

Decision Notice Approval

Planning Act Form 1 (version 1.2 effective 7 February 2020) made under section 282 of the Planning Act 2016 for a decision notice (approval) under section 63(2) of the Planning Act 2016

Application number:	D/38-2021	Contact:	Brendan Standen
Notice Date:	22 December 2021	Contact Number:	07 4936 8099

APPLICANT DETAILS

Name:	Axicom Pty Ltd			
Postal address:				
Phone no:	Ν	Mobile no:	Email:	Andrew.mclane@axicom.com.au

I acknowledge receipt of the above application on 31 March 2021 and confirm the following:

DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Development Permit for a Material Change of Use for a Telecommunications Facility

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Street address:	307 Farm Street, Norman Gardens
Real property description:	Lot 5 on RP859912, Parish of Murchison

OWNER DETAILS

Name:	Azagard Pty Ltd Tte
Postal address:	
Dear Axicom Pty Ltd	
I advise that, on 14 De	ecember 2021 the above development application was:
approved in full w	vith conditions* (refer to the conditions contained in Attachment 1)
	s show which conditions have been imposed by the assessment manager and which imposed by a referral agency.

1. DETAILS OF THE APPROVAL

The following approvals are given:

	Development Permit	Preliminary Approval
Development assessable under the planning scheme, superseded planning scheme, a temporary local planning instrument, a master plan or a preliminary approval which includes a variation approval		
- Material change of use		

2. CONDITIONS

This approval is subject to the conditions in Attachment 1.

3. FURTHER DEVELOPMENT PERMITS REQUIRED

Please be advised that the following development permits are required to be obtained before the development can be carried out:

Type of development permit required	Subject of the required development permit
Building Works	

4. REFERRAL AGENCIES

NIL

5. THE APPROVED PLANS

The approved development must be completed and maintained generally in accordance with the approved drawings and documents:

Plan/Document Name	Prepared by	Date	Reference No.	<u>Version/</u> Issue
Draft Site Layout	Axicom	31 March 2021	B1227-A-P1	01
Draft Site Elevation	Axicom	31 March 2021	B1227-A-P2	01

6. CURRENCY PERIOD FOR THE APPROVAL (s.85 of the *Planning Act*)

The standard currency periods stated in section 85 of *Planning Act 2016* apply to each aspect of development in this approval, if not stated in the conditions of approval attached.

7. STATEMENT OF REASONS

Description of the development	Material Change of Use for Telecommunications Facility		
Reasons for Decision	a) Assessment of the development against the relevant zone purpose, planning scheme codes and planning scheme policies demonstrates that the proposed development will not cause significant adverse impacts on the surrounding natural environment, built environment and infrastructure, community facilities, or local character and amenity; and		
	b) On balance, the application should be approved because the circumstances favour Council exercising its discretion to approve the application even though the development does not comply with an aspect of the assessment benchmarks.		
Assessment Benchmarks	The proposed development was assessed against the following assessment benchmarks under the <i>Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015</i> (version 2.2):		
	Strategic Framework;		
	Local Centre Zone Code;		
	Access, Parking and Transport Code;		
	Landscape Code;		
	Stormwater Management Code;		
	Waste Management Code;		
	Water and Sewer Code; and		
	Telecommunications Facilities and Utilities Code.		
Compliance with assessment benchmarks	The development was assessed against all of the assessment benchmarks listed above and complies with all of these with the exceptions listed below.		
	Assessment Reasons for the approval despite non-		

