

# **Decision Notice Approval**

Planning Act Form 1 (version 1.2 effective 7 February 2020) made under section 282 of the Planning Act 2016 for a decision notice (approval) under section 63(2) of the Planning Act 2016

Application number:	D/120-2021	Contact:	Kathy McDonald
Notice Date:	21 January 2022	Contact Number:	07 4936 8099

## APPLICANT DETAILS

Name:	R J Halberstater and Lidyl Pty Ltd		
Postal address:	ess: C/- Capricorn Survey Group (Cq) Pty Ltd		
Phone no:	Mobile no:	Email:	

I acknowledge receipt of the above application on 7 September 2021 and confirm the following:

## DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL

Development Demait for December wing of at (and let into two lets)
Development Permit for Reconfiguring a Lot (one lot into two lots)

## PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Street address:	383 Kabra Road, Kabra
Real property description:	Lot 3 on RP842505 Parish of Bouldercombe

## **OWNER DETAILS**

Name:	R J Halberstater and Lidyl Pty Ltd
Postal address:	

Dear R J Halberstater and Lidyl Pty Ltd

I advise that, on 17 January 2022 the above development application was:

approved in full with conditions\* (refer to the conditions contained in **Attachment 1**)

\*Note: The conditions show which conditions have been imposed by the assessment manager and which conditions have been imposed by a referral agency.

## 1. DETAILS OF THE APPROVAL

The following approvals are given:

	Development Permit	Preliminary Approval
Development assessable under the planning scheme, superseded planning scheme, a temporary local planning instrument, a master plan or a preliminary approval which includes a variation approval		
- Reconfiguring a lot		

# 2. CONDITIONS

This approval is subject to the conditions in Attachment 1.

## 3. FURTHER DEVELOPMENT PERMITS REQUIRED

Please be advised that the following development permits are required to be obtained before the development can be carried out:

Type of development permit required	Subject of the required development permit
Operational Works	Access Works

#### 4. REFERRAL AGENCIES

NIL

#### 5. THE APPROVED PLANS

The approved development must be completed and maintained generally in accordance with the approved drawings and documents:

Plan/Document Name	Prepared by	Date	Reference No.	Version/Issue
Reconfiguration Plan	Capricorn Survey Group CQ	02 September 2021	8282-01-ROL	А

## 6. CURRENCY PERIOD FOR THE APPROVAL (s.85 of the *Planning Act*)

The standard currency periods stated in section 85 of *Planning Act 2016* apply to each aspect of development in this approval, if not stated in the conditions of approval attached.

# 7. STATEMENT OF REASONS

Description of the development	The proposed development is for Reconfiguring a Lot (one lot into two lots)		
Reasons for Decision	a) Assessment of the development against the relevant zone purpose, planning scheme codes and planning scheme policies demonstrates that the proposed development will not cause significant adverse impacts on the surrounding natural environment, built environment and infrastructure, community facilities, or local character and amenity; and		
	b) On balance, the application should be approved because the circumstances favour Council exercising its discretion to approve the application even though the development does not comply with an aspect of the assessment benchmarks.		
Assessment Benchmarks	The proposed development was assessed against the following assessment benchmarks:		
	Strategic Framework;		
	Rural Zone Code;		
	Biodiversity Areas Overlay Code;		
	Bushfire Hazard Overlay Code;		
	Flood Hazard Overlay Code;		
	Steep Land Overlay Code;		
	Access, Parking And Transport Code;		
	Filling and Excavation Code;		
	Landscape Code;		
	Reconfiguring a Lot Code;		
	Stormwater Management Code; and		
	Water and Sewer Code.		

