kathy McDonald - Development Support Officer | - Ward Veitch (Urban Planet, Town Planning Consultant) <br> - Jason Taituma (Colas QLD) <br> - Glenn Druery (Steer Environmental) <br> - Phil Steer (Steer Environmental) |

## PROPOSAL:

Address: 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee
Real Property Description: Lot 2 on RP888747
Details of Proposal: COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd intends to operate an Asphalt Manufacturing Plant at 793 Bruce Highway, Midgee 4702 (real property description Lot 2 RP888747). There is presently no asphalt plant on site; however COLAS Queensland Pty Ltd intends to install a plant that will produce greater than 1000 tonnes of asphalt in a year, triggering Environmentally Relevant Activity 6 (Asphalt Manufacturing) under the Environmental Protection Regulation 2008.

Issues identified by the Applicant for discussion:

- Planning matters relating to the current use of the site and the integration of the proposed use (requirements of the Rockeplan)
- ERA provisions and requirements
- Reporting considerations of the development application

Supporting information/documentation provided by Applicant:

- Plan - 1-4-201999A Model 1
- Plan - 1-4-201999A Model 1a
- Proposal letter


## MINUTES

## PLANNING ASSESSMENT:

Defined Use: High Impact Industry (Asphalt Manufacturing) and ERA 6 (Asphalt Manufacturing)
Planning Area/Zone: Rural Zone
Type of Application Required: Material Change of Use
Level of Assessment: Impact Assessable

## DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT:

- The subject site is located in a Rural Zone under the Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015 (the planning scheme).
- The proposal will be defined as a "High Impact Industry" under the planning scheme. A Material Change of Use (MCU) application will be required to be lodged to Council which will trigger impact-assessment
- The application fees payable is the Base fee $=\$ 3,458.00+$ Site Area over 1001 m 2 (POA)
- The MCU application must address the entire planning scheme, including the Strategic Framework as it is not directly consistent with the intent of the zone.
- The application must demonstrate that the proposal will minimise impacts on the surrounding area. In particular, the adjoining Caravan Park, as well as Good Quality Agricultural Land to the west of the site (refer to Overlay mapping).
- The application must outline any management and mitigation measures taken to reduce emissions relating to noise, light, dust and odour and ensuring that the amenity of the surrounding rural area (particularly the adjoining Caravan Park) is not compromised as a result of the Plant.
- Referral to the Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (DSDMIP) is required as the premises are in proximity to a state-controlled road (Bruce Highway). It is also recommended to get advice from State regarding a referral for vegetation clearing.
- Public notification will be required for fifteen (15) business days in accordance with the Development Assessment Rules.
- A final decision will be made at a full Council meeting (via P+R Committee).
- It was noted that this proposal is separate to the existing development on the subject site (Midgee Quarry).
- DA Application Form 1 can be found here (please refer to form for Section on ERA's as discussed in the meeting): https://planning.dsdmip.qld.gov.au/planning/resources?query=da-form-1


## DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING UNIT:

- It is recommended that the applicant review the triggers in the State Planning Policy with respect to the requirements for an assessment against the Water Quality provisions. Without a detailed site plan showing the full extents of the development and the amount of impervious area, Council is unable to determine whether this assessment will be required. If triggered, the assessment will need to be submitted with the Material Change of Use application.
- The MCU application should provide details of water and sewerage provisions for the site.
- The MCU application should include a preliminary stormwater management plan (SMP).

As a minimum, the SMP should show how upstream flows are conveyed through / around the site, as well as how the additional runoff from the site is conveyed to a lawful point of discharge as per the requirements of the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual.

- Depending on the amount of earthworks required (if any), an Operational Works application for Site Works may be triggered.
- Any application for Operational Works will need to address Erosion and Sediment Control for the site.


## Infrastructure Charges (not including actual charges)

- Infrastructure charges - $\$ 17.50$ per m2 of GFA

The Adopted Infrastructure Charges are available to view on Council's Website. These are located in the Fees and Charges Section. Please see the link below.
http://www.rockhamptonregion.qld.gov.au/Planning-and-Building/Infrastructure-Charges

## PUBLIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH:

- An Environmental Authority Application is required at the same time as the development application. You must complete the application form and submit it with the supporting information and the $\$ 1845.30$ application fee.
- The application form can be found here: https://www.rockhamptonregion.qld.gov.au/files/assets/public/cis/forms/environmental-authority-site-specific-application-form.pdf

The supporting documentation should include:

- Site plans, showing the location of the plant on the property and the layout of the plant itself.
- Details of emissions including sources, size of the emissions, mitigation measure in place. Emissions include but not limited to:
- Dust (eg. stockpiles, dust extractions devices used in the manufacturing process)
- Noise (vehicles movement, processing noise)
- Odour (processing odour)
- Light (security or work lights)
- Chemical emissions form the manufacturing process
- Details of how and where environmental hazardous liquids are stored
- Details of any regulated waste storage areas
- Details of silo's if being used and measures put into place to prevent overfilling.
- Location of any onsite workshops (if applicable)
- Details of storm water management. Stormwater must be prevented from entering the contaminated areas, and stormwater generated from the contaminated areas must be captured.
- Operating Hours
- It is recommended to incorporated the above information into a Site-Based Management Plan to be submitted as part of the application.
- Also if the plant design has been used elsewhere in Qld it would be beneficial to advise where and provide visual representations (eg, photos).


## OUTCOME SUMMARY:

The proposal for a High Impact Industry (Asphalt Manufacturing Plant) and ERA 6 (Asphalt Manufacturing) is not consistent with the intent of the Rural Zone. A Material Change of Use application, triggering impact-assessment is required. While the proposal is not consistent with the intent of the zone, if sufficient supporting evidence is provided to demonstrate that there will be negligible impact on the surrounding rural area (particularly the adjoining Caravan Park), then an application may be supported subject to conditions. All of the abovementioned information must be submitted as part of a properly-made development application.

## ADVISORY NOTE:

These notes have been provided as informal and non binding comments and are intended for use as a guide only in providing feedback on the proposal presented to the Unit. These discussions do not bind or fetter the Council in any way in exercising its statutory responsibilities in assessing any development application which might be made to the Council.

Link to DA Forms<br>https://planning.dilgp.qld.gov.au/planning/resources<br>Link to Planning Schemes<br>http://www.rockhamptonregion.qld.gov.au/Planning-and-Building/Planning-Schemes-and-Studies<br>Link to Development Assessment Fees<br>http://www.rockhamptonregion.qld.gov.au/About-Council/Finance-Rates-and-Budget/Fees-and-Charges Development Incentives<br>http://www.rockhamptonregion.qld.gov.au/Planning-and-Building/Development-Incentives

## Appendix B - Site Zoning Mapping
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## Appendix C- Previous Hopkins Brothers Quarry TIA

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

TO:<br>Aaron Pianta<br>Knobel Consulting<br>FROM:<br>Chris Hewitt<br>McMurtrie Consulting Engineers<br>DATE:<br>16 June 2017<br>PROJECT NO:<br>123-16-17<br>RE: Hopkins Brothers Quarry Site ay 59793 Bruce Highway Midgee

Dear Aaron,

## Background

McMurtrie Consulting has been engaged to provide advice in relation to possible increase in cartage volumes from the Hopkins Brothers Quarry Site located at 59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee. The site is located as per Figure 1 below.


Figure 1 - Site Location

The existing operation has a DTMR approval to permit 200,000 Tpa to be carted from site. The existing speed limit on the Bruce Highway adjacent to the site is 100 kph and therefore the design speed has been assumed as 110 kph .

The existing site access intersection with the Bruce Highway has been previously constructed to a high standard in both width and intersection form - and is a type BAL/CHR intersection. Intersection visibility at the site access is excellent in both directions and comfortably exceeds minimum SISD to the North and the South. The intersection has been designed as a BAL/CHR for 100 kph with a storage length of one design vehicle i.e. 19 m as shown in Figure 2 with lengths in accordance with Figure 13.60 of DTMR Road and Planning Design Manual (RPDM).


Figure 2 - Existing Intersection Layout
The operation employees 10 staff who arrive at site each day between 5 and 6 am . All haulage vehicles are stored onsite so first haulage out from site does not commence until 6am. The site generally operates for 6 days per week for the entire year. Any increase in tonnages supplied from site does not necessarily increase staff numbers.

Under the current operational approval material is generally carted from site in truck and dog combinations at 32 Tonnes per load. Over a 10 hour day this equates to a volume of 2 heavy vehicle movements into site and 2 heavy vehicle movements away from site per hour. The directional split of material exiting the site is approximately $50 \%$ to the North and $50 \%$ to the South given the sites location on the Bruce Highway. The customer base is predominantly DTMR and local governments as high standard pavement gravels are supplied from the site.

## Traffic Assessment

The peak hour for staff arrival and also the Bruce Highway peak hour will be assessed in order to justify any increase in production tonnages based on the existing intersection form and construction.

Attachment 1 shows the 2016 AADT figures for the Bruce Highway at the site entrance. A 10 year design horizon (11 years beyond the supplied traffic figures date) will be assumed and DTMR have advised previously that an accepted linear growth rate of $2.8 \%$ applies to this section of the Bruce Highway.

For staff movements the critical movements are right in at 5-6am and the corresponding left out at the end of the day.

Figure 3 has been derived from the AADT volumes with a $2.8 \%$ linear growth rate applied and the likely staff movements for the 5-6am site commencement assuming all enter from the North.

Traffic Assessment for Hopkins Bros Quarry Site
59793 Bruce Highway
MIDGEE, QLD

| 2027 Background |  |  | 2027 Site Traffic |  |  |  | 2027 Site plus Background |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 143 & \rightarrow \\ 0 & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \rightarrow \\ 10 & 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 143 & \rightarrow \\ 10 & 7 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{7}$ - | $\leftarrow$ | 69 |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\leftarrow$ | 0 | 4 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 69 |
| 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 |  | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 |

Figure 3 - AM Site Peak for staff arrivals
Based on Figure 4A-1 of the DTMR RPDM the required right turn treatment is a BAR as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4 - Turn Warrants Assessment for staff arrivals
In terms of a sensitivity analysis it can be seen from Figure 4 that the current intersection can comfortably handle well in excess of 50 vph turning right ( 5 times the current staff volume) even as a CHR(s) although line marked as a CHR. Although currently there is no proposal to increase staff numbers the existing intersection is more than capable of catering for any increase in staff numbers.

For material haulage, the Bruce Highway peak will be examined and in order to be conservative it has been assumed all inwards volumes are right turns and a further allowance of 1 vph has been made for all hours during the day to cover other deliveries such as fuel and other service vehicles.

Figure 5 has been derived from the AADT volumes with a $2.8 \%$ linear growth rate applied and the Bruce Highway average weekday peak hour of 3 to 4 pm .

| 2027 Peak Background |  |  |  | 2027 Site Traffic |  |  |  | 2027 Site plus Peak Background |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 272 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 272 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 7 |  |  | 3 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 3 | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\longleftarrow$ |  |  |  | $\leftarrow$ | 0 |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | 4 | 317 |
| 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 |

[^11]Based on Figure 4A-1 of the DTMR Road and planning Design Manual the required right turn treatment is a BAR as shown in Figure 6.


Figure 6 - Turn Warrants Assessment for staff arrivals
As a sensitivity analysis it can be seen from Figure 6 that the current intersection can accommodate up to 12 vph turning right (4 times the current volume), even as a $\mathrm{CHR}(\mathrm{s}$ ) with storage length for one design vehicle, although line marked as a CHR. It is therefore evident that there is excess capacity available in the existing right turn lane to turn in excess of 12 vph in the peak hour. 12 vph (assuming 1 vph as a service vehicle) equates to an annual tonnage extracted of 1,098,000 Tpa.

Based on Figure 5 the current BAL can accommodate up to 7 vph without any upgrading (assuming the 1 service vph enters from the North). Without any further intersection upgrading the access could cater for a total extraction volume of 698,880 Tpa if all vehicles turned in from the right.