	Benchmark	compliance with benchmark
	Local Centre Zone Code	PO2
		The proposed development does not comply with AO2.1 as the height of the telecommunications facility exceeds 12 metres above ground level. The proposed height of the telecommunications facility is 32.5 metres above ground level.
		The proposal is considered to generally comply with PO2. Despite the height of the proposed development it will:
		 Not undermine the primacy of the centre or its ability to provide retail activities.
		 Form part of the urban landscape, which commonly includes structures such as telecommunication facilities.
		 Not cause significant overshadowing or overlooking of residential areas. The monopole is relatively narrow structure (compared to a normal building typically contemplated in a centre zone) and any overshadowing at single property is likely to be brief.
		In the instance any conflicts are identified with PO2, regard to relevant matters relating to a planning need are considered to outweigh those conflicts.
	Airport Environs	PO1
	Overlay Code	The proposed development does not comply with AO1.1 as the development involves a structure penetrating the airports operational airspace as identified on Airport Environs Overlay Mapping (15 metres).
		Despite this, the development complies with PO1 as the Obstacle Limitation Surface for the site is 140 metres Australian Height Datum, as identified by the mapping provided by the Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning in the State Planning Policy (SPP) mapping.
	Telecommunications	PO2
	Facilities and Utilities Code	The proposed development does not comply with AO2.1 as the height exceeds the height limit prescribed by the Airport Environs Overlay, the Local Centre Zone Code and is not collocated on an existing tower or building.
		The proposed development has been designed and sited to minimise its visual impact on the surrounding area as much as practical, while still being able to provide a suitable level of service. Specifically, it has been sited in a centre zone, partially screened by existing buildings and will be finished with neutral, low contrast colours. Notwithstanding, it is acknowledged it will be visually prominent and

therefore conflicts with aspects of PO2. In the instance any conflicts are identified with PO2, regard to relevant matters relating to a planning need are considered to outweigh those conflicts.
PO4
The proposed development conflicts with AO4.1 as it does not provide minimum property boundary setbacks of 20 metres. The monopole structure is proposed to be located a minimum of 3 metres from a property boundary.
The base of the monopole structure adjoins a blank commercial wall to the east (Farm Street Marketplace) and 1.8 metre high fence to the north (motel). Therefore, the proposed development is less visually obtrusive at those points of the property boundary. Notwithstanding, given the height of the structure it will be prominent from neighbouring properties and road frontages. In the instance any conflicts are identified with PO4, regard to relevant matters relating to a planning need are considered to outweigh those conflicts.
PO5
The proposed development conflicts with AO5.1 as it does not provide a minimum 3 metre wide earth mounded landscape strip with dense landscaping. Rather, the proposal relies on screening from existing buildings on the site and surrounding sites.
Therefore, the proposed development does not strictly comply with PO5 as tree and shrub planting is not proposed, but the same outcome is effectively achieved by screening provided by nearby buildings. In the instance any conflicts are identified with PO5, regard to relevant matters relating to a planning need are considered to outweigh those conflicts.
P07
The proposed development does not comply with AO7.1 as it does not achieve a minimum 300 metre setback from an educational establishment and 150 metre setback from a dual occupancy, dwelling house or multiple dwelling. The nearest detached dwelling to the proposed facility is approximately 80 metres to the east, fronting Bulman Street. The Yaamba Road boundary of North Rockhampton State School is approximately 180 metres to the west.
Despite the above, the proposal is considered to comply with PO7. The telecommunications facility will be visible from existing residential premises and other sensitive receiving environments, as identified by non-compliance with other assessment benchmarks. It will not, however, adversley impact on the health or safety of nearby residents or students. As part of the development application the Applicant submitted an Environmental EME Report, which provides a summary of levels of