Assessment Benchmark         Reasons for the approval despite non- compliance with benchmark           Rural Zone Code         PO34           The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 34.1 which requires a minimum tot size of 100 hectares.           The proposal will create two large rural allotments. Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares neither proposed tot will detract from the primary rural land use. The development is not considered to cause any impact on the existing environmental values for the area, and the existing waterways and natural values over the land will not be altered.           Reconfiguring a Lot Code         PO7           The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum tot size of 100 hectares.           Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.           Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.           Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.           PO16         The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is noninated.           Proposed Lot 2 with an existing development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for gra	Compliance with assessment benchmarks	The development was assessed against all of the assessment benchmarks listed above and wholly complies without exception.		
The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 34.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         The proposal will create two large rural allotments. Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares neither proposed lot will detract from the primary rural land use. The development is not considered to cause any impact on the existing environmental values for the area, and the existing waterways and natural values over the land will not be altered.         Reconfiguring a Lot Code       PO7         The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO16         The proposed I does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure and services to be provided for all lots, and na acceptable outcomes is nominated.         Proposed Lot 2 with an existing development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections as a result of the development. Given that proposal use not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code. <th></th> <th>Assessment Benchmark</th> <th></th>		Assessment Benchmark		
Outcome 34.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         The proposal will create two large rural allotments.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares neither proposed tot will detract from the primary rural land use. The development is not considered to cause any impact on the existing environmental values for the area, and the existing ontromental values for the area, and the existing ontromental values for the area, and the existing environmental values for the area, and the existing waterways and natural values over the land will not be altered.         Reconfiguring a Lot Code       PO7         The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 was an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 is occart rural land primarly used		Rural Zone Code	PO34	
Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares neither proposed lot will detract from the primary rural land use. The development is not considered to cause any impact on the existing waterways and natural values over the land will not be altered.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO7         The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum tot size of 100 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit more the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO16         The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome.         PO16         The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated.         Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are ned weeloped in the future.         Therefore, the proposal Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are ned weeloped in the future.         Therefore, the proposal Lot 1 is vacome rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastruct			The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 34.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.	
the overarching performance outcome.         Reconfiguring a Lot Code       PO7         The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.       Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provide for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated.         Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services can be provided if the land is developed in the future.         Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares neither proposed lot will detract from the primary rural land use. The development is not considered to cause any impact on the existing environmental values for the area, and the existing waterways and natural values over	
The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO16         The proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 loses not have any connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided with connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided for the further of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the further.			Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.	
Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.         Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO16         The proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land grimarily used for grazing, infrastructure services can be provided with connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided with connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided for all connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided for the day log provide of grazing infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future.		Reconfiguring a Lot Code	P07	
86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.         Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.         Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.         PO16         The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated.         Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services can be provided if the land is developed in the future.         Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			The proposal does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 7.1 which requires a minimum lot size of 100 hectares.	
of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use. Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome. PO16 The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated. Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			Proposed Lot 1 will have an approximate area of 86.9 hectares and proposed Lot 2 will have an approximate area of 90.33 hectares.	
the overarching performance outcome. PO16 The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated. Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			Despite the slight deficit from the minimum lot size of 100 hectares, proposed Lot 1 and Lot 2 are able to provide a sufficient area free of natural hazards to maintain the productive capacity of its primary rural use.	
The proposal does not comply with Performance Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated. Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			Therefore the proposal is considered to achieve the overarching performance outcome.	
Outcome 16 which requires infrastructure connections and services to be provided for all lots, and no acceptable outcome is nominated. Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			PO16	
will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future. Therefore, the proposal generally complies with the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			connections and services to be provided for all	
the intent and overall outcomes of the Reconfiguring a Lot Code.			Proposed Lot 2 with an existing dwelling house will retain its existing on-site services. Proposed Lot 1 does not have any connections to infrastructure services and will not be provided with connections as a result of the development. Given that proposed Lot 1 is vacant rural land primarily used for grazing, infrastructure services connections are not deemed necessary in this instance. Appropriate on-site services can be provided if the land is developed in the future.	
Flood Hazard Overlay PO14				
		Flood Hazard Overlay	PO14	

	Code	The proposed development does not comply with Acceptable Outcome 14.1 as it will result in one (1) additional rural lot.		
		Despite this, each proposed lot has a significant portion of land free of the Flood Hazard Overlay (creek catchment flood) planning area 1 and 2, which can provide for a rural use or future dwelling house ancillary to a rural use on site. Furthermore both lots will have access to a constructed road unrestricted by flood waters to enable safe exit.		
		Therefore, the development will not impose an unacceptable risk to people and property.		
Matters prescribed by regulation• The Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015 (version 2.2 • The common material, being the material submitted with the approximation				

# 8. APPEAL RIGHTS

The rights of an applicant to appeal to a tribunal or the Planning and Environment Court against a decision about a development application are set out in chapter 6, part 1 of the *Planning Act 2016*. There may also be a right to make an application for a declaration by a tribunal (see chapter 6, part 2 of the *Planning Act 2016*).