Bearing in mind that this analysis is conservative and not all the entering traffic will be turning from the right with the previously mentioned directional split of $50 \%$ to the North and $50 \%$ to the South. However it would seem reasonable to assume that any gravel supply contracts would be for supply to either the North or South that the site access in its current form would be limited by the lowest peak hour turn volume from either direction which would be the left turn. As such the current access form could provide for a total extraction volume of 698,880 Tpa.

If and when quantities exceed this amount the applicant should consider the construction a short left turn lane $A U L(s)$ of $85 m$ length including taper.

This will permit further production increases that should be assessed at a later date.

Yours sincerely


## Chris Hewitt

Senior Civil Engineer
RPEQ NO. 5141

MIDGEE, QLD

## ATTACHMENT 1

DTMR Traffic Count Data

```
    Area 404-Fitzroy District
Road Section 10E - BRUCE HIGHWAY (BENARABY - ROCKHAMPTON)
            Site 61551 - Bruce Hwy Mikros WiM Site (Bobs Ck)
        Thru Dist 100.438
        Type C-Coverage
        Stream TB - Bi-directional traffic flow
Traffic Class 00-All Vehicles
    Weeks 2016-W01 - 2016-W52 (52 weeks)
Date Range Monday 04-Jan-2016 - Sunday 01-Jan-2017
```

Data Profile

|  | Mondays | Tuesdays | Wednesdays | Thursdays | Fridays | Saturdays | Sundays |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days in Date Range | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Days Included | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Calendar Events | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |



$\qquad$
 дипоэ 8 риョ лnoн

$\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}} \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{+}}$


ฝํํํ ㅇํ

 훈








 Hour End \＆Count

$\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\succ}}$

$\qquad$




```
    Area 404-Fitzroy District
Road Section 10E - BRUCE HIGHWAY (BENARABY - ROCKHAMPTON)
            Site 61551 - Bruce Hwy Mikros WiM Site (Bobs Ck)
        Thru Dist 100.438
        Type C-Coverage
        Stream TG - Thru traffic -in gazettal dirn
Traffic Class 00-All Vehicles
    Weeks 2016-W01 - 2016-W52 (52 weeks)
Date Range Monday 04-Jan-2016 - Sunday 01-Jan-2017
```