Matters raised in submissions	Issue	How matter was dealt with
	• Without new telecommunication facilities, similar to the one being proposed, users may experience difficulty connecting to the mobile network or experience call dropouts. The Applicant has demonstrated in order to practically meet user requirements, the proposed development and site are the most suitable.	
	• COVID-19 has resulted in significant changes in how people use mobile data. Telecommunication providers have experienced exponential growth in data usage as more people spent time working from home.	
	• There is a growing demand and need for new telecommunications facilities as mobile usage continues to trend upward nationally. Data published by the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) shows the amount of data downloaded by phone handset increased by over 121% between 2018 and 2019.	
Relevant Matters	 The proposed development was assessed against the following relevant matters: Telecommunication facilities are considered critical pieces of community infrastructure, similar to reticulated water and sewerage. 	
		Despite the above, the proposal is considered to partially comply with PO12. While the proposal will be visible in the surrounding area, it is not considered to have adverse impacts. Telecommunication facilities are important pieces of infrastructure for the community and are a normal part of the urban landscape. In the instance any conflicts are identified with PO12, regard to relevant matters relating to a planning need are considered to outweigh those conflicts.
		The proposed development does not comply with AO12.1 as it will be visible from a major urban collector or higher order road (Farm Street and Yaamba Road) and residential road frontage.
		PO12
		radiofrequency (RF) EME. RF EME levels were calculated using methodology developed by the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA). The maximum EME level calculated for the proposed development is 1.11% out of 100% of the public exposure limit that is deemed safe.

	Health concerns	The submitter raised concerns about the potential environmental and health impacts of such facilities on people (including students at nearby educational establishments), particularly because of 'electromagnetic radiation', also commonly referred to as 'electromagnetic energy' (EME). As part of the development application the Applicant submitted an Environmental EME Report, which provides a summary of levels of radiofrequency (RF) EME. RF EME levels were calculated using methodology developed by the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA). The maximum EME level calculated for the proposed development is 1.11% out of 100% of the public exposure limit that is deemed safe. Therefore, based on the reporting provided there is no known environmental health concerns resulting from the development.
	Devaluing of nearby properties	The submitter raised concern the proposal would devalue their property. Property value is not a matter Council may or must have regard to under the <i>Planning Act 2016</i> when assessing and deciding a development application.
	Poor public consultation/notification	The submitter raised concerns about the lack of public awareness of the proposed development. Particularly, why residents in the surrounding area were not more widely consulted.
		The Applicant undertook public notification in accordance with the mandatory requirements of the <i>Planning Act 2016</i> . This included:
		Notifying adjoining landowners by post.
		 Placing a notice in newspaper circulating in the locality.
		 Placing a notice (sign) on the Farm Street frontage of the site for 15 business days.
		There is no statutory requirement for the Applicant or Assessment Manager (Council) to consult with or undertake public notification beyond that required by the <i>Planning Act 2016</i> .
Matters prescribed by regulation	 The <i>Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015</i> (version 2.2); and The common material, being the material submitted with the application. 	

8. APPEAL RIGHTS

The rights of an applicant to appeal to a tribunal or the Planning and Environment Court against a decision about a development application are set out in chapter 6, part 1 of the *Planning Act 2016*. There may also be a right to make an application for a declaration by a tribunal (see chapter 6, part 2 of the *Planning Act 2016*).

Appeal by an applicant

An applicant for a development application may appeal to the Planning and Environment Court against the following:

- the refusal of all or part of the development application
- a provision of the development approval
- the decision to give a preliminary approval when a development permit was applied for
- a deemed refusal of the development application.

An applicant may also have a right to appeal to the Development tribunal. For more information, see schedule 1 of the *Planning Act 2016*.

The timeframes for starting an appeal in the Planning and Environment Court are set out in section 229 of the *Planning Act 2016*.

Attachment 2 is an extract from the *Planning Act 2016* that sets out the applicant's appeal rights and the appeal rights of a submitter.

9. WHEN THE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL TAKES EFFECT

This development approval takes effect:

- From the time the decision notice is given – if there is no submitter and the applicant does not appeal the decision to the court.

Or

When the submitter's appeal period ends – if there is a submitter and the applicant does not appeal the decision to the court.

Or

- Subject to the decision of the court, when the appeal is finally decided – if an appeal is made to the court.

10. ASSESSMENT MANAGER

Name:	Tarnya Fitzgibbon <u>COORDINATOR</u> DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT	Signature:	Date:	22 December 2021
-------	---	------------	-------	------------------

Attachment 1 – Conditions of the approval

Part 1 – Conditions imposed by the assessment manager [Note: where a condition is imposed about infrastructure under Chapter 4 of the Planning Act 2016, the relevant provision of the Act under which this condition was imposed must be specified.]