## Appeal by an applicant

An applicant for a development application may appeal to the Planning and Environment Court against the following:

- the refusal of all or part of the development application
- a provision of the development approval
- the decision to give a preliminary approval when a development permit was applied for
- a deemed refusal of the development application.

An applicant may also have a right to appeal to the Development tribunal. For more information, see schedule 1 of the *Planning Act 2016*.

The timeframes for starting an appeal in the Planning and Environment Court are set out in section 229 of the *Planning Act 2016*.

Attachment 2 is an extract from the *Planning Act 2016* that sets out the applicant's appeal rights and the appeal rights of a submitter.

## 9. WHEN THE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL TAKES EFFECT

This development approval takes effect:

- From the time the decision notice is given – if there is no submitter and the applicant does not appeal the decision to the court.

- When the submitter's appeal period ends – if there is a submitter and the applicant does not appeal the decision to the court.

Or

- Subject to the decision of the court, when the appeal is finally decided – if an appeal is made to the court.

## 10. ASSESSMENT MANAGER

Name:	Tarnya Fitzgibbon <u>COORDINATOR</u>	Signature:	Date:	21 January 2022
	DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT			

Or

# Attachment 1 – Conditions of the approval

**Part 1 – Conditions imposed by the assessment manager** [Note: where a condition is imposed about infrastructure under Chapter 4 of the Planning Act 2016, the relevant provision of the Act under which this condition was imposed must be specified.]

#### Attachment 2—Extract on appeal rights



# Attachment 1 – Part 1 Rockhampton Regional Council Conditions

Planning Act 2016

- 1.0 ADMINISTRATION
- 1.1 The Developer and their employee, agent, contractor or invitee is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of this development approval.
- 1.2 Where these Conditions refer to "Council" in relation to requiring Council to approve or to be satisfied as to any matter, or conferring on the Council a function, power or discretion, that role may be fulfilled in whole or in part by a delegate appointed for that purpose by the Council.
- 1.3 All conditions, works, or requirements of this development approval must be undertaken, completed, and be accompanied by a Compliance Certificate for any operational works required by this development approval:
  - 1.3.1 to Council's satisfaction;
  - 1.3.2 at no cost to Council; and
  - 1.3.3 prior to the issue of the Survey Plan Approval Certificate,

unless otherwise stated.

- 1.4 Infrastructure requirements of this development approval must be contributed to the relevant authorities, where applicable, at no cost to Council, prior to the issue of the Survey Plan Approval Certificate, unless otherwise stated.
- 1.5 The following further Development Permits must be obtained prior to the commencement of any works associated with their purposes:
  - 1.5.1 Operational Works:
    - (i) Access Works.
- 1.6 All works must be designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the relevant Council policies, guidelines and standards, unless otherwise stated.
- 1.7 All engineering drawings/specifications, design and construction works must be in accordance with the requirements of the relevant *Australian Standards* and must be approved, supervised and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland.
- 1.8 A property note must be entered against Lot 1 that advises future owners that there are no electricity or telecommunications services connected to this lot.

# 2.0 APPROVED PLANS AND DOCUMENTS

2.1 The approved development must be completed and maintained generally in accordance with the approved plans and documents, except where amended by any condition of this development approval:

Plan/Document Name	Prepared by	Date	Reference No.	Version/Issue
Reconfiguration Plan	Capricorn Survey Group CQ	02 September 2021	8282-01-ROL	A

2.2 Where there is any conflict between the conditions of this development approval and the details shown on the approved plans and documents, the conditions of this development approval must prevail.