Data Profile

|  | Mondays | Tuesdays | Wednesdays | Thursdays | Fridays | Saturdays | Sundays |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days in Date Range | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Days Included | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Calendar Events | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
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| Hour End \& Count |
| :---: |
| $11: 45 \quad 232$ |
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```
    Area 404-Fitzroy District
Road Section 10E - BRUCE HIGHWAY (BENARABY - ROCKHAMPTON)
            Site 61551 - Bruce Hwy Mikros WiM Site (Bobs Ck)
        Thru Dist 100.438
        Type C-Coverage
        Stream TA - Thru traffic -against gazettal
Traffic Class 00-All Vehicles
    Weeks 2016-W01 - 2016-W52 (52 weeks)
Date Range Monday 04-Jan-2016 - Sunday 01-Jan-2017
```

Data Profile
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Mondays & Tuesdays & Wednesdays & Thursdays & Fridays & Saturdays & Sundays \\
\hline Days in Date Range & 52 & 52 & 52 & 52 & 52 & 52 & 52 \\
\hline Days Included & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Calendar Events & 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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}
\(+\)
\begin{tabular}{l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ Monday } \\
\hline 15 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
\hline 13 & \(0.5 \%\) \\
\hline 13 & \(0.5 \%\) \\
\hline 24 & \(0.9 \%\) \\
\hline 49 & \(1.9 \%\) \\
\hline 85 & \(3.3 \%\) \\
\hline 114 & \(4.4 \%\) \\
\hline 136 & \(5.3 \%\) \\
\hline 186 & \(7.3 \%\) \\
\hline 194 & \(7.6 \%\) \\
\hline 208 & \(8.1 \%\) \\
\hline 210 & \(8.2 \%\) \\
\hline 198 & \(7.7 \%\) \\
\hline 212 & \(8.3 \%\) \\
\hline 185 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\hline 188 & \(7.3 \%\) \\
\hline 152 & \(5.9 \%\) \\
\hline 128 & \(5.0 \%\) \\
\hline 82 & \(3.2 \%\) \\
\hline 57 & \(2.2 \%\) \\
\hline 41 & \(1.6 \%\) \\
\hline 32 & \(1.2 \%\) \\
\hline 26 & \(1.0 \%\) \\
\hline 16 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{Appendix D-Hopkins Brothers Quarry Conditions of Approval}

\author{
Our reference: SDA-0917-041388 \\ Your reference: D/79-2017 \\ 9 October 2018 \\ The Chief Executive Officer \\ Rockhampton Regional Council \\ PO Box 1860 \\ Rockhampton QLD 4700 \\ enquiries@rrc.qld.gov.au \\ Attention: Mr. Thomas Gardiner \\ Attontion Mr Thomas Gardiner
}

Dear Mr. Gardiner

SARA concurrence agency response-59793 Bruce Highway, Midgee
(Given under section 285 of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009)
Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning

The referral agency material for the development application described below was received by the Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning (the department) under section 272 of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 on 6 September 2017.

\section*{Referral triggers}

The development application was referred to the department under the following provisions of the Sustainable Planning Regulation 2009:
Referral trigger Schedule 7, Table 3, Item 1—State-controlled road
Schedule 7, Table 3, Item 2—State transport infrastructure
Schedule 7, Table 3, Item 10—Clearing vegetation
Response
\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline \text { Date of response: } & \text { 9 October } 2018 \\
\text { Response details: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Concurrence agency response - with conditions } \\
\text { Development details: }\end{array} \\
\text { Development permit for a material change of use for an extractive } \\
\text { industry, medium impact industry and a warehouse }\end{array}\right\}\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
The conditions set out in Attachment 1 must be attached to any \\
development approval.
\end{tabular}

Real property description: Lot 2 on RP888747
Local government area: Rockhampton Regional Council

\section*{Applicant details}

Applicant name:

Applicant contact details:

Hopeman Pty Ltd
C/- Gideon Town Planning
PO Box 450
Rockhampton QLD 4700
gg@gideontownplanning.com.au

A copy of this response has been sent to the applicant for their information.

For further information, please contact Duncan Livingstone Principal Planning Officer, on 073452 7180 or via email at DAAT@dsdmip.gld.gov.au who will be pleased to assist.

Yours sincerely

Steve Conner
Executive Director - Planning Group
cc: Hopeman Pty Ltd, gg@gideontownplanning.com.au
enc: Attachment 1-Concurrence agency conditions
Attachment 2 - Advice to the applicant
Attachment 3-Reasons for concurrence agency response Attachment 4-Approved plan and specifications

Our reference: SDA-0917-041388
Your reference: D/79-2017

Attachment 1-Concurrence agency conditions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline No. & Conditions & Condition timing \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Material change of use} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{State-controlled road and State transport infrastructure-Pursuant to section 255D of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009, the chief executive administering the Act nominates the DirectorGeneral of the Department of Transport and Main Roads to be the assessing authority for the development to which this development approval relates for the administration and enforcement of any matter relating to the following conditions:} \\
\hline 1. & \begin{tabular}{l}
(a) The road access location is to be located at the existing access to the state-controlled road (Bruce Highway) at Latitude: -23.48816; Longitude: 150.54108; Datum: GDA94 \\
(b) Road access works comprising a short Auxiliary Left turn (AUL(S)) must be provided at the road access location \\
(c) The road access works must be designed and constructed in accordance with the following: \\
- Road Planning and Design Manual, prepared by Department of Transport and Main Roads (2 \(2^{\text {nd }}\) edition); and \\
- Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (Queensland), prepared by Department of Transport and Main Roads.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
(a) At all times \\
(b) and (c) \\
Prior to when the quantity of hauled material leaving the site via the Bruce Highway exceeds 700,000 tonnes in any twelve-month period
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2. & \begin{tabular}{l}
(a) Stormwater management of the development must ensure no worsening or actionable nuisance to the state-controlled road. \\
(b) Any works on the land must not: \\
(i) create any new discharge points for stormwater runoff onto the state-controlled road; \\
(ii) interfere with and/or cause damage to the existing stormwater drainage on the state-controlled road; \\
(iii) surcharge any existing culvert or drain on the statecontrolled road; \\
(iv) reduce the quality of stormwater discharge onto the state-controlled road. \\
(c) RPEQ certification with supporting documentation must be provided to the Manager of Planning Projects \& Corridor Management at CorridorManagement@tmr.ald.gov.au within the Department of Transport and Main Roads, confirming that the development has been constructed in accordance with part (a) and (b) of this condition.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
(a) and (b) At all times \\
(c) Prior to the commencement of use
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3. & (a) Pay a monetary contribution to the Fitzroy District / Central Queensland Region of the Department of Transport and Main Roads towards protecting or maintaining the safety or efficiency of the Bruce Highway in accordance with section 666(2) of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 to be used for any pavement damage as a result of the development. The amount of contribution: & (a) Within 30 days of the end of June each year until the transportation of material hauled from the site by road \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(\left.\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline No. & Conditions & Condition timing \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
(b) Maintain records which document the quantity of material \\
hauled on the state-controlled road network and submit these \\
records to the Department of Transport and Main Roads via \\
email to FitzroyDistricto@tmr.ald.gov.au at the time of payment \\
referenced in part a) of this condition.
\end{tabular} & (b) As indicated \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Clearing native vegetation -Pursuant to section 255D of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009, the \\
chief executive administering the Act nominates the Director-General of the Department of Natural \\
Resources, Mines and Energy to be the assessing authority for the development to which this \\
development approval relates for the administration and enforcement of any matter relating to the \\
following conditions:
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
The clearing of vegetation under this development approval is \\
limited to the area identified as: \\
(a) Area A (Parts A1 - A2) as shown on the attached Technical \\
Agency Response Plan - TARP SDA-0917-041388, Sheet 1 \\
of 1, dated 30 August 2018
\end{tabular} & At all times \\
(b) Derived reference points for GPS coordinates listed in \\
attachment to Technical Agency Response Plan - TARP \\
SDA-0917-041388, dated 30 August 2018.
\end{tabular}\(\quad \right\rvert\,\)

Our reference: SDA-0917-041388
Your reference: D/79-2017

\section*{Attachment 2-Advice to the applicant}

\section*{General advice}
1. Under sections 62 and 33 of the Transport Infrastructure Act 1994, written approval is required from the Department of Transport and Main Roads to carry out road works that are road access works (including driveways) on a state-controlled road. Please contact the Department of Transport and Main Roads (Fitzroy District / Central Queensland Region) on (07) 49311500 or at FitzroyDistrict@tmr.qld.gov.au to make an application for road works approval. This approval must be obtained prior to commencing any works on the state-controlled road reserve. The approval process may require the approval of engineering designs of the proposed works, certified by a Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland (RPEQ).

Our reference: SDA-0917-041388
Your reference: D/79-2017

\section*{Attachment 3-Reasons for concurrence agency response}

Reasons for the department's response:
- To ensure the road access location to the state-controlled road from the site does not compromise the safety and efficiency of the state-controlled road.
- To ensure the design of any road access maintains the safety and efficiency of the statecontrolled road.
- To offset the impacts of the development on the safety and efficiency of the state-controlled road.
- To ensure that the impacts of stormwater events associated with development are minimised and managed to avoid creating any adverse impacts on the state-transport corridor.
- To ensure the clearing works are carried out in the location and to the extent specified on the approved plan.

Our reference: SDA-0917-041388
Your reference: D/79-2017

Attachment 4-Approved plan and specifications

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{1:5000 @ A3 size} \\
\hline 0 & 100 & 200 & 300 & 400 & 500 m \\
\hline L & 1 & 」 & - & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Projection: UTM (MGA Zone 56) Datum: GDA94
Note: Derived Reference Points are provided to assist in the location of area boundaries. Responsibility for locating these boundaries lies solely with the landholder and delegated contractor(s).
The property boundaries shown on this plan are APPROXIMATE ONLY They are NOT an accurate representation of the legal boundaries.

Note: This plan must be read in conjunction with the Decision Notice SDA-0917-041388
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
LEGEND \\
\(\phi^{8}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Derived Reference Points for GPS \\
(see attachment)
\end{tabular} \\
\(\square\) & Subject Lot(s)
\end{tabular}

\section*{VO AreaA}

Note: This is a colour plan and should only be reproduced in colour.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Technical Agency Response Plan Plan of Area A (Parts A \(\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}\) - \(\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{2}}\) ) in Lot 2 on RP888747} & \[
N_{N}
\] &  \\
\hline & eLVAS Case ID: 2017/004780 & Version: 1 & \\
\hline CENTRE: MACKAY LOCALITY OF MIDGEE & \begin{tabular}{l}
REGION: CENTRAL \\
LOCAL GOVT: ROCKHAMPTON
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{TARP SDA-0917-041388}} \\
\hline Map Reference: 9051 & Compiled from: DCDB, PVMP \& Assessment Notes & & \\
\hline File Reference: & Prepared by: MAT Date: 30 August 2018 & & of 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Datum: GDA 1994, Projection: Transverse Mercator MGA Zone 56

Notes:
Derived Reference Points are provided to assist in the location of area boundaries only.
Responsibility for locating these boundaries lies solely with the landholder and delegated contractor(s).
Coordinates start at a point indicated on the accompanying plan and proceed in a clockwise direction.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Parcel & ID & Easting & Northing \\
\hline A1 & 1 & 247649 & 7399715 \\
\hline A1 & 2 & 247701 & 7399680 \\
\hline A1 & 3 & 247704 & 7399741 \\
\hline A1 & 4 & 247712 & 7399741 \\
\hline A1 & 5 & 247713 & 7399746 \\
\hline A1 & 6 & 247718 & 7399760 \\
\hline A1 & 7 & 247721 & 7399779 \\
\hline A1 & 8 & 247731 & 7399792 \\
\hline A1 & 9 & 247742 & 7399792 \\
\hline A1 & 10 & 247743 & 7399792 \\
\hline A1 & 11 & 247772 & 7399792 \\
\hline A1 & 12 & 247788 & 7399782 \\
\hline A1 & 13 & 247810 & 7399778 \\
\hline A1 & 14 & 247765 & 7399426 \\
\hline A1 & 15 & 247556 & 7399451 \\
\hline A1 & 16 & 247393 & 7399672 \\
\hline A1 & 17 & 247409 & 7399794 \\
\hline A1 & 18 & 247423 & 7399801 \\
\hline A1 & 19 & 247493 & 7399819 \\
\hline A1 & 20 & 247545 & 7399767 \\
\hline A1 & 21 & 247597 & 7399732 \\
\hline A1 & 22 & 247649 & 7399715 \\
\hline A2 & 23 & 248637 & 7400146 \\
\hline A2 & 24 & 248667 & 7399998 \\
\hline A2 & 25 & 248479 & 7399944 \\
\hline A2 & 26 & 248463 & 7400060 \\
\hline A2 & 27 & 248404 & 7400188 \\
\hline A2 & 28 & 248214 & 7400153 \\
\hline A2 & 29 & 248252 & 7400020 \\
\hline A2 & 30 & 248257 & 7400010 \\
\hline A2 & 31 & 248265 & 7399994 \\
\hline A2 & 32 & 248276 & 7399978 \\
\hline A2 & 33 & 248285 & 7399975 \\
\hline A2 & 34 & 248296 & 7399974 \\
\hline A2 & 35 & 248306 & 7399972 \\
\hline A2 & 36 & 248316 & 7399968 \\
\hline A2 & 37 & 248323 & 7399956 \\
\hline A2 & 38 & 248323 & 7399944 \\
\hline A2 & 39 & 248318 & 7399930 \\
\hline A2 & 40 & 248310 & 7399914 \\
\hline A2 & 41 & 248304 & 7399907 \\
\hline A2 & 42 & 248296 & 7399903 \\
\hline A2 & 43 & 248343 & 7399758 \\
\hline A2 & 44 & 247867 & 7399819 \\
\hline A2 & 45 & 247864 & 7399842 \\
\hline A2 & 46 & 247858 & 7399874 \\
\hline A2 & 47 & 247845 & 7399912 \\
\hline A2 & 48 & 247832 & 7399944 \\
\hline A2 & 49 & 247813 & 7399985 \\
\hline A2 & 50 & 247794 & 7400036 \\
\hline A2 & 51 & 247775 & 7400055 \\
\hline A2 & 52 & 247762 & 7400065 \\
\hline A2 & 53 & 247743 & 7400062 \\
\hline A2 & 54 & 247730 & 7400050 \\
\hline A2 & 55 & 247727 & 7400049 \\
\hline A2 & 56 & 247699 & 7400017 \\