Attachment 2—Extract on appeal rights



Attachment 1 – Part 1 Rockhampton Regional Council Conditions

Planning Act 2016

- 1.0 ADMINISTRATION
- 1.1 The Developer and their employee, agent, contractor or invitee is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of this development approval.
- 1.2 Where these Conditions refer to "Council" in relation to requiring Council to approve or to be satisfied as to any matter, or conferring on the Council a function, power or discretion, that role may be fulfilled in whole or in part by a delegate appointed for that purpose by the Council.
- 1.3 All conditions, works, or requirements of this development approval must be undertaken and completed:
 - 1.3.1 to Council's satisfaction;
 - 1.3.2 at no cost to Council; and
 - 1.3.3 prior to the commencement of the use,

unless otherwise stated.

- 1.4 Infrastructure requirements of this development approval must be contributed to the relevant authorities, where applicable, at no cost to Council, prior to the commencement of the use, unless otherwise stated.
- 1.5 The following further Development Permits must be obtained prior to the commencement of any works associated with their purposes:
 - 1.5.1 Building Works:
 - (i) Building Works.
- 1.6 All works must be designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the relevant Council policies, guidelines and standards, unless otherwise stated.
- 1.7 All engineering drawings/specifications, design and construction works must be in accordance with the requirements of the relevant *Australian Standards* and must be approved, supervised and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland.

2.0 APPROVED PLANS AND DOCUMENTS

2.1 The approved development must be completed and maintained generally in accordance with the approved plans and documents, except where amended by any condition of this development approval:

<u>Plan/Document</u> <u>Name</u>	Prepared by	<u>Date</u>	Reference No.	Version/Issue
Draft Site Layout	Axicom	31 March 2021	B1227-A-P1	01
Draft Site Elevation	Axicom	31 March 2021	B1227-A-P2	01

- 2.2 Where there is any conflict between the conditions of this development approval and the details shown on the approved plans and documents, the conditions of this development approval must prevail.
- 2.3 Where conditions require the above plans or documents to be amended, the revised document(s) must be submitted for approval by Council prior to the submission of an application for a Development Permit for Building Works.

3.0 STORMWATER WORKS

3.1 All stormwater must drain to a demonstrated lawful point of discharge and must not adversely affect surrounding land or infrastructure in comparison to the pre-development conditions, including but not limited to blocking, altering or diverting existing stormwater runoff patterns or having the potential to cause damage to other infrastructure.

4.0 <u>SITE WORKS</u>

4.1 Site works must be constructed such that they do not, at any time, in any way restrict, impair or change the natural flow of runoff water, or cause a nuisance or worsening to surrounding land or infrastructure.

5.0 BUILDING WORKS

- 5.1 All non-habitable areas subjected to flood inundation during a one per cent (1%) Annual exceedance probability flood event, must be designed and constructed using suitable flood resilient materials.
- 5.2 All electrical and telecommunication services and utilities connected to the property, including electrical outlets, must be designed and installed at such a height that they are a minimum of 500 millimetres above a one per cent (1%) Annual exceedance probability flood level.
- 5.3 A 2.4 metre high security fence is to be provided around the telecommunications facility compound. All fencing must be maintained to the satisfaction of Council.
- 5.4 The telecommunications tower must be built out of non-reflective grey material in order to reduce any nuisance (glare) to surrounding sensitive land uses.

6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- 6.1 Any lighting devices associated with the development, such as sensory lighting, must be positioned on the development site and shielded so as not to cause glare or other nuisance to nearby residents and motorists. Night lighting must be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with *Australian Standard AS4282 "Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting".*
- 6.2 Operations on the site must have no significant impact on the amenity of adjoining premises or the surrounding area due to the emission of light, noise or dust.