- 2.3 Where conditions require the above plans or documents to be amended, the revised document(s) must be submitted for approval by Council prior to the issue of the Survey Plan Approval Certificate.
- 3.0 ACCESS WORKS
- 3.1 A Development Permit for Operational Works (access works) must be obtained prior to the commencement of any access works required by this development approval.

Note: It is advised that a Works in Road Reserve Permit (including a fee for the vehicle crossover and compliant with Standard Capricorn Municipal Development Guidelines, Standard Drawings) may be accepted in place of the application for a Development Permit for Operational Works (access works).

- 3.2 All access works must be designed and constructed in accordance with the approved plans (refer to condition 2.1), *Capricorn Municipal Development Guidelines, Australian Standard AS2890 "Parking facilities"* and the provisions of a Development Permit for Operational Works (access works).
- 3.3 A minimum four (4.0) metre wide all weather gravel pavement access must be constructed from Halfpenny Road to the north eastern boundary of proposed Lot 1. The access within Council's unconstructed / unnamed road reserve must be constructed such that the future widening to a total width of five and a half (5.5) metres can be achieved.
- 3.4 All maintenance of the proposed access within the unformed / unnamed Council road reserve must be the responsibility of the property owner of proposed Lot 1 at no cost to Council.

# 4.0 <u>SITE WORKS</u>

- 4.1 All site works must be undertaken to ensure that there is:
  - 4.1.1 no increase in upstream or downstream flood levels for all levels of immunity up to a one per cent (1%) Annual exceedance probability defined flood event.

# 5.0 ASSET MANAGEMENT

- 5.1 Any alteration necessary to electricity, telephone and/or public utility installations resulting from the development or in connection with the development, must be undertaken and completed at no cost to Council.
- 5.2 Any damage to existing stormwater or roadway (including removal of concrete slurry from public land and Council infrastructure), that occurs while any works are being carried out in association with this development approval must be repaired at full cost to the developer. This includes the reinstatement of any existing traffic signs or pavement markings that may have been removed or damaged.

# 6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL

- 6.1 Any application for a Development Permit for Operational Works or Works in Road Reserve Permit must be accompanied by an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan that addresses, but is not limited to, the following:
  - (i) objectives;
  - (ii) site location and topography
  - (iii) vegetation;
  - (iv) site drainage;
  - (v) soils;
  - (vi) erosion susceptibility;
  - (vii) erosion risk;
  - (viii) concept;

- (ix) design; and
- (x) implementation,

for the construction and post-construction phases of work.

6.2 The Erosion Control and Stormwater Control Management Plan prepared by a Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland in accordance with the *Capricorn Municipal Design Guidelines*, must be implemented, monitored and maintained for the duration of the works, and until all exposed soil areas are permanently stabilised (for example, turfed, hydromulched, concreted or landscaped). The plan must be available on-site for inspection by Council Officers whilst all works are being carried out.

# ADVISORY NOTES

NOTE 1. Aboriginal Cultural Heritage

It is advised that under section 23 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003*, a person who carries out an activity must take all reasonable and practicable measures to ensure the activity does not harm Aboriginal cultural heritage (the "cultural heritage duty of care"). Maximum penalties for breaching the duty of care are listed in the Aboriginal cultural heritage legislation. The information on Aboriginal cultural heritage is available on the Department of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and Multicultural Affairs website www.dsdsatsip.qld.gov.au.

# NOTE 2. <u>General Environmental Duty</u>

General environmental duty under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* prohibits unlawful environmental nuisance caused by noise, aerosols, particles, dust, ash, fumes, light, odour or smoke beyond the boundaries of the development site during all stages of the development including earthworks, construction and operation.

# NOTE 3. General Safety Of Public During Construction

The Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices must be complied with in carrying out any construction works, and to ensure safe traffic control and safe public access in respect of works being constructed on a road.

NOTE 4. Works in Road Reserve Permit

It is advised that a Works in Road Reserve Permit (including a fee for the vehicle crossover and compliant with Standard *Capricorn Municipal Development Guidelines,* Standard Drawings) may be accepted in place of the application for a Development Permit for Operational Works (access works).