\hline A2 & 57 & 247641 & 7399996 \\
\hline A2 & 58 & 247631 & 7399993 \\
\hline A2 & 59 & 247579 & 7399993 \\
\hline A2 & 60 & 247493 & 7400079 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Parcel & ID & Easting & Northing & Parcel & ID & Easting & Northing \\
\hline A2 & 61 & 247475 & 7400114 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 62 & 247452 & 7400128 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 63 & 247451 & 7400128 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 64 & 247464 & 7400227 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 65 & 247860 & 7400177 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 66 & 247868 & 7400235 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 67 & 247972 & 7400224 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 68 & 247982 & 7400206 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 69 & 247991 & 7400180 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 70 & 248042 & 7400200 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 71 & 248114 & 7400225 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 72 & 248164 & 7400231 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 73 & 248232 & 7400199 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 74 & 248296 & 7400220 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 75 & 248306 & 7400222 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 76 & 248401 & 7400224 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 77 & 248425 & 7400224 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 78 & 248451 & 7400227 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 79 & 248479 & 7400237 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 80 & 248802 & 7400309 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 81 & 248811 & 7400274 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 82 & 248605 & 7400234 & & & & \\
\hline A2 & 83 & 248637 & 7400146 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix E-TMR MCV Mapping}


\section*{B-DOUBLES}

23 metre routes 23 \& 25 metre routes
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ROAD TRAINS } \\
& \rightleftarrows \text { Type } 1 \text { routes } \\
& \rightleftarrows \text { Type } 1 \& 2 \text { routes }
\end{aligned}
\]

REFER TO LEGEND FOR DETAILS OF OPERATIONS IN THE SHADED AREAS Note: 23 \& 25 metre B-doubles can access Type \(1 \& 2\) road train routes


REFER TO LEGEND FOR DETAILS OF OPERATIONS IN THE SHADED AREAS Note: 23 \& 25 metre B-doubles can access Type \(1 \& 2\) road train routes

\section*{Appendix F - TMR Traffic Data (AADT)}

Traffic Analysis and Reporting System
AADT Segment Analysis Report (Complete)

Road Segments Summary - All Vehicles
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Region} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{AADT} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{VKT (Millions)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Data \\
Year
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & G & A & B & G & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 11.445 km & 60127 & 10.400 km & Bruce Hwy 40m N Ginger Beer Ck(Calliope) & 2,681 & 2,776 & 5,457 & 11.19968 & 11.59653 & 22.79621 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 11.445 km & 45.420 km & 60006 & 18.105 km & Bruce Hwy 100 m S of Calliope River & 2,483 & 2,373 & 4,856 & 30.79137 & 29.42728 & 60.21865 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 45.420 km & 85.308 km & 60023 & 53.490 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth Hut Ck ( Ambrose) & 2,841 & 2,842 & 5,683 & 41.36246 & 41.37702 & 82.73948 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 85.308 km & 108.938 km & 61551 & 100.438 km & Bruce Hwy Mikros WiM Site 400m N Bobs Ck & 3,478 & 3,524 & 7,002 & 29.99758 & 30.39432 & 60.39190 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 108.938 km & 114.388 km & 60130 & 111.494 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Nth Gavial Ck & 3,062 & 3,067 & 6,129 & 6.09108 & 6.10103 & 12.19211 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 114.388 km & 116.961 km & 60024 & 114.500 km & Bruce Hwy 30m North Scrubby Ck & 4,798 & 4,412 & 9,210 & 4.50602 & 4.14351 & 8.64953 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline 404 & 116.961 km & 119.737 km & 60868 & 118.341 km & Bruce Hwy 100 m N Owald St(Lower Dawson R) & 10,103 & 10,110 & 20,213 & 10.23676 & 10.24386 & 20.48062 & 2018 & 8 \\
\hline 404 & 119.737 km & 121.051 km & 61086 & 120.225 km & Bruce Hwy(Gladstone Rd) @ Derby St & 10,566 & 10,346 & 20,912 & 5.06756 & 4.96205 & 10.02960 & 2018 & 9 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Totals & 139.25251 & 138.24559 & 277.49810 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Road Segments Summary - Heavy Vehicles only
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Region} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{HV AADT} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{HV} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{VKT (Millions)}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Data \\
Year
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{G} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{A} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{B} & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 11.445 km & 60127 & 10.400 km & Bruce Hwy 40m N Ginger Beer Ck(Calliope) & 650 & 24.24\% & 774 & 27.88\% & 1,424 & 26.09\% & 2.71533 & 3.23333 & 5.94865 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 11.445 km & 45.420 km & 60006 & 18.105 km & Bruce Hwy 100 m S of Calliope River & 655 & 26.38\% & 587 & 24.74\% & 1,242 & 25.58\% & 8.12257 & 7.27931 & 15.40189 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 45.420 km & 85.308 km & 60023 & 53.490 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth Hut Ck ( Ambrose) & 616 & 21.68\% & 677 & 23.82\% & 1,293 & 22.75\% & 8.96842 & 9.85652 & 18.82494 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 85.308 km & 108.938 km & 61551 & 100.438 km & Bruce Hwy Mikros WiM Site 400m N Bobs Ck & 985 & 28.32\% & 921 & 26.14\% & 1,906 & 27.22\% & 8.49558 & 7.94358 & 16.43915 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 108.938 km & 114.388 km & 60130 & 111.494 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Nth Gavial Ck & 764 & 24.95\% & 830 & 27.06\% & 1,594 & 26.01\% & 1.51979 & 1.65108 & 3.17086 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 114.388 km & 116.961 km & 60024 & 114.500 km & Bruce Hwy 30m North Scrubby Ck & 742 & 15.46\% & 927 & 21.01\% & 1,669 & 18.12\% & 0.69685 & 0.87059 & 1.56743 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline 404 & 116.961 km & 119.737 km & 60868 & 118.341 km & Bruce Hwy 100 m N Owald St(Lower Dawson R) & 2,075 & 20.54\% & 1,708 & 16.89\% & 3,783 & 18.72\% & 2.10247 & 1.73061 & 3.83309 & 2018 & 8 \\
\hline 404 & 119.737 km & 121.051 km & 61086 & 120.225 km & Bruce Hwy(Gladstone Rd) @ Derby St & 1,228 & 11.62\% & 1,496 & 14.46\% & 2,724 & 13.03\% & 0.58896 & 0.71750 & 1.30646 & 2018 & 9 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & Totals & 33.20996 & 33.28252 & 66.49248 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Site 60023. Point 260000046. Hut \\
Ck (Nth Ambrose)on Bruce Hwy. \\
\hline 53.49 km \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\({ }^{2}\)
\end{tabular}

The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT.


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding
These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant


\section*{Document Set ID: 10395822}

Version: 1, Version Date: 30/08/2019
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline Site 61551 . Point 260001068. \\
WiM Site Midgee. \\
\hline 100.44 km \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT.


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding
These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant


The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT.
111.49 km
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 108.94 km & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{114.39 km} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Start Point 26000232. Bruce Hwy \\
to Bajool@Gavial-Gracemer Rd.
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
End Point 260000049. Bruce Hwy \\
to Gladstone @ Burnett Hwy.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \\
\hline 114.39 km & 116.96 km \\
\hline Start Point 260000049. Bruce Hwy to Gladstone @ Burnett Hwy. & End Point 260000050. Bruce Hwy to Mt Larcom @ Capricorn Hwy. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding,
These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant

\begin{tabular}{|llll|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{ All Vehicles (00) } \\
G & 4,798 & \(100 \%\) \\
A & 4,412 & \(100 \%\) \\
B & 9,210 & \(100 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT.


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding


Road Segments Summary - All Vehicles
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Region} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{AADT} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{VKT (Millions)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Data \\
Year
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & G & A & B & G & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 1.409 km & 60027 & 0.100 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth of Archer St(Lights) & 7,468 & 6,966 & 14,434 & 3.84068 & 3.58251 & 7.42319 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 1.409 km & 4.340 km & 60017 & 2.770 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth Knight St & 17,400 & 15,358 & 32,758 & 18.61478 & 16.43022 & 35.04500 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 4.340 km & 5.517 km & 61005 & 4.750 km & Bruce Hwy at Boland St & 13,633 & 11,593 & 25,226 & 5.85680 & 4.98041 & 10.83722 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 5.517 km & 8.550 km & 60822 & 7.736 km & Bruce Hwy 800m Sth Rton-Yeppoon Rd & 9,092 & 8,512 & 17,604 & 10.06525 & 9.42317 & 19.48842 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 8.550 km & 13.180 km & 60926 & 10.410 km & Bruce Hwy 200m Sth Mason Ave (Parkhurst) & 6,366 & 6,384 & 12,750 & 10.75822 & 10.78864 & 21.54686 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 13.180 km & 19.833 km & 60823 & 13.330 km & Bruce Hwy 150m North Terra Nova Dr & 3,630 & 3,828 & 7,458 & 8.81489 & 9.29570 & 18.11060 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline 404 & 19.833 km & 24.908 km & 60160 & 24.380 km & Bruce Hwy 450m N of 14 Mile Ck Rd & 2,122 & 2,143 & 4,265 & 3.93074 & 3.96964 & 7.90038 & 2018 & 8 \\
\hline 404 & 24.908 km & 142.630 km & 60003 & 75.230 km & Bruce Hwy 40m Sth MountainCk(Kunwarara) & 1,280 & 1,245 & 2,525 & 54.99972 & 53.49582 & 108.49554 & 2018 & 9 \\
\hline 404 & 142.630 km & 149.400 km & 61814 & 144.300 km & 1km south of Montrose Creek on Bruce Hwy & 1,251 & 1,268 & 2,519 & 3.09128 & 3.13329 & 6.22457 & 2018 & 10 \\
\hline 405 & 149.400 km & 177.923 km & 80022 & 169.588 km & South of Waverley Creek & 1,179 & 1,343 & 2,522 & 12.27445 & 13.98183 & 26.25628 & 2017 & 11 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Totals & 132.24682 & 129.08123 & 261.32805 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Road Segments Summary - Heavy Vehicles only
VKT totals are calculated only if traffic class data is available for all sites
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Region} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{HV AADT} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{HV VKT (Millions)}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Data Year} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{G} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{A} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{B} & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & G & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 1.409 km & 60027 & 0.100 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth of Archer St(Lights) & 1,271 & 17.02\% & 1,282 & 18.40\% & 2,553 & 17.69\% & 0.65366 & 0.65931 & 1.31297 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 1.409 km & 4.340 km & 60017 & 2.770 km & Bruce Hwy 100m Sth Knight St & 1,917 & 11.02\% & 1,337 & 8.71\% & 3,254 & 9.93\% & 2.05084 & 1.43034 & 3.48118 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 4.340 km & 5.517 km & 61005 & 4.750 km & Bruce Hwy at Boland St & 1,273 & 9.34\% & 1,411 & 12.17\% & 2,684 & 10.64\% & 0.54689 & 0.60617 & 1.15306 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 5.517 km & 8.550 km & 60822 & 7.736 km & Bruce Hwy 800m Sth Rton-Yeppoon Rd & 1,061 & 11.67\% & 1,177 & 13.83\% & 2,238 & 12.71\% & 1.17457 & 1.30299 & 2.47757 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 8.550 km & 13.180 km & 60926 & 10.410 km & Bruce Hwy 200m Sth Mason Ave (Parkhurst) & 872 & 13.70\% & 588 & 9.21\% & 1,460 & 11.45\% & 1.47364 & 0.99369 & 2.46733 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 13.180 km & 19.833 km & 60823 & 13.330 km & Bruce Hwy 150m North Terra Nova Dr & 686 & 18.90\% & 695 & 18.16\% & 1,381 & 18.52\% & 1.66584 & 1.68770 & 3.35354 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline 404 & 19.833 km & 24.908 km & 60160 & 24.380 km & Bruce Hwy 450 m N of 14 Mile Ck Rd & 530 & 24.98\% & 452 & 21.09\% & 982 & 23.02\% & 0.98176 & 0.83727 & 1.81903 & 2018 & 8 \\
\hline 404 & 24.908 km & 142.630 km & 60003 & 75.230 km & Bruce Hwy 40m Sth MountainCk(Kunwarara) & 193 & 15.08\% & 254 & 20.40\% & 447 & 17.70\% & 8.29293 & 10.91401 & 19.20693 & 2018 & 9 \\
\hline 404 & 142.630 km & 149.400 km & 61814 & 144.300 km & 1km south of Montrose Creek on Bruce Hwy & 375 & 29.98\% & 404 & 31.86\% & 779 & 30.92\% & 0.92664 & 0.99830 & 1.92495 & 2018 & 10 \\
\hline 405 & 149.400 km & 177.923 km & 80022 & 169.588 km & South of Waverley Creek & 282 & 23.92\% & 313 & 23.31\% & 595 & 23.59\% & 2.93587 & 3.25861 & 6.19448 & 2017 & 11 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & Totals & 20.70264 & 22.68841 & 43.39104 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding
These inaccuracies are statistically insignifican
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Traffic Year 2018 - Data Collection Year 2018

This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding
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\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline Bruce Hwy Boland St Ped Crossing. \\
\hline 4.75 km \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant.
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The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be These inal inaccuracies due to rounding

\begin{tabular}{l} 
Site 60926. Point 260000686. Bruce \\
Hwy 200m Sth Mason Ave (Parkhurst). \\
\hline 10.41 km \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding These inaccuracies are statistically insignificant.
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11-Mar-2019 15:35

Road Segments Summary - All Vehicles
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Region} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{AADT} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{VKT (Millions)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Data } \\