7.0 ASSET MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 Any alteration necessary to electricity, telephone, water mains, sewerage mains, and/or public utility installations resulting from the development or in connection with the development, must be undertaken and completed at no cost to Council.
- 7.2 Any damage to existing stormwater, water supply and sewerage infrastructure, kerb and channel, pathway or roadway (including removal of concrete slurry from public land and Council infrastructure), that occurs while any works are being carried out in association with this development approval must be repaired at full cost to the developer. This includes the reinstatement of any existing traffic signs or pavement markings that may have been removed or damaged.

8.0 OPERATING PROCEDURES

8.1 All construction materials, waste, waste skips, machinery and contractors' vehicles must be located and stored or parked within the development site. Storage of materials or parking of construction machinery or contractors' vehicles must not occur within Yaamba Road.

ADVISORY NOTES

NOTE 1. Aboriginal Cultural Heritage

It is advised that under section 23 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003*, a person who carries out an activity must take all reasonable and practicable measures to ensure the activity does not harm Aboriginal cultural heritage (the "cultural heritage duty of care"). Maximum penalties for breaching the duty of care are listed in the Aboriginal cultural heritage legislation. The information on Aboriginal cultural heritage is available on the Department of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and Partnerships website https://www.dsdsatsip.qld.gov.au/

NOTE 2. <u>General Environmental Duty</u>

General environmental duty under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* prohibits unlawful environmental nuisance caused by noise, aerosols, particles, dust, ash, fumes, light, odour or smoke beyond the boundaries of the development site during all stages of the development including earthworks, construction and operation.

NOTE 3. General Safety Of Public During Construction

The Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices must be complied with in carrying out any construction works, and to ensure safe traffic control and safe public access in respect of works being constructed on a road.



Attachment 2 - Appeal Rights

PLANNING ACT 2016

The following is an extract from the *Planning Act* 2016 (*Chapter 6*)

Appeal rights

229 Appeals to tribunal or P&E Court

- (1) Schedule 1 states-
 - (a) matters that may be appealed to—
 (i)either a tribunal or the P&E Court; or
 (ii)only a tribunal; or
 - (iii)only the P&E Court; and
 - (b) the person-
 - (i)who may appeal a matter (the **appellant**); and
 (ii)who is a respondent in an appeal of the matter; and
 (iii)who is a co-respondent in an appeal of the matter; and
 - (iv)who may elect to be a co-respondent in an appeal of the matter.
- (2) An appellant may start an appeal within the appeal period.
- (3) The appeal period is-
 - (a) for an appeal by a building advisory agency—10 business days after a decision notice for the decision is given to the agency or
 - (b) for an appeal against a deemed refusal—at any time after the deemed refusal happens; or
 - (c) for an appeal against a decision of the Minister, under chapter 7, part 4, to register premises or to renew the registration of premises—20 business days after a notice is published under section 269(3)(a) or (4); or
 - (d) for an appeal against an infrastructure charges notice—
 20 business days after the infrastructure charges notice is given to the person; or
 - (e) for an appeal about a deemed approval of a development application for which a decision notice has not been given—30 business days after the applicant gives the deemed approval notice to the assessment manager; or
 - (f) for any other appeal—20 business days after a notice of the decision for the matter, including an enforcement notice, is given to the person.

Note—

See the P&E Court Act for the court's power to extend the appeal period.

- (4) Each respondent and co-respondent for an appeal may be heard in the appeal.
- (5) If an appeal is only about a referral agency's response, the assessment manager may apply to the tribunal or P&E Court to withdraw from the appeal.
- (6) To remove any doubt, it is declared that an appeal against an infrastructure charges notice must not be about—
 (c) the adapted shares itself.
 - (a) the adopted charge itself; or
 - (b) for a decision about an offset or refund—
 (i) the establishment cost of trunk infrastructure
 - the establishment cost of trunk intrastructure identified in a LGIP; or
 the seat of infrastructure decide decign the second second
 - (ii) the cost of infrastructure decided using the method included in the local government's charges resolution.