# NOTE 5. Infrastructure Charges Notice

This application is subject to infrastructure charges in accordance with Council policies. The charges are presented on an Infrastructure Charges Notice.

# NOTE 6. <u>Electricity and Telecommunications</u>

Proposed Lot 1 will not be connected to electricity or telecommunication supply and given the likely prohibitive costs associated with doing so, may alternatively be provided with an on-site energy supply installed in accordance with all laws and regulations and current best practice. The cost of the on-site infrastructure is to be borne by the Developer at no cost to Council.

## NOTE 7. Property Note (Electricity and Telecommunications)

Any future contracts of sale for the land must state that electricity and telecommunications may not be available and alternative methods will be required.

# NOTE 8. Clearing within Road Reserve

An approval for a Tree Clearing Permit, issued by the Department of Environment and Science in addition to the Operational Works (road works) permit, will be required when constructing the new proposed access (within unnamed Road reserve).

It is a requirement under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* that an approved Tree Clearing Permit is obtained from the Department of Environment and Science, prior to any tree clearing activities that are to occur within a road reserve that is under Council control. These permits and the works associated with them must be provided by the Developer at no cost to Council.

# NOTE 9. Rural Addressing

All Rural addressing must be provided to each lot in accordance with Council's rural addressing procedures and must be in accordance with *Australian/New Zealand Standard for Rural and Urban Addressing (AS4819:2011).* 



# **Attachment 2 - Appeal Rights**

PLANNING ACT 2016

The following is an extract from the *Planning Act 2016* (*Chapter 6*)

#### Appeal rights

#### 229 Appeals to tribunal or P&E Court

- (1) Schedule 1 states-
  - (a) matters that may be appealed to—
    (i)either a tribunal or the P&E Court; or
    (ii)only a tribunal; or
    (iii)only the P&E Court; and
  - (b) the person—
    - (i)who may appeal a matter (the **appellant**); and
    - (ii) who is a respondent in an appeal of the matter; and
    - (iii)who is a co-respondent in an appeal of the matter; and
    - (iv)who may elect to be a co-respondent in an appeal of the matter.
- (2) An appellant may start an appeal within the appeal period.
- (3) The appeal period is-
  - (a) for an appeal by a building advisory agency—10 business days after a decision notice for the decision is given to the agency or
  - (b) for an appeal against a deemed refusal—at any time after the deemed refusal happens; or
  - (c) for an appeal against a decision of the Minister, under chapter 7, part 4, to register premises or to renew the registration of premises—20 business days after a notice is published under section 269(3)(a) or (4); or
  - (d) for an appeal against an infrastructure charges notice—
     20 business days after the infrastructure charges notice is given to the person; or
  - (e) for an appeal about a deemed approval of a development application for which a decision notice has not been given—30 business days after the applicant gives the deemed approval notice to the assessment manager; or
  - (f) for any other appeal—20 business days after a notice of the decision for the matter, including an enforcement notice, is given to the person.
  - Note—

See the P&E Court Act for the court's power to extend the appeal period.

- (4) Each respondent and co-respondent for an appeal may be heard in the appeal.
- (5) If an appeal is only about a referral agency's response, the assessment manager may apply to the tribunal or P&E Court to withdraw from the appeal.
- (6) To remove any doubt, it is declared that an appeal against an infrastructure charges notice must not be about—

   (a) the adopted charge itself; or
  - (a) the adopted charge itself; or (b) for a decision about an offset or refund—
    - (i) the establishment cost of trunk infrastructure identified in a LGIP; or
    - (ii) the cost of infrastructure decided using the method
- included in the local government's charges resolution. 230 Notice of appeal
- (1) An appellant starts an appeal by lodging, with the registrar
- of the tribunal or P&E Court, a notice of appeal that— (a) is in the approved form; and
  - (b) succinctly states the grounds of the appeal.
- (2) The notice of appeal must be accompanied by the required fee.
- (3) The appellant or, for an appeal to a tribunal, the registrar must, within the service period, give a copy of the notice of appeal to—