& \text { Year }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & G & A & B & G & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 5.690 km & 60039 & 3.070 km & Capricorn Hwy 1.5Km West Bruce Hwy & 8,289 & 7,503 & 15,792 & 17.21501 & 15.58261 & 32.79762 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 5.690 km & 13.367 km & 60010 & 8.690 km & Capricorn Hwy 3km West Gracemere & 2,583 & 2,421 & 5,004 & 7.23784 & 6.78390 & 14.02173 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 13.367 km & 17.856 km & 61457 & 14.580 km & Capricorn Hwy WiM Site at Kabra & 2,120 & 1,882 & 4,002 & 3.47359 & 3.08363 & 6.55722 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 17.856 km & 51.620 km & 60040 & 44.000 km & Capricorn Hwy 1Km East of Westwood & 1,633 & 1,660 & 3,293 & 20.12486 & 20.45761 & 40.58247 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 51.620 km & 73.350 km & 60045 & 64.000 km & Capricorn Hwy at 41 Mile Ck & 1,346 & 1,464 & 2,810 & 10.67573 & 11.61164 & 22.28737 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 73.350 km & 106.380 km & 150050 & 92.220 km & Capricorn Hwy 300m East of Int 16A/462 & 1,378 & 1,461 & 2,839 & 16.61310 & 17.61374 & 34.22684 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Totals & 75.34013 & 75.13312 & 150.47325 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Road Segments Summary - Heavy Vehicles only}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Region} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment Start Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Segment End Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Site Tdist} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Description} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{HV AADT} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{HV VKT (Millions)}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Data \\
Year
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Page} \\
\hline & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{G} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{A} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{B} & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & AADT & HV \% & G & A & B & & \\
\hline 404 & 0.000 km & 5.690 km & 60039 & 3.070 km & Capricorn Hwy 1.5Km West Bruce Hwy & 892 & 10.76\% & 1,949 & 25.98\% & 2,841 & 17.99\% & 1.85255 & 4.04778 & 5.90033 & 2018 & 2 \\
\hline 404 & 5.690 km & 13.367 km & 60010 & 8.690 km & Capricorn Hwy 3km West Gracemere & 642 & 24.85\% & 899 & 37.13\% & 1,541 & 30.80\% & 1.79895 & 2.51909 & 4.31804 & 2018 & 3 \\
\hline 404 & 13.367 km & 17.856 km & 61457 & 14.580 km & Capricorn Hwy WiM Site at Kabra & 557 & 26.27\% & 463 & 24.60\% & 1,020 & 25.49\% & 0.91264 & 0.75862 & 1.67125 & 2018 & 4 \\
\hline 404 & 17.856 km & 51.620 km & 60040 & 44.000 km & Capricorn Hwy 1Km East of Westwood & 450 & 27.56\% & 452 & 27.23\% & 902 & 27.39\% & 5.54574 & 5.57038 & 11.11612 & 2018 & 5 \\
\hline 404 & 51.620 km & 73.350 km & 60045 & 64.000 km & Capricorn Hwy at 41 Mile Ck & 409 & 30.39\% & 423 & 28.89\% & 832 & 29.61\% & 3.24396 & 3.35500 & 6.59897 & 2018 & 6 \\
\hline 404 & 73.350 km & 106.380 km & 150050 & 92.220 km & Capricorn Hwy 300m East of Int 16A/462 & 417 & 30.26\% & 422 & 28.88\% & 839 & 29.55\% & 5.02733 & 5.08761 & 10.11494 & 2018 & 7 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & Totals & 18.38117 & 21.33849 & 39.71966 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
Site 60039. Point 260000062. Cap \\
Hwy(TC-60039) \\
\hline Km West of Bruce Hwy.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3.07 km \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The width of each Road Segment is proportional to its AADT.


This report shows Annual Average Daily Traffic values (AADTs). Because the AADT values are converted to whole numbers, there will be occasional inaccuracies due to rounding
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\section*{Appendix G- TMR Intersection Count Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access}

ROAD No: 10E (Int. 15269 @ 107.400km)
DATE: Wed, 22/07/15
TIME: 06:00-18:00


DATA Collection Date : 22/07/2017
Compiled Edited: Ken Ah Quee
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Time} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 1} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 3} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 4} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & U-turn & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Leg
Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{U-turn All} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { Leg } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { U-turn } \\
\hline A l l \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Leg Total 0} \\
\hline & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & All & & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & & & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & & \\
\hline 6:00-6:15 & 18 & 7 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 20 & 5 & & 25 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline 6:15-6:30 & 28 & 11 & 0 & 0 & & 39 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 12 & & 49 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 6:30-6:45 & 23 & 12 & 1 & 0 & & 36 & 0 & 0 & 38 & 9 & & 47 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 6:45-7:00 & 21 & 10 & 1 & 0 & & 32 & 0 & 0 & 50 & 12 & & 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 7:00-7:15 & 31 & 9 & 0 & 0 & & 40 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 17 & & 58 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 7:15-7:30 & 36 & 16 & 0 & 0 & & 52 & 0 & 0 & 52 & 12 & & 64 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 7:30-7:45 & 33 & 15 & 0 & 0 & & 48 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 13 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 7:45-8:00 & 40 & 11 & 1 & 0 & & 52 & 0 & 0 & 40 & 17 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 8:00-8:15 & 38 & 9 & 0 & 0 & & 47 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 14 & & 74 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 8:15-8:30 & 45 & 12 & 0 & 2 & & 59 & 0 & 0 & 61 & 28 & & 89 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 8:30-8:45 & 46 & 8 & 0 & 1 & & 55 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 21 & & 84 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 8:45-9:00 & 37 & 4 & 0 & 1 & & 42 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 11 & & 56 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 2 \\
\hline 9:00-9:15 & 52 & 17 & 0 & 0 & & 69 & 0 & 0 & 71 & 16 & & 87 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 9:15-9:30 & 45 & 6 & 0 & 3 & & 54 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 20 & & 80 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 9:30-9:45 & 53 & 12 & 0 & 0 & & 65 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 24 & & 72 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 9:45-10:00 & 47 & 9 & 0 & 1 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 15 & & 59 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 10:00-10:15 & 46 & 8 & 0 & 3 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 9 & & 79 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 10:15-10:30 & 39 & 9 & 0 & 1 & & 49 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 14 & & 56 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & & 4 \\
\hline 10:30-10:45 & 55 & 16 & 1 & 1 & & 73 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 6 & & 45 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 10:45-11:00 & 44 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & 54 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 16 & & 60 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 11:00-11:15 & 45 & 11 & 0 & 1 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 58 & 13 & & 71 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 2 \\
\hline 11:15-11:30 & 53 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & 63 & 0 & 0 & 46 & 9 & & 55 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 11:30-11:45 & 39 & 3 & 1 & 3 & & 46 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 21 & & 66 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 11:45-12:00 & 44 & 14 & 0 & 1 & & 59 & 0 & 0 & 35 & 13 & & 48 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

OOCATION: Int Bruce Hwy \& Midgee Quarry Access
ROAD No: 10E (Int.15269 @ 107.400km)
DATE: Wed, 22/07/15
TIME: 06:00-18:00

LOCATION: Int Bruce Hwy \& Midgee Quarry Access
ROAD No: 10E (Int.15269 @ 107.400km)
DATE: Wed, 22/07/15
TIME: 06:00-18:00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Time} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 1} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 3} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Leg 4} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Right} & U-turn & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Leg } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Thru} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { U-turn } \\
\hline A l l
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Leg Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Right} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { U-turn } \\
\hline A l l \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Leg } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & All & & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & & & Light & Heavy & Light & Heavy & & \\
\hline 12:00-12:15 & 53 & 12 & 0 & 1 & & 66 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 13 & & 52 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 12:15-12:30 & 39 & 2 & 0 & 3 & & 44 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 16 & & 57 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 12:30-12:45 & 37 & 11 & 0 & 1 & & 49 & 0 & 0 & 38 & 13 & & 51 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & & 3 \\
\hline 12:45-13:00 & 41 & 9 & 0 & 0 & & 50 & 0 & 0 & 38 & 17 & & 55 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 13:00-13:15 & 35 & 8 & 0 & 1 & & 44 & 0 & 0 & 50 & 23 & & 73 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 13:15-13:30 & 42 & 12 & 1 & 3 & & 58 & 0 & 0 & 46 & 21 & & 67 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 13:30-13:45 & 38 & 13 & 0 & 2 & & 53 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 18 & & 66 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 13:45-14:00 & 49 & 12 & 0 & 1 & & 62 & 0 & 0 & 46 & 15 & & 61 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 2 \\
\hline 14:00-14:15 & 43 & 10 & 0 & 2 & & 55 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 11 & & 70 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 14:15-14:30 & 50 & 9 & 0 & 1 & & 60 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 17 & & 76 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 14:30-14:45 & 49 & 8 & 0 & 1 & & 58 & 0 & 1 & 40 & 11 & & 52 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 14:45-15:00 & 53 & 6 & 0 & 3 & & 62 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 11 & & 53 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 15:00-15:15 & 41 & 11 & 0 & 1 & & 53 & 0 & 0 & 58 & 15 & & 73 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 15:15-15:30 & 58 & 18 & 0 & 1 & & 77 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 9 & & 50 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 15:30-15:45 & 65 & 14 & 1 & 0 & & 80 & 1 & 0 & 61 & 18 & & 80 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 15:45-16:00 & 46 & 11 & 0 & 0 & & 57 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 10 & & 55 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 16:00-16:15 & 51 & 5 & 0 & 0 & & 56 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 15 & & 63 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 16:15-16:30 & 39 & 6 & 0 & 1 & & 46 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 16 & & 57 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 16:30-16:45 & 47 & 12 & 0 & 0 & & 59 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 14 & & 56 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 16:45-17:00 & 40 & 11 & 0 & 0 & & 51 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 5 & & 64 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 \\
\hline 17:00-17:15 & 44 & 12 & 0 & 0 & & 56 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 13 & & 54 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 3 \\
\hline 17:15-17:30 & 44 & 14 & 0 & 0 & & 58 & 0 & 0 & 35 & 15 & & 50 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 17:30-17:45 & 31 & 8 & 0 & 0 & & 39 & 0 & 0 & 23 & 6 & & 29 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline 17:45-18:00 & 34 & 4 & 0 & 0 & & 38 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 10 & & 37 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
\hline Total: & 2027 & 487 & 8 & 40 & 0 & 2562 & 1 & 1 & 2220 & 679 & 0 & 2901 & 15 & 40 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 55 \\
\hline Peak Count: & 220 & 54 & 3 & 8 & 0 & 272 & 1 & 1 & 240 & 80 & 0 & 316 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \\
\hline Peak Hour: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15: 15 \text { to } \\
& \text { 16:15 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { 15:00 to } \\
& \text { 16:00 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 06:00 to } \\
& 07: 00
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11:30 to } \\
& 12: 30
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 06:00 to } \\
& \text { 07:00 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 14: 45 \text { to } \\
& 15: 45
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
14: 45 \text { to } \\
15: 45
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 45 \text { to } \\
& 14: 45
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 08:15 to } \\
& 09: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 07: 45 \text { to } \\
08: 45
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 06:00 to } \\
& \text { 07:00 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 08:15 to } \\
& 09: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \#N/A & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10: 15 \text { to } \\
& 11: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 06:00 to } \\
& \text { 07:00 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11:45 to } \\
& 12: 45
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 06:00 to } \\
& \text { 07:00 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
13: 15 \text { to } \\
\text { 14:15 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Appendix H- Background Traffic Calculations
}

AM PEAK
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline GR \% & 2.33\% & 2.33\% & & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 2.33\% & 2.33\% \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2015 & 197 & 44 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 223 & 75 \\
\hline 2016 & 202 & 45 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 228 & 77 \\
\hline 2017 & 206 & 46 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 234 & 79 \\
\hline 2018 & 211 & 47 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 239 & 80 \\
\hline 2019 & 216 & 48 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 245 & 82 \\
\hline 2020 & 221 & 49 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 250 & 84 \\
\hline 2021 & 226 & 51 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 256 & 86 \\
\hline 2022 & 231 & 52 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 262 & 88 \\