230 Notice of appeal

- (1) An appellant starts an appeal by lodging, with the registrar of the tribunal or P&E Court, a notice of appeal that—
 - (a) is in the approved form; and
 - (b) succinctly states the grounds of the appeal.
- (2) The notice of appeal must be accompanied by the required fee.
- (3) The appellant or, for an appeal to a tribunal, the registrar must, within the service period, give a copy of the notice of appeal to—

- (a) the respondent for the appeal; and
- (b) each co-respondent for the appeal; and
- (c) for an appeal about a development application under schedule 1, table 1, item 1—each principal submitter for the development application; and
- (d) for an appeal about a change application under schedule 1, table 1, item 2—each principal submitter for the change application; and
- (e) each person who may elect to become a co-respondent for the appeal, other than an eligible submitter who is not a principal submitter in an appeal under paragraph
 (c) or (d); and
- (f) for an appeal to the P&E Court—the chief executive; and
- (g) for an appeal to a tribunal under another Act—any other person who the registrar considers appropriate.
- (4) The service period is-
 - (a) if a submitter or advice agency started the appeal in the P&E Court—2 business days after the appeal is started; or
 - (b) otherwise—10 business days after the appeal is started.
- (5) A notice of appeal given to a person who may elect to be a co-respondent must state the effect of subsection
- (6) A person elects to be a co-respondent by filing a notice of election, in the approved form, within 10 business days after the notice of appeal is given to the person.
- 231 Other appeals
- (1) Subject to this chapter, schedule 1 and the P&E Court Act, unless the Supreme Court decides a decision or other matter under this Act is affected by jurisdictional error, the decision or matter is non-appealable.
- (2) The Judicial Review Act 1991, part 5 applies to the decision or matter to the extent it is affected by jurisdictional error.
- (3) A person who, but for subsection (1) could have made an application under the Judicial Review Act 1991 in relation to the decision or matter, may apply under part 4 of that Act for a statement of reasons in relation to the decision or matter.
- (4) In this section— decision includes—
 - (a) conduct engaged in for the purpose of making a decision; and
 - (b) other conduct that relates to the making of a decision; and
 - (c) the making of a decision or the failure to make a decision; and
 - (d) a purported decision; and
 - (e) a deemed refusal.

non-appealable, for a decision or matter, means the decision or matter—

- (a) is final and conclusive; and
- (b) may not be challenged, appealed against, reviewed, quashed, set aside or called into question in any other way under the Judicial Review Act 1991 or otherwise, whether by the Supreme Court, another court, a tribunal or another entity; and
- (c) is not subject to any declaratory, injunctive or other order of the Supreme Court, another court, a tribunal or another entity on any ground.

232 Rules of the P&E Court

- (1) A person who is appealing to the P&E Court must comply with the rules of the court that apply to the appeal.
- (2) However, the P&E Court may hear and decide an appeal even if the person has not complied with rules of the P&E Court.