- (a) the respondent for the appeal; and
- (b) each co-respondent for the appeal; and
- (c) for an appeal about a development application under schedule 1, table 1, item 1—each principal submitter for the development application; and
- (d) for an appeal about a change application under schedule 1, table 1, item 2—each principal submitter for the change application; and
- (e) each person who may elect to become a co-respondent for the appeal, other than an eligible submitter who is not a principal submitter in an appeal under paragraph (c) or (d); and
- (f) for an appeal to the P&E Court-the chief executive; and
- (g) for an appeal to a tribunal under another Act—any other person who the registrar considers appropriate.
- (4) The service period is-
  - (a) if a submitter or advice agency started the appeal in the P&E Court—2 business days after the appeal is started; or
  - (b) otherwise—10 business days after the appeal is started.
- (5) A notice of appeal given to a person who may elect to be a co-respondent must state the effect of subsection
- (6) A person elects to be a co-respondent by filing a notice of election, in the approved form, within 10 business days after the notice of appeal is given to the person.
- 231 Other appeals
- (1) Subject to this chapter, schedule 1 and the P&E Court Act, unless the Supreme Court decides a decision or other matter under this Act is affected by jurisdictional error, the decision or matter is non-appealable.
- (2) The Judicial Review Act 1991, part 5 applies to the decision or matter to the extent it is affected by jurisdictional error.
- (3) A person who, but for subsection (1) could have made an application under the Judicial Review Act 1991 in relation to the decision or matter, may apply under part 4 of that Act for a statement of reasons in relation to the decision or matter.
- (4) In this section-decision includes-
  - (a) conduct engaged in for the purpose of making a decision; and
  - (b) other conduct that relates to the making of a decision; and
  - (c) the making of a decision or the failure to make a decision; and
  - (d) a purported decision; and
  - (e) a deemed refusal.

**non-appealable**, for a decision or matter, means the decision or matter—

- (a) is final and conclusive; and
- (b) may not be challenged, appealed against, reviewed, quashed, set aside or called into question in any other way under the Judicial Review Act 1991 or otherwise, whether by the Supreme Court, another court, a tribunal or another entity; and
- (c) is not subject to any declaratory, injunctive or other order of the Supreme Court, another court, a tribunal or another entity on any ground.

#### 232 Rules of the P&E Court

- (1) A person who is appealing to the P&E Court must comply with the rules of the court that apply to the appeal.
- (2) However, the P&E Court may hear and decide an appeal even if the person has not complied with rules of the P&E Court.



# **Appeal Rights**

PLANNING ACT 2016

# Schedule 1

# Appeals section 229

- 1 Appeal rights and parties to appeals
- (1) Table 1 states the matters that may be appealed to-(a) the P&E court; or (b) a tribunal.
- (2) However, table 1 applies to a tribunal only if the matter involves-
  - (a) the refusal, or deemed refusal of a development application, for-
  - (i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
  - (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
  - (b) a provision of a development approval for-
  - (i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
- (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
  - (c) if a development permit was applied for-the decision to give a preliminary approval for-
    - (i) a material change of use for a classified building; or
    - (ii) operational work associated with building work, a retaining wall, or a tennis court; or
  - (d) a development condition if—
    - (i) the development approval is only for a material change of use that involves the use of a building classified under the Building Code as a class 2 building; and
    - (ii) the building is, or is proposed to be, not more than 3 storeys; and
    - (iii) the proposed development is for not more than 60 sole-occupancy units; or
  - (e) a decision for, or a deemed refusal of, an extension application for a development approval that is only for a material change of use of a classified building; or
  - (f) a decision for, or a deemed refusal of, a change application for a development approval that is only for a material change of use of a classified building; or
  - (g) a matter under this Act, to the extent the matter relates to-
    - (i) the Building Act, other than a matter under that Act that may or must be decided by the Queensland Building and Construction Commission; or
    - (ii) the Plumbing and Drainage Act, part 4 or 5; or
  - (h) a decision to give an enforcement notice in relation to a matter under paragraphs (a) to (g); or
  - (i) a decision to give an infrastructure charges notice; or
  - (j) the refusal, or deemed refusal, of a conversion application; or
  - (k) a matter that, under another Act, may be appealed to the tribunal; or
  - (I) a matter prescribed by regulation.
- (3) Also, table 1 does not apply to a tribunal if the matter
- involves-
  - (a) for a matter in subsection (2)(a) to (d)-
    - (i) a development approval for which the development application required impact assessment; and
    - (ii) a development approval in relation to which the assessment manager received a properly made submission for the development application; or
- (b) a provision of a development approval about the identification or inclusion, under a variation approval, of a matter for the development.
- (4) Table 2 states the matters that may be appealed only to the P&E Court.
- (5) Table 3 states the matters that may be appealed only to the tribunal.
- (6) In each table—
  - (a) column 1 states the appellant in the appeal; and
  - (b) column 2 states the respondent in the appeal; and
  - (c) column 3 states the co-respondent (if any) in the appeal; and
  - (d) column 4 states the co-respondents by election (if any) in the appeal.
- (7) If the chief executive receives a notice of appeal under section 230(3)(f), the chief executive may elect to be a correspondent in the appeal.