\hline 2023 & 237 & 53 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 268 & 90 \\
\hline 2024 & 242 & 54 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 274 & 92 \\
\hline 2025 & 248 & 55 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 281 & 94 \\
\hline 2026 & 254 & 57 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 287 & 97 \\
\hline 2027 & 260 & 58 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 294 & 99 \\
\hline 2028 & 266 & 59 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 301 & 101 \\
\hline 2029 & 272 & 61 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 308 & 104 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PM PEAK
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline GR \% & 2.33\% & 2.33\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 0.00\% & 2.33\% & 2.33\% \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2015 & 217 & 49 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 202 & 53 \\
\hline 2016 & 222 & 50 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 207 & 54 \\
\hline 2017 & 227 & 51 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 212 & 55 \\
\hline 2018 & 233 & 53 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 216 & 57 \\
\hline 2019 & 238 & 54 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 221 & 58 \\
\hline 2020 & 243 & 55 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 227 & 59 \\
\hline 2021 & 249 & 56 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 232 & 61 \\
\hline 2022 & 255 & 58 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 237 & 62 \\
\hline 2023 & 261 & 59 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 243 & 64 \\
\hline 2024 & 267 & 60 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 249 & 65 \\
\hline 2025 & 273 & 62 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 254 & 67 \\
\hline 2026 & 280 & 63 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 260 & 68 \\
\hline 2027 & 286 & 65 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 266 & 70 \\
\hline 2028 & 293 & 66 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 273 & 72 \\
\hline 2029 & 300 & 68 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 9 & 279 & 73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Existing Quarry Approval}
\begin{tabular}{rlc} 
Annual Tonnage & \(=\) & \(1,000,000\) \\
Days of Operation & \(=\) & 288 \\
Avage Daily Tonnage & \(=\) & 3,472 \\
Avg T\&D Payload & \(=\) & 32 \\
No. Trucks per Day & \(=\) & 109 \\
Hours per day & \(=\) & 12 \\
Trucks per Hour & \(=\) & 9
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix I- SIDRA Results | Existing (2019) Conditions}

\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

Site: 1 [EXIST 2019 AM PEAK]
Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Existing Intersection Configuration CHR / BAL
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Dema Total veh/h & Flows HV \% & Deg. Satn v/c & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & 95\% Back Vehicles veh & f Queue Distance m & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.212 & 6.1 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.03 & 55.7 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 344 & 25.1 & 0.212 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.03 & 59.8 \\
\hline Appro & & 354 & 27.1 & 0.212 & 0.3 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.03 & 59.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 278 & 18.2 & 0.158 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.014 & 9.5 & LOS A & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.53 & 0.63 & 49.6 \\
\hline Appro & & 287 & 20.9 & 0.158 & 0.3 & NA & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 59.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.063 & 9.5 & LOS A & 0.2 & 2.8 & 0.64 & 0.78 & 45.2 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.063 & 22.8 & LOS B & 0.2 & 2.8 & 0.64 & 0.78 & 45.5 \\
\hline Appro & & 19 & 100.0 & 0.063 & 16.1 & LOS B & 0.2 & 2.8 & 0.64 & 0.78 & 45.3 \\
\hline All Ve & cles & 660 & 26.5 & 0.212 & 0.7 & NA & 0.2 & 2.8 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 59.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Project: E:ICOLAS MidgeelCOLAS MIDGEE.sip7

\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

Site: 1 [EXIST 2019 PM PEAK]
Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Existing Intersection Configuration CHR / BAL
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Deman Total veh/h & Flows HV \% & Deg. Satn v/c & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & \begin{tabular}{l}
95\% Back \\
Vehicles \\
veh
\end{tabular} & f Queue Distance
\(\qquad\) & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 11 & 90.0 & 0.178 & 6.6 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 54.1 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 294 & 20.8 & 0.178 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 59.9 \\
\hline Appro & & 304 & 23.2 & 0.178 & 0.2 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 59.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 307 & 18.5 & 0.175 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 11 & 90.0 & 0.014 & 9.1 & LOS A & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.48 & 0.59 & 48.8 \\
\hline Appro & & 318 & 20.9 & 0.175 & 0.3 & NA & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 59.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 12 & 81.8 & 0.059 & 8.7 & LOS A & 0.2 & 2.6 & 0.59 & 0.79 & 45.3 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.059 & 21.2 & LOS B & 0.2 & 2.6 & 0.59 & 0.79 & 46.7 \\
\hline Appro & & 21 & 90.0 & 0.059 & 14.3 & LOS A & 0.2 & 2.6 & 0.59 & 0.79 & 45.9 \\
\hline All Ve & cles & 643 & 24.2 & 0.178 & 0.7 & NA & 0.2 & 2.6 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 59.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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\section*{Appendix J - Traffic Volume Calculations}

\section*{ENG0118-001 | COLAS Asphalt Plant Midgee}

Bruce Highway/ Midgee Quarry Access Intersection - Count Data (TMR) Wednesday 22/07/2015

\section*{Development Traffic Volumes - Proposed COLAS Asphalt Manufacturing Plant}

\section*{AM PEAK}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2019 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2020 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2021 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2028 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2029 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PM PEAK}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2019 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2020 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2021 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2028 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2029 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Proposed Asphalt Manufacturing Plant}

Staff \(=3\)
No. Staff LV Movements = 3

Max Asphalt Trucks per Day \(=30\)
Hours per day = 12
Trucks Movements per Hour \(=3\)

Max Delivery Trucks from Brisbane \(=4\) Max Delivery Trucks from Rockhampton = 6 Hours per day \(=12\)
Max Delivery Truck from B'bane per Hour = 1
Max Delivery Truck from R'ton per Hour = 1

\section*{ENG0118-001 | COLAS Asphalt Plant Midgee}

Bruce Highway/ Midgee Quarry Access Intersection - Count Data (TMR) Wednesday 22/07/2015

\section*{Post Development Traffic Volumes}

\section*{AM PEAK}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2019 & 216 & 48 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 245 & 82 \\
\hline 2020 & 221 & 49 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 250 & 84 \\
\hline 2021 & 226 & 51 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 256 & 86 \\
\hline 2022 & 231 & 52 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 262 & 88 \\
\hline 2023 & 237 & 53 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 268 & 90 \\
\hline 2024 & 242 & 54 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 274 & 92 \\
\hline 2025 & 248 & 55 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 281 & 94 \\
\hline 2026 & 254 & 57 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 287 & 97 \\
\hline 2027 & 260 & 58 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 294 & 99 \\
\hline 2028 & 266 & 59 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 301 & 101 \\
\hline 2029 & 272 & 61 & 3 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 308 & 104 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PM PEAK}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(North) Bruce Highway} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(West) Midgee Quarry Access} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(South) Bruce Highway} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Right} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Left} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Thru} \\
\hline & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV & LV & HV \\
\hline 2019 & 238 & 54 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 221 & 58 \\
\hline 2020 & 243 & 55 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 227 & 59 \\
\hline 2021 & 249 & 56 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 232 & 61 \\
\hline 2022 & 255 & 58 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 237 & 62 \\
\hline 2023 & 261 & 59 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 243 & 64 \\
\hline 2024 & 267 & 60 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 249 & 65 \\
\hline 2025 & 273 & 62 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 254 & 67 \\
\hline 2026 & 280 & 63 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 260 & 68 \\
\hline 2027 & 286 & 65 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 266 & 70 \\
\hline 2028 & 293 & 66 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 273 & 72 \\
\hline 2029 & 300 & 68 & 1 & 13 & 5 & 13 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 13 & 279 & 73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Road } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow{2}{*}{Road Description} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AADT Segment} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Base Data Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Base Year AADT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Year HV\%} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Year HV} & \multirow{2}{*}{10 Yr GR\%} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2019 AADT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2019 HV} \\
\hline & & Start (km) & End (km) & & Gaz & A-Gaz & Bi-Dir & Gaz & A-Gaz & Gaz & A-Gaz & & Gaz & A-Gaz & Bi-Dir & Gaz & A-Gaz \\
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{10E} & \multirow{7}{*}{Bruce Highway (Benaraby to Rockhampton)} & 45.420 & 85.308 & 2018 & 2,841 & 2,842 & 5,683 & 21.68\% & 23.82\% & 616 & 677 & 0.16\% & 2,846 & 2,847 & 5,692 & 617 & 678 \\
\hline & & 85.308 & 107.400 & 2018 & 3,478 & 3,524 & 7,002 & 28.32\% & 26.14\% & 985 & 921 & 2.33\% & 3,559 & 3,606 & 7,165 & 1,008 & 943 \\
\hline & & 107.400 & 108.938 & 2018 & 3,478 & 3,524 & 7,002 & 28.32\% & 26.14\% & 985 & 921 & 2.33\% & 3,559 & 3,606 & 7,165 & 1,008 & 943 \\
\hline & & 108.938 & 114.388 & 2018 & 3,062 & 3,067 & 6,129 & 24.95\% & 27.06\% & 764 & 830 & 1.67\% & 3,113 & 3,118 & 6,231 & 777 & 844 \\
\hline & & 114.388 & 116.961 & 2018 & 4,798 & 4,412 & 9,210 & 15.46\% & 21.01\% & 742 & 927 & 0.94\% & 4,843 & 4,453 & 9,297 & 749 & 936 \\
\hline & & 116.961 & 119.737 & 2018 & 10,103 & 10,110 & 20,213 & 20.54\% & 16.89\% & 2,075 & 1,708 & 0.00\% & 10,103 & 10,110 & 20,213 & 2,075 & 1,708 \\
\hline & & 119.737 & 121.051 & 2018 & 10,566 & 10,346 & 20,912 & 11.62\% & 14.46\% & 1,228 & 1,496 & 0.00\% & 10,566 & 10,346 & 20,912 & 1,228 & 1,496 \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{10F} & \multirow{5}{*}{Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence)} & 0.000 & 1.409 & 2018 & 7,468 & 6,966 & 14,434 & 17.02\% & 18.40\% & 1,271 & 1,282 & 0.00\% & 7,468 & 6,966 & 14,434 & 1,271 & 1,282 \\
\hline & & 1.409 & 4.340 & 2018 & 17,400 & 15,358 & 32,758 & 11.02\% & 8.71\% & 1,917 & 1,338 & 0.00\% & 17,400 & 15,358 & 32,758 & 1,917 & 1,338 \\
\hline & & 4.340 & 5.517 & 2018 & 13,633 & 11,593 & 25,226 & 9.34\% & 12.17\% & 1,273 & 1,411 & 0.75\% & 13,735 & 11,680 & 25,415 & 1,283 & 1,421 \\
\hline & & 5.517 & 8.550 & 2018 & 9,092 & 8,512 & 17,604 & 11.67\% & 13.83\% & 1,061 & 1,177 & 1.63\% & 9,240 & 8,651 & 17,891 & 1,078 & 1,196 \\
\hline & & 8.550 & 13.180 & 2018 & 6,366 & 6,384 & 12,750 & 13.70\% & 9.21\% & 872 & 588 & 2.32\% & 6,514 & 6,532 & 13,046 & 892 & 602 \\
\hline 16A & Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton - Duaringa) & 0.000 & 5.960 & 2018 & 8,289 & 7,503 & 15,792 & 10.76\% & 25.98\% & 892 & 1,949 & 0.00\% & 8,289 & 7,503 & 15,792 & 892 & 1,949 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Dev Traffic (Daily) } \\
\hline Gaz & A-Gaz & Bi-Dir \\
\hline 4 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline 4 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 39 & 39 & 78 \\
\hline 30 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline 30 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline 30 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline 30 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Dev Traffic \% Impact } \\
\hline Gaz \% & A-Gaz \% & Bi-Dir \% \\
\hline \(0.14 \%\) & \(0.14 \%\) & \(0.14 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.11 \%\) & \(0.11 \%\) & \(0.11 \%\) \\
\hline \(1.10 \%\) & \(1.08 \%\) & \(1.09 \%\) \\
\hline \(1.25 \%\) & \(1.25 \%\) & \(1.25 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.81 \%\) & \(0.88 \%\) & \(0.84 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.39 \%\) & \(0.39 \%\) & \(0.39 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.37 \%\) & \(0.38 \%\) & \(0.37 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.52 \%\) & \(0.56 \%\) & \(0.54 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.22 \%\) & \(0.25 \%\) & \(0.24 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.22 \%\) & \(0.26 \%\) & \(0.24 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.32 \%\) & \(0.35 \%\) & \(0.34 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.46 \%\) & \(0.46 \%\) & \(0.46 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.36 \%\) & \(0.40 \%\) & \(0.38 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENG0118-001 COLAS Asphalt Plant Midgee
Project Pavement Impact \% Calculations (2019)
SITE MATERIAL DELIVERIES
\[
\begin{array}{rlc}
\text { Max Trucks per Day } & = & 10 \\
\text { From Rockhampton \% } & = & 60 \% \\
\text { From Rockhampton Vol } & = & 6 \\
& & \\
\text { From Brisbane \% } & = & 40 \% \\
\text { From Brisbane Vol } & = & 4
\end{array}
\]

ASPHALT DELIVER
Max. Vehicles per day \(=30\)
STAFF MOVEMENTS
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Inbound trips in AM } & = & 3
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Appendix K - Turn Warrants Assessment}

\section*{Turn Warrant Assessment}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Intersection: & Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access & \\
Year / Peak: & 2029 AM \& PM & Scenario: Post Development
\end{tabular}

Assessment based on Austroads Guide to Road Design, Part 4b. This warrant assessment applies only to turning movements from the major road only.