Appeal Rights

PLANNING ACT 2016

Schedule 1 Appeals

section 229 1 Appeal rights and parties to appeals

- (1) Table 1 states the matters that may be appealed to—(a) the P&E court; or (b) a tribunal.
- (2) However, table 1 applies to a tribunal only if the matter involves-
 - (a) the refusal, or deemed refusal of a development application, for-
 - (i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
 - (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
 - (b) a provision of a development approval for-
 - (i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
- (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
 - (c) if a development permit was applied for-the decision to give a preliminary approval for-(i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
 - (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
 - (d) a development condition if-
 - (i) the development approval is only for a material change of use that involves the use of a building classified under the Building Code as a class 2 building; and
 - (ii) the building is, or is proposed to be, not more than 3 storeys; and
 - (iii) the proposed development is for not more than 60 sole-occupancy units; or
 - (e) a decision for, or a deemed refusal of, an extension application for a development approval that is only for a material change of use of a classified building; or
 - (f) a decision for, or a deemed refusal of, a change application for a development approval that is only for a material change of use of a classified building; or
 - (g) a matter under this Act, to the extent the matter relates to-
 - (i) the Building Act, other than a matter under that Act that may or must be decided by the Queensland Building and Construction Commission; or
 - (ii) the Plumbing and Drainage Act, part 4 or 5; or
 - (h) a decision to give an enforcement notice in relation to a matter under paragraphs (a) to (g); or
 - (i) a decision to give an infrastructure charges notice; or
 - (j) the refusal, or deemed refusal, of a conversion application; or
 - (k) a matter that, under another Act, may be appealed to the tribunal; or
 - (I) a matter prescribed by regulation.
- (3) Also, table 1 does not apply to a tribunal if the matter

involves-

- (a) for a matter in subsection (2)(a) to (d)-
 - (i) a development approval for which the development application required impact assessment; and
 - (ii) a development approval in relation to which the assessment manager received a properly made submission for the development application; or
- (b) a provision of a development approval about the identification or inclusion, under a variation approval, of a matter for the development.
- (4) Table 2 states the matters that may be appealed only to the P&E Court.
- (5) Table 3 states the matters that may be appealed only to the tribunal.
- (6) In each table-
 - (a) column 1 states the appellant in the appeal; and
 - (b) column 2 states the respondent in the appeal; and
 - (c) column 3 states the co-respondent (if any) in the appeal; and
 - (d) column 4 states the co-respondents by election (if any) in the appeal.
- (7) If the chief executive receives a notice of appeal under section 230(3)(f), the chief executive may elect to be a corespondent in the appeal.

Table 1 Appeals to the P&E Court and, for certain matters, to a tribunal				
 Development applications An appeal may be made against— (a) the refusal of all or part of the development application; or (b) the deemed refusal of the development application; or (c) a provision of the development approval; or (d) if a development permit was applied for—the decision to give a preliminary approval. 				
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent	Column 4 Co-respondent by election	
The applicant	The assessment manager	(if any) If the appeal is about a concurrence	(if any) 1 A concurrence agency that is not a co-respondent	

		Table 1	
	Appeals to the P&E Court	and, for certain matters, to	
		agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	 2 If a chosen Assessment manager is the respondent— the prescribed assessment manager 3 Any eligible advice agency for the application 4 Any eligible submitter for the application
 Change applications An appeal may be made (a) a responsible entity's (b) a deemed refusal of 	s decision for a change ap	plication, other than a decis	sion made by the P&E court; or
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
1 The applicant 2 If the responsible entity is the assessment manager—an affected entity that gave a pre-request notice or response notice	The responsible entity	If an affected entity starts the appeal—the applicant	 A concurrence agency for the development application If a chosen assessment manager is the respondent— the prescribed assessment manager A private certifier for the development application Any eligible advice agency for the change application Any eligible submitter for the change application
		extension application; or	Column 4
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent (if any)	Co-respondent by election (if any)
 1 The applicant 2 For a matter other than a deemed refusal of an extension application – a concurrence agency, other than the chief executive, for the application 	The assessment manager	If a concurrence agency starts the appeal – the applicant	If a chosen assessment manager is the respondent – the prescribed assessment manager

Table 1 Appeals to the P&E Court and, for certain matters, to a tribunal

4. Infrastructure charges notices

- An appeal may be made against an infrastructure charges notice on 1 or more of the following grounds
- a) The notice involved an error relating to -
 - (i) The application of the relevant adopted charge; or
- Examples of errors in applying an adopted charge -
 - The incorrect application of gross floor area for a non-residential development
 - Applying an incorrect 'use category', under a regulation, to the development
 - (i) The working out of extra demands, for section 120; or
 - (ii) An offset or refund; or
- b) The was no decision about an offset or refund; or
- c) If the infrastructure charges notice states a refund will be given the timing for giving the refund; or
- d) The amount of the charge is so unreasonable that no reasonable relevant local government could have
- imposed the amount.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
The person given the Infrastructure charges notice	The local government that gave the infrastructure charges notice	-	-