Table 1           Appeals to the P&E Court and, for certain matters, to a tribunal					
<ol> <li>Development applications</li> <li>An appeal may be made against—         <ul> <li>(a) the refusal of all or part of the development application; or</li> <li>(b) the deemed refusal of the development application; or</li> <li>(c) a provision of the development approval; or</li> <li>(d) if a development permit was applied for—the decision to give a preliminary approval.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
Column 1	Column 1 Column 2 Column 3 Column 4				
AppellantRespondentCo-respondentCo-respondent by election(if any)(if any)					
The applicant	The assessment manager	If the appeal is about a concurrence	1 A concurrence agency that is not a co-respondent		

		Table 1	
	Appeals to the P&E Court	and, for certain matters, to	
		agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	<ul> <li>2 If a chosen Assessment manager is the respondent— the prescribed assessment manager</li> <li>3 Any eligible advice agency for the application</li> <li>4 Any eligible submitter for the application</li> </ul>
<ul><li>2. Change applications</li><li>An appeal may be made</li><li>(a) a responsible entity's</li><li>(b) a deemed refusal of</li></ul>	s decision for a change ap	plication, other than a deci	sion made by the P&E court; or
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
1 The applicant 2 If the responsible entity is the assessment manager—an affected entity that gave a pre-request notice or response notice	The responsible entity	If an affected entity starts the appeal—the applicant	<ol> <li>A concurrence agency for the development application</li> <li>If a chosen assessment manager is the respondent— the prescribed assessment manager</li> <li>A private certifier for the development application</li> <li>Any eligible advice agency for the change application</li> <li>Any eligible submitter for the change application</li> </ol>
		Column 3 Co-respondent	Column 4 Co-respondent by election
<ol> <li>1 The applicant</li> <li>For a matter other than a deemed refusal of an extension application – a concurrence agency, other than the chief executive, for the application</li> </ol>	The assessment manager	(if any) If a concurrence agency starts the appeal – the applicant	(if any) If a chosen assessment manager is the respondent – the prescribed assessment manager

#### Table 1 Appeals to the P&E Court and, for certain matters, to a tribunal

4. Infrastructure charges notices

An appeal may be made against an infrastructure charges notice on 1 or more of the following grounds

- a) The notice involved an error relating to -
  - (i) The application of the relevant adopted charge; or

Examples of errors in applying an adopted charge -

- The incorrect application of gross floor area for a non-residential development
- Applying an incorrect 'use category', under a regulation, to the development •
  - The working out of extra demands, for section 120; or (i)
  - An offset or refund; or (ii)
- b) The was no decision about an offset or refund; or
- c) If the infrastructure charges notice states a refund will be given the timing for giving the refund; or
- d) The amount of the charge is so unreasonable that no reasonable relevant local government could have imposed the amount.

impered the amount			
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
The person given the Infrastructure charges notice	The local government that gave the infrastructure charges notice	-	-

5. Conversion applications

An appeal may be made against-

(a) the refusal of a conversion application; or

(b) a deemed refusal of a conversion application.