Recommended treatments:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Right Turn & CHR \\
\hline Left Turn & AUL(s) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|llll|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Legend } & & \\
BAR & Basic Right Turn & BAL & Basic Left Turn \\
CHR(S) & Channelised Right Turn (short) & AUL(S) & Auxiliary Left Turn (short) \\
CHR & Channelised Right Turn & AUL & Auxiliary Left Turn \\
& & CHL & Channelised Left Turn \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Volumes adopted are conservative as they utilise an assumed maximum vehicle movements from both the existing quarry and proposed asphalt plant in both directions concurrently, when the movements from each use (i.e. quarry and asphalt plant) would only occur in one direction at a time, and not necessarily in the same direction. The use of the maximum volumes from each use on all movement is conservative, but will indicate the turn treatment required to cater for the worst case operation of the site in both directions.
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline Prepared by: & A.Barrie \\
\hline Reviewed by: & A.Barrie \\
\hline Date: & \(22 / 04 / 2019\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Appendix L- SIDRA Results | Pre \& Post Development
}

\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

Site: 1 [PRE 2029 AM PEAK]
Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Proposed Intersection Configuration CHR / AULs
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Deman Total veh/h & Flows
HV
\(\%\) & Deg. Satn v/c & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & 95\% Back Vehicles veh & f Queue Distance m & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.009 & 6.1 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.57 & 51.6 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 434 & 25.2 & 0.256 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 59.9 \\
\hline Appr & & 443 & 26.8 & 0.256 & 0.2 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 59.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 351 & 18.3 & 0.199 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.023 & 12.5 & LOS A & 0.1 & 1.1 & 0.58 & 0.72 & 47.6 \\
\hline Appr & & 360 & 20.5 & 0.199 & 0.4 & NA & 0.1 & 1.1 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 59.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.122 & 12.6 & LOS A & 0.4 & 5.0 & 0.80 & 0.91 & 39.4 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.122 & 43.5 & LOS D & 0.4 & 5.0 & 0.80 & 0.91 & 39.7 \\
\hline Appr & & 19 & 100.0 & 0.122 & 28.1 & LOS B & 0.4 & 5.0 & 0.80 & 0.91 & 39.6 \\
\hline All V & cles & 822 & 25.7 & 0.256 & 0.9 & NA & 0.4 & 5.0 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 59.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

Site: 1 [PRE 2029 PM PEAK]
Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Proposed Intersection Configuration CHR / AULs
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Deman Total veh/h & Flows
HV
\(\%\) & Deg. Satn v/c & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & 95\% Back Vehicles veh & f Queue Distance m & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 11 & 90.0 & 0.009 & 6.6 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.56 & 50.0 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 371 & 20.7 & 0.213 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline Appr & & 381 & 22.7 & 0.213 & 0.2 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 59.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 387 & 18.5 & 0.220 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 59.9 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 11 & 90.0 & 0.021 & 11.0 & LOS A & 0.1 & 0.9 & 0.53 & 0.67 & 47.5 \\
\hline Appr & & 398 & 20.4 & 0.220 & 0.3 & NA & 0.1 & 0.9 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 59.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 12 & 81.8 & 0.109 & 10.7 & LOS A & 0.4 & 4.3 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 40.8 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 9 & 100.0 & 0.109 & 38.7 & LOS C & 0.4 & 4.3 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 42.0 \\
\hline Appr & & 21 & 90.0 & 0.109 & 23.2 & LOS B & 0.4 & 4.3 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 41.4 \\
\hline All V & cles & 800 & 23.3 & 0.220 & 0.9 & NA & 0.4 & 4.3 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 58.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

\section*{Site: 1 [POST 2029 AM PEAK]}

Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Proposed Intersection Configuration CHR / AULs
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Deman Total veh/h & Flows
HV
\(\%\) & Deg. Satn v/c & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & 95\% Back Vehicles veh & f Queue Distance m & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 14 & 100.0 & 0.012 & 6.1 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.57 & 51.6 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 434 & 25.2 & 0.256 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 59.9 \\
\hline Appr & & 447 & 27.5 & 0.256 & 0.2 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 59.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 351 & 18.3 & 0.199 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 17 & 81.2 & 0.037 & 12.1 & LOS A & 0.1 & 1.6 & 0.57 & 0.73 & 47.1 \\
\hline Appr & & 367 & 21.2 & 0.199 & 0.6 & NA & 0.1 & 1.6 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 59.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 14 & 100.0 & 0.181 & 12.9 & LOS A & 0.6 & 7.6 & 0.81 & 0.92 & 38.9 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 14 & 100.0 & 0.181 & 45.7 & LOS D & 0.6 & 7.6 & 0.81 & 0.92 & 39.2 \\
\hline Appr & & 27 & 100.0 & 0.181 & 29.3 & LOS C & 0.6 & 7.6 & 0.81 & 0.92 & 39.0 \\
\hline All V & cles & 842 & 27.1 & 0.256 & 1.3 & NA & 0.6 & 7.6 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 58.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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\section*{MOVEMENT SUMMARY}

\section*{Site: 1 [POST 2029 PM PEAK]}

Bruce Highway / Midgee Quarry Access
Proposed Intersection Configuration CHR / AULs
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Movement Performance - Vehicles} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mov } \\
& \text { ID }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OD } \\
& \text { Mov }
\end{aligned}
\] & Deman Total veh/h & Flows
HV
\(\%\) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Deg. } \\
\text { Satn } \\
\text { v/c }
\end{array}
\] & Average Delay sec & Level of Service & 95\% Back Vehicles veh & f Queue Distance m & Prop. Queued & Effective Stop Rate per veh & Average Speed km/h \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{South: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 1 & L2 & 15 & 92.9 & 0.013 & 6.6 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.56 & 49.9 \\
\hline 2 & T1 & 371 & 20.7 & 0.213 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 60.0 \\
\hline Appr & & 385 & 23.5 & 0.213 & 0.3 & NA & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.02 & 59.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{North: Bruce Highway} \\
\hline 8 & T1 & 387 & 18.5 & 0.220 & 0.0 & LOS A & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 59.9 \\
\hline 9 & R2 & 15 & 92.9 & 0.030 & 11.3 & LOS A & 0.1 & 1.4 & 0.54 & 0.69 & 47.3 \\
\hline Appr & & 402 & 21.2 & 0.220 & 0.4 & NA & 0.1 & 1.4 & 0.02 & 0.03 & 59.4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{West: Midgee Quarry Access} \\
\hline 10 & L2 & 19 & 72.2 & 0.162 & 10.3 & LOS A & 0.5 & 6.4 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 41.2 \\
\hline 12 & R2 & 14 & 100.0 & 0.162 & 40.4 & LOS C & 0.5 & 6.4 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 42.2 \\
\hline Appr & & 33 & 83.9 & 0.162 & 22.9 & LOS B & 0.5 & 6.4 & 0.73 & 0.87 & 41.6 \\
\hline All V & cles & 820 & 24.8 & 0.220 & 1.3 & NA & 0.5 & 6.4 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 58.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
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\section*{Appendix M - Vehicle Loading Calculations}

\section*{NG0118-001 1 COLAS Asphalt Plant Midgee}

\section*{Project Pavement Impact \% Calculations (2019)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{SITE Material delveries (Inbound)} \\
\hline & Avg Trucks per Day & = & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline & Days per Week & = & 7 & & & & & \\
\hline & Weeks per Year & = & 48 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{Site Material Deliveries} & & = & 2,016 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
trucks \\
trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 4,032 & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 50\% & & & & & \\
\hline & & = & 1,008 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semitrailers movements} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 2,016 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semi trailer trips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline & B-Doubles & = & 50\% & & & & & \\
\hline & & = & 1,008 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles trailers movements} \\
\hline & & = & 2,016 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles trailer trips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{15}{*}{From Rockhampton} & & = & 60\% & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 605 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semi trailers movements} \\
\hline & & = & 1,210 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semi trailer trips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline & B-Doubles & = & 605 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
B-doubles trailers movements \\
B-doubles trailer trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & = & 1,210 & & & & & \\
\hline & ESAs (Semi) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & = & 4.93 & ESAs/HV & 5.61 & SAR/HV & 14.63 & \(\mathrm{SAR}_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & = & 0.51 & ESAs/HV & 0.41 & SAR/HV & 0.11 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 2,982 & ESAs & 3,393 & SAR5 & 8,848 & SAR12 \\
\hline & ESAs Unloaded & = & 308 & ESAs & 248 & SAK5 & 67 & SAK12 \\
\hline & ESAs (B-Double) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & = & 6.30 & ESAs/HV & 7.09 & SAR/HV & 17.17 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & = & 0.53 & ESAs/HV & 0.42 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & SAR12/ HV \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 3,810 & ESAs & 4,288 & SAR5 & 10,384 & SAR \({ }_{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAS Unloaded & \(=\) & 321 & ESAs & 254 & SAK5 & 67 & SAK \(_{12}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{15}{*}{From Brisbane} & & = & 40\% & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 403 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semi trailers movements} \\
\hline & & = & 806 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semi trailer trips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline & B-Doubles & = & 403 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles triliers movements} \\
\hline & & = & 806 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles trailer trips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline & ESAs (Semi) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & = & 4.93 & ESAs/HV & 5.61 & SAK/HV & 14.63 & SAK12/ HV \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & = & 0.51 & ESAs/HV & 0.41 & SAR/HV & 0.11 & SAR12/HV \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 1,988 & ESAs & 2,262 & \(\mathrm{SAR}_{5}\) & 5,899 & SAR \(_{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Unloaded & = & 206 & ESAs & 165 & SAR5 & 44 & SAR \({ }_{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAs (B-Double) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & = & 6.30 & ESAs/HV & 7.09 & SAK/HV & 17.17 & SAK12/ HV \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & \(=\) & 0.53 & ESAs/HV & 0.42 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & SAR12/HV \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 2.540 & ESAs & 2.859 & \(\mathrm{SAR}_{5}\) & 6,923 & SAR \(_{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAS Unloaded & \(=\) & 214 & ESAs & 169 & SAR5 & 44 & SAR \({ }_{12}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Class & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { despical } \\
\text { desion }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dominantrvenide in each class \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Medium（5．5． 0 0． 1.5 mm ）} \\
\hline 3 & Two axe truk & － \\
\hline 4 & True exetruck & （1） \\
\hline 5 & Fouraxetrack & 析 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Long（11．5mto 19．0．m）} \\
\hline 6 &  & 为为 \(=\) \\
\hline 1 &  & － \\
\hline 8 &  &  \\
\hline 9 &  & － \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Medium mombination（17．5mto 3 S． 5 m ）} \\
\hline 10 & \({ }^{\text {B ouvbe }}\) &  \\
\hline 11 &  & 7－3） \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Large combination（over 3 3．0m）} \\
\hline 12 & Triple roadtran & 珼 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Austroads venicie class & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & － & ， & 10 & 11 & \({ }^{12}\) \\
\hline Legal Loaing（t） & 15 & 22.5 & 27.5 & \({ }^{24}\) & 31.5 & 39 & 42.5 & 62.5 & 79 & 115.5 \\
\hline Base Load per SAR4 & 13.6 & 19.2 & 23 & 21.8 & 27.4 & 33 & 37．7 & 56.2 & 70 & 1023 \\
\hline Unloaded Axe Group Load（1） & 8.5 & 9.5 & 12.5 & 12. & 13.5 & 14.5 & 16 & 22.5 & 27.5 & 39 \\
\hline Unloaded SAR4 & 0.54 & 0.5 & 0.46 & 0.6 & 0.56 & 0.52 & 0.5 & 0.53 & 0.55 & 0.58 \\
\hline Unloaded SAR5 & 0.43 & 0.41 & \({ }_{0} .37\) & 0.46 & 0.4 & 0.41 & 0.4 & 0.42 & 0.43 & 0.44 \\
\hline Unloadee SAR12 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.98 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.11 \\
\hline Loaded Axe Group Load（1） & 15 & 22.5 & 27.5 & 24 & 31.5 & 39 & 42.5 & 62.5 & 79 & 115.5 \\
\hline Loaded SAP4 & 2.98 & 3.57 & 4.09 & 4.4 & 5.02 & 5.61 & 4.98 & \({ }_{6} .3\) & \({ }^{8.34}\) & \({ }^{11.75}\) \\
\hline Loaded SAF5 & 3.29 & 4.14 & 4.89 & 488 & 57.3 & \({ }_{6} .58\) & 5.6 & 7.09 & 9.53 & \({ }_{13,45}\) \\
\hline Loaded SAR12 & 6.6 & 12.08 & 17.0 & 9.6 & 15.1 & 20.61 & 14.6 & 17.17 & 25.71 & 36.79 \\
\hline Payoad（1） & \({ }_{6} .5\) & 13 & 15 & \({ }^{11.5}\) & 18 & 24.5 & 26.5 & 40 & 51.5 & 76.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Vemico Treo} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{austroaos casaskration} \\
\hline Sme & & & & & Faramets Locriverlies & Es \({ }^{\text {E }}\) \\
\hline  & & 1012 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Short } \\
\text { Sedan, Wagon, } 4 \text { WD, Utility, }
\end{gathered}
\] & ， & Q11 5 s 2m mana aces \(=2\) & \(\xrightarrow[\square]{\text { ara }}\) \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{} & 3.4005 & 3 &  & ＝ &  &  \\
\hline & 2 & ＝ & \(\mathrm{m}^{\text {moxatotucher rus }}\) & &  &  \\
\hline & \％ & ＝ & Trme axivt Tuekeresus & &  &  \\
\hline & \({ }^{3}\) & \(=\) & Fow 4 & & Pxese 3 and grous － 2 & 弐 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{} & 3 & ： &  & \({ }^{\circ}\) & （1） & Han \\
\hline & \({ }^{4}\) & \(\cdots\) &  & 7 &  &  \\
\hline & 5 & 3 &  & － &  & स吅 \\
\hline & 26 & ：2 &  & ， &  &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Medium } \\
\text { Combination }
\end{gathered}
\]} & 26 & 4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { B Double } \\
\text { B Double, or } \\
\text { Heavy truck and trater }
\end{gathered}
\] & 10 & Ss． 4 anc ases \({ }^{6}\) & F－reren \\
\hline & －6 & 5016 & Double Road Train
Double road train，or M edium articulated
vehicle and one dog trailer（MAD．） & 1 &  & 4as \\
\hline catereme & －6 & －6 & Triple Road Train
Triple road train，or
Heavy truck and three trailers & 12 &  & 4 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{Appendix N - Pavement Impact Calculations}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Road ID} & \multirow{2}{*}{Road Description} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AADT Segment} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { Base Data } \\
\text { Year }
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Base Year AADT} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Year HV\%} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Year HV} & \multirow{2}{*}{OYr GR\%} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{2019 AADT} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2019 HV} \\
\hline & & Start (km) & End (km) & & Gaz & A-Gaz & Bi-Dir & Gaz & A-Gaz & Gaz & A.Gaz & & Gaz & A.Gaz & Bi-Dir & Gaz & A-Gaz \\
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{10E} & \multirow{7}{*}{Bruce H Highway (Benaraby to
Rockhampton)} & 45.420 & 85.308 & 2018 & 2,841 & 2,842 & 5,683 & 21.68\% & 23.82\% & 616 & 677 & 0.16\% & 2,846 & 2,847 & 5,692 & 617 & 678 \\
\hline & & 85.308 & 107.400 & 2018 & 3,478 & 3,524 & 7,002 & 28.32\% & 26.14\% & 985 & 921 & 2.33\% & 3,559 & 3,606 & 7,165 & 1,008 & 943 \\
\hline & & 107.400 & 108.938 & 2018 & 3,478 & 3,524 & 7,002 & 28.32\% & 26.14\% & 985 & 921 & 2.33\% & 3,559 & 3,606 & 7,165 & 1,008 & 943 \\
\hline & & 10.938 & 114.388 & 2018 & 3,062 & 3,067 & 6,129 & 24.95\% & 27.06\% & 764 & 830 & 1.67\% & 3,113 & 3,118 & 6,231 & 777 & 844 \\
\hline & & 114.388 & 116.961 & 2018 & 4,798 & 4,412 & 9,210 & 15.46\% & 21.01\% & 742 & 927 & 0.94\% & 4,843 & 4,453 & 9,297 & 749 & 936 \\
\hline & & 116.961 & 119.737 & 2018 & 10,103 & 10,110 & 20,213 & 20.54\% & 16.89\% & 2,075 & 1,708 & 0.00\% & 10,103 & 10,110 & 20,213 & 2,075 & 1,708 \\
\hline & & 119.737 & 121.051 & 2018 & 10,566 & 10,346 & 20,912 & 11.62\% & 14.46\% & 1,228 & 1,496 & 0.00\% & 10,566 & 10,346 & 20,912 & 1,228 & 1,496 \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{10 F} & \multirow{5}{*}{Bruce Highway (Rockhampton to St Lawrence)} & 0.000 & 1.409 & 2018 & 7,468 & 6,966 & 14,434 & 17.02\% & 18.40\% & 1,271 & 1,282 & 0.00\% & 7,468 & 6,966 & 14,434 & 1,271 & 1,282 \\
\hline & & 1.409 & 4.340 & 2018 & 17,400 & 15,358 & 32,758 & 11.02\% & 8.71\% & 1,917 & 1,338 & 0.00\% & 17,400 & 15,358 & 32,758 & 1,917 & 1,388 \\
\hline & & 4.340 & 5.517 & 2018 & 13,633 & 11,593 & 25,226 & 9.34\% & 12.17\% & 1,273 & 1,411 & 0.75\% & 13,735 & 11,680 & 25,415 & 1,283 & 1,421 \\
\hline & & 5.517 & 8.550 & 2018 & 9,092 & 8,512 & 17,604 & 11.67\% & 13.83\% & 1,061 & 1,177 & 1.63\% & 9,240 & 8,651 & 17,891 & 1,078 & 1,196 \\
\hline & & 8.550 & 13.180 & 2018 & 6,366 & 6,384 & 12,750 & 13.70\% & 9.21\% & 872 & 588 & 2.32\% & 6,514 & 6,532 & 13,046 & 892 & 602 \\
\hline 16A & Capricorn Highway (Rockhampton - Duaringa) & 0.000 & 5.960 & 2018 & 8,289 & 7,503 & 15,792 & 10.76\% & 25.98\% & 892 & 1,949 & 0.00\% & 8,289 & 7.503 & 15,792 & 892 & 1,949 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ESAs/ HV} & \multirow{2}{*}{Operation Period} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Background EsAs} \\
\hline & & Gaz & A-Gaz \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 653,004 & 717,713 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,066,883 & 997,781 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,066,883 & 997,781 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 822,166 & 893,152 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 792,545 & 990,412 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 2,196,553 & 1,807,472 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,299,594 & 1,583,549 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,345,410 & 1,356,726 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 2,029,653 & 1,415,936 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,357,920 & 1,504,604 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 1,141,414 & 1,266,387 \\
\hline 2.9 & 365 & 944,580 & 636,801 \\
\hline 3.2 & 365 & 1,041,735 & 2,276,758 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ Development ESAs } \\
\hline Gaz & A-Gaz \\
\hline Total & Total \\
\hline 4,528 & 419 \\
\hline 4,528 & 419 \\
\hline 19,235 & 8,717 \\
\hline 19,235 & 8,717 \\
\hline 19,235 & 8,717 \\
\hline 9,932 & 7,754 \\
\hline 9,932 & 7,754 \\
\hline 9,932 & 7,754 \\
\hline 9,932 & 7,754 \\
\hline 9,303 & 962 \\
\hline 9,903 & 962 \\
\hline 9,303 & 962 \\
\hline 9,303 & 962 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ ESAA Increase \% } \\
\hline Gaz\% & A.Gaz\% \\
\hline \(0.69 \%\) & \(0.06 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.042 \%\) & \(0.04 \%\) \\
\hline \(1.80 \%\) & \(0.87 \%\) \\
\hline \(2.24 \%\) & \(0.88 \%\) \\
\hline \(2.43 \%\) & \(0.88 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.45 \%\) & \(0.43 \%\) \\
\hline \hline \(0.76 \%\) & \(0.49 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.74 \%\) & \(0.57 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.94 \%\) & \(0.5 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.09 \%\) & \(0.06 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.82 \%\) & \(0.08 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.98 \%\) & \(0.15 \%\) \\
\hline \(0.89 \%\) & \(0.04 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENG0118-001 COLAS Asphalt Plant Midgee
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Site material deluveries (inbound)} \\
\hline & Avg Trucks per Day & \(=\) & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline & Days per Week & = & 7 & & & & & \\
\hline & Weeks per Year & = & 48 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{Site Material Deliveries} & & \(=\) & 2,016 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{trucks
trips (in and out combined)}} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 4,032 & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 50\% & & & & & \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 1,008 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
semi trailers movements \\
semi trailer trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & = & 2,016 & & & & & \\
\hline & B-Doubles & = & 50\% & & & & & \\
\hline & & = & 1,008 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
B-doubles trailers movements \\
B-doubles trailer trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 2,016 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{15}{*}{From Rockhampton} & & = & 60\% & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 605 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{semitrailers movements} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 1,210 & semitrailer & out comb & & & \\
\hline & B-Doubles & \(=\) & 605 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles traiers movements} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 1,210 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B-doubles trailertrips (in and out combined)} \\
\hline & ESAA (Semi) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & \(=\) & 4.93 & ESAs/HV & 5.61 & SARs/HV & 14.63 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & \(=\) & 0.51 & ESAs/HV & 0.41 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & \(\mathrm{SAR}_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 2,982 & ESAs & 3,393 & & 8.848 & \\
\hline & ESAS Unloaded & \(=\) & 308 & ESAs & 248 & SAR5 & 67 & \({ }_{\text {SAR }}^{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAs (8-Double) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & = & 6.30 & ESAs/HV & 7.09 & SARs/HV & 17.17 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & \(=\) & 0.53 & ESAs/HV & 0.42 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & SAR \(\mathrm{Sl}_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & \(=\) & 3,810 & ESAs & 4,288 & & 10,384 & \\
\hline & ESAs Unloaded & \(=\) & 321 & ESAs & 254 & & 67 & SAR \(_{12}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{14}{*}{From Brisbane} & & = & 40\% & & & & & \\
\hline & Semi Trailers & = & 403 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
semi trailers movements \\
semi trailer trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 806 & & & & & \\
\hline & B-Doubles & \(=\) & 403 & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
B-doubles trailers movements \\
-doubles trailer trips (in and out combined)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & \(=\) & 806 & & & & & \\
\hline & ESAS (Semi) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & \(=\) & 4.93 & ESAs/HV & 5.61 & SAR/HV & 14.63 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & = & 0.51 & ESAs/HV & 0.41 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded & = & 1,988 & ESAs & 2,262 & & 5,899 & \\
\hline & ESAs Unloaded & \(=\) & 206 & ESAs & 165 & SAR5 & 44 & SAR \(\mathrm{R}_{12}\) \\
\hline & ESAA (8-Double) & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Avg Loaded & \(=\) & 6.30 & ESAs/HV & 7.09 & SARSHV & 17.17 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & Avg Unloaded & \(=\) & 0.53 & ESAs/HV & 0.42 & SARs/HV & 0.11 & SAR \({ }_{12} / \mathrm{HV}\) \\
\hline & ESAs Loaded ESAS Unloaded & \(\stackrel{+}{=}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2,540 \\
& 214
\end{aligned}
\] & ESAS & \[
\begin{gathered}
2,859 \\
169
\end{gathered}
\] & \(\mathrm{SAR}_{5}\) & \[
{ }^{6,923}
\] & \({ }^{\text {SAR }}{ }_{12}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Appendix 0- TIA RPEQ Certification and Authorisation
}

\section*{Certification of Traffic Impact Assessment Report}

\section*{Registered Professional Engineer Queensland}
for
```
Project Title:
```

\section*{COLAS Asphalt Manufacturing Plant TIA}

As a professional engineer registered by the Board of Professional Engineers of Queensland pursuant to the Professional Engineers Act 2002 as competent in my areas of nominated expertise, I understand and recognise:
- the significant role of engineering as a profession, and that
- the community has a legitimate expectation that my certification affixed to this engineering work can be trusted, and that
- I am responsible for ensuring its preparation has satisfied all necessary standards, conduct and contemporary practice.

As the responsible RPEQ, I certify:
i) I am satisfied that all submitted components comprising this traffic impact assessment, listed in the following table, have been completed in accordance with the Guide to Traffic Impact Assessment published by the Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads and using sound engineering principles, and
ii) where specialised areas of work have not been under my direct supervision, I have reviewed the outcomes of the work and consider the work and its outcomes as suitable for the purposes of this traffic impact assessment, and that
iii) the outcomes of this traffic impact assessment are a true reflection of results of assessment, and that
iv) I believe the strategies recommended for mitigating impacts by this traffic impact assessment,
v) embrace contemporary practice initiatives and will deliver the desired outcomes.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Name: & Andrew Barrie & RPEQ No: & 12801 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
RPEQ \\
Competencies:
\end{tabular} & Civil & & \\
\hline Signature: & & Date: & 27 May 2019 \\
& & & \\
\hline Postal Address: & PO Box 9864, Frenchville QLD 4701 & \\
\hline Email: & andrew.barrie@accesstraffic.com.au & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Traffic impact assessment components to which this certification applies}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1. Introduction} \\
\hline Background & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Scope and study area & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Pre-lodgement meeting notes & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2. Existing Conditions} \\
\hline Land use and zoning & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Adjacent land uses / approvals & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Surrounding road network details & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Traffic volumes & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Intersection and network performance & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Road safety issues & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Site access & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Public transport (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline Active transport (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline Parking (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline Pavement (if applicable) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Transport infrastructure (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3. Proposed Development Details} \\
\hline Development site plan & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Operational details (including year of opening of each stage and any relevant catchment / market analysis) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Proposed access and parking & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{4. Development Traffic} \\
\hline Traffic generation (by development stage if relevant and considering light and heavy vehicle trips) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Trip distribution & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Development traffic volumes on the network & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5. Impact Assessment and Mitigation} \\
\hline With and without development traffic volumes & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Construction traffic impact assessment and mitigation (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline Road safety impact assessment and mitigation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Access and frontage impact assessment and mitigation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Intersection delay impact assessment and mitigation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Road link capacity assessment and mitigation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Pavement impact assessment and mitigation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Transport infrastructure impact assessment and mitigation & N/A \\
\hline Other impacts assessment relevant to the specific development type / location (if applicable) & N/A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6. Conclusions and Recommendations} \\
\hline Summary of impacts and mitigation measures proposed & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Certification statement and authorisation & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}~~~


[^0]:    Version: 1, Version Date: 24/02/2020
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[^11]:    Figure 5 - Bruce Highway Peak