5. Conversion applications

An appeal may be made against—

(a) the refusal of a conversion application; or

(b) a deemed refusal of a conversion application.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
The applicant	The local government to which the conversion application was made	-	-

6. Enforcement notices

An appeal may be made against the decision to give an enforcement notice.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
The person given the enforcement notice	The enforcement authority	-	If the enforcement authority is not the local government for the premises in relation to which the offence is alleged to have happened—the local government

Table 2 Appeals to the P&E Court only

1. Appeals from tribunal

An appeal may be made against a decision of a tribunal, other than a decision under

section 252, on the ground of-

(a) an error or mistake in law on the part of the tribunal; or

(b) jurisdictional error.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
A party to the proceedings for the decision	The other party to the proceedings for the decision	-	-

Table 2			
Appeals to the P&E Court only			

2. Eligible submitter appeals

An appeal may be made against the decision to give a development approval, or an approval for a change application, to the extent that the decision relates to— (a) any part of the development application for the development approval that required impact assessment; or

(b) a variation request.

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent (if any)	Co-respondent by election (if any)	
 For a development application—an eligible submitter for the development application For a change application—an eligible submitter for the change application 	 For a development application—the assessment manager For a change application—the responsible entity 	1 The applicant 2 If the appeal is about a concurrence agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	Another eligible submitter for the application	
An appeal may be made include a provision in th		development approval, or to the extent the matter re		
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)	
 For a development application—an eligible submitter for the development application For a change application—an eligible submitter for the change application An eligible advice agency for the development application or change application 	 For a development application—the assessment manager For a change application—the responsible entity 	1 The applicant 2 If the appeal is about a concurrence agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	Another eligible submitter for the application	
 4. Compensation claims An appeal may be made against— (a) a decision under section 32 about a compensation claim; or (b) a decision under section 265 about a claim for compensation; or (c) a deemed refusal of a claim under paragraph (a) or (b). 				
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)	
A person dissatisfied with the decision	The local government to which the claim was made	-	-	
5. Registered premises				

	Appeals	Table 2 to the P&E Court only	
An appeal may be made		Minister under chapter 7	7, part 4.
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
 1 A person given a decision notice about the decision 2 If the decision is to register premises or renew the registration of premises—an owner or occupier of premises in the affected area for the registered premises who is dissatisfied with the decision 	The Minister	-	If an owner or occupier starts the appeal – the owner of the registered premises
under a local law about-	_ other than a use that is th	ocal government, or cond	litions applied, onsequence of prohibited
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
A person who— (a) applied for the decision; and (b) is dissatisfied with the decision or conditions.	The local government	-	-
	Appeal	Table 3 s to the tribunal only	
	ncy appeals against giving a develop	<u> </u>	g work to the extent the building
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
A building advisory agency for the development application related to the approval	The assessment manager	The applicant	 1 A concurrence agency for the development application related to the approval 2 A private certifier for the development application related to the approval
An appeal may be made	against a decision unde r than a decision made b		e Act ng and Construction Commission; or
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)

	Table 3 Appeals to the tribunal only				
A person who received, or was entitled to receive, notice of the decision	The person who made the decision	-	-		
An appeal may be made	4. Local government failure to decide application under the Building Act An appeal may be made against a local government's failure to decide an application under the Building Act within the period required under that Act.				
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4		
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent	Co-respondent by election		
••		(if any)	(if any)		
A person who was entitled to receive, notice of the decision	The local government to which the application was made	-	-		



ATTACHMENTS (for office use only)

APPROVED PLANS AND OR REFERRAL AGENCY CONDITIONS

APPROVED PLANS D38-2021 -Approved Plans.pdf