· /			
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent	Co-respondent by election
		(if any)	(if any)
The applicant	The local government	-	-
	to which the conversion		
	application was made		

6. Enforcement notices

An appeal may be made against the decision to give an enforcement notice.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
The person given the enforcement notice	The enforcement authority	-	If the enforcement authority is not the local government for the premises in relation to which the offence is alleged to have happened—the local government

Table 2 Appeals to the P&E Court only				
<ol> <li>Appeals from tribunal</li> <li>An appeal may be made against a decision of a tribunal, other than a decision under section 252, on the ground of—         <ul> <li>(a) an error or mistake in law on the part of the tribunal; or</li> <li>(b) jurisdictional error.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>				
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)	
A party to the proceedings for the decision	The other party to the proceedings for the decision	-	-	

#### Table 2 Appeals to the P&E Court only

2. Eligible submitter appeals

An appeal may be made against the decision to give a development approval, or an approval for a change application, to the extent that the decision relates to—

(a) any part of the development application for the development approval that required impact assessment; or (b) a variation request.

Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
<ul> <li>1 For a development application—an eligible submitter for the development application</li> <li>2 For a change application—an eligible submitter for the change application</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 For a development application—the assessment manager</li> <li>2 For a change application—the responsible entity</li> </ul>	1 The applicant 2 If the appeal is about a concurrence agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	Another eligible submitter for the application

3. Eligible submitter and eligible advice agency appeals

An appeal may be made against a provision of a development approval, or failure to

include a provision in the development approval, to the extent the matter relates to-

(a) any part of the development application or the change application, for the development approval, that required impact assessment; or

(b) a variation request.

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent (if any)	Co-respondent by election (if any)
<ul> <li>1 For a development application—an eligible submitter for the development application</li> <li>2 For a change application—an eligible submitter for the change application</li> <li>3 An eligible advice agency for the development application or change application</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>For a development application—the assessment manager</li> <li>For a change application—the responsible entity</li> </ol>	1 The applicant 2 If the appeal is about a concurrence agency's referral response—the concurrence agency	Another eligible submitter for the application
(b) a decision under sec		r compensation; or	
Column 1	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent	Column 4 Co-respondent by election
Appellant		(if any)	(if any)

	Anneals	Table 2 to the P&E Court only	
An appeal may be made	against a decision of the		7, part 4.
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
<ol> <li>A person given a decision notice about the decision</li> <li>If the decision is to register premises or renew the registration of premises—an owner or occupier of premises in the affected area for the registered premises who is dissatisfied with the decision</li> </ol>	The Minister	-	If an owner or occupier starts the appeal – the owner of the registered premises
under a local law about-	other than a use that is t	-	ditions applied, onsequence of prohibited
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
<ul> <li>A person who—</li> <li>(a) applied for the decision; and</li> <li>(b) is dissatisfied with the decision or conditions.</li> </ul>	The local government	-	-
		Table 3	
	ncy appeals		ng work to the extent the building ns.
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)
A building advisory agency for the development application related to the approval	The assessment manager	The applicant	<ul> <li>1 A concurrence agency for the development application related to the approval</li> <li>2 A private certifier for the development application related to the approval</li> </ul>
An appeal may be made		r—	e Act ng and Construction Commission; or
Column 1 Appellant	Column 2 Respondent	Column 3 Co-respondent (if any)	Column 4 Co-respondent by election (if any)

Table 3 Appeals to the tribunal only			
A person who received, or was entitled to receive, notice of the decision	The person who made the decision	-	-
4. Local government failure to decide application under the Building Act An appeal may be made against a local government's failure to decide an application under the Building Act within the period required under that Act.			
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Appellant	Respondent	Co-respondent	Co-respondent by election
		(if any)	(if any)
A person who was	The local government	-	-
entitled to receive,	to which the		
notice of the decision	application was made